

FY12 - FY13 PROPOSED STATEWIDE BUDGET IMPACTS

FUNDING IMPACTS STATEWIDE

FUNDING SOURCE	FY12	FY13	BIENNIUM
ELIMINATION OF COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS BLOCK GRANT	\$1,414,502	\$1,418,022	\$2,832,524
ELIMINATION OF FUNDING TO COUNTY YOUTH CAMPS	\$1,381,033	\$1,381,033	\$2,762,066
ELIMINATION OF ROOM & BOARD FUNDING IN MH PLACEMENTS	\$4,534,491	\$4,852,826	\$9,387,317
SHIFT OF FUNDING RESPONSIBILITY FOR YOUTH PAROLE	\$5,428,018	\$5,443,629	\$10,871,647
TOTAL FUNDING IMPACT STATEWIDE	\$12,758,044	\$13,095,510	\$25,853,554

STATEWIDE FUNDING IMPACTS BY JUDICIAL DISTRICT

JUDICIAL DISTRICTS	COUNTY'S	FY12	FY13	BIENNIUM
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT	Carson Storey	\$334,260	\$341,863	\$676,123
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT	Washoe	\$2,334,576	\$2,386,150	\$4,720,726
3RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT	Churchill, Lyon	\$463,565	\$473,700	\$937,265
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	Elko	\$341,742	\$348,512	\$690,254
5TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	Esmeralda, Mineral, Nye	\$263,575	\$270,011	\$533,586
6TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	Humboldt, Lander, Pershing	\$197,689	\$201,660	\$399,349
7TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	Eureka, Lincoln, White Pine	\$101,592	\$103,585	\$205,177
8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	Clark	\$8,455,444	\$8,698,002	\$17,153,446
9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	Douglas	\$265,601	\$272,027	\$537,628

STATEWIDE ELIMINATION OF FUNDING TO THE COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS BLOCK GRANT

<i>COUNTY</i>	<i>FY12</i>	<i>FY13</i>	<i>BIENNIUM</i>
<i>Carson City</i>	<i>\$53,219</i>	<i>\$53,352</i>	<i>\$106,571</i>
<i>Churchill</i>	<i>\$21,273</i>	<i>\$21,326</i>	<i>\$42,599</i>
<i>Clark</i>	<i>\$805,886</i>	<i>\$807,892</i>	<i>\$1,613,778</i>
<i>Douglas</i>	<i>\$42,415</i>	<i>\$42,521</i>	<i>\$84,936</i>
<i>Elko</i>	<i>\$56,553</i>	<i>\$56,694</i>	<i>\$113,247</i>
<i>Esmeralda</i>	<i>\$778</i>	<i>\$780</i>	<i>\$1,558</i>
<i>Eureka</i>	<i>\$2,162</i>	<i>\$2,167</i>	<i>\$4,329</i>
<i>Humboldt</i>	<i>\$21,083</i>	<i>\$21,135</i>	<i>\$42,218</i>
<i>Lander</i>	<i>\$7,166</i>	<i>\$7,184</i>	<i>\$14,350</i>
<i>Lincoln</i>	<i>\$5,878</i>	<i>\$5,892</i>	<i>\$11,770</i>
<i>Lyon</i>	<i>\$42,350</i>	<i>\$42,455</i>	<i>\$84,805</i>
<i>Mineral</i>	<i>\$3,038</i>	<i>\$3,046</i>	<i>\$6,084</i>
<i>Nye</i>	<i>\$30,116</i>	<i>\$30,191</i>	<i>\$60,307</i>
<i>Pershing</i>	<i>\$8,511</i>	<i>\$8,532</i>	<i>\$17,043</i>
<i>Storey</i>	<i>\$4,000</i>	<i>\$4,010</i>	<i>\$8,010</i>
<i>Washoe</i>	<i>\$296,906</i>	<i>\$297,644</i>	<i>\$594,550</i>
<i>White Pine</i>	<i>\$13,168</i>	<i>\$13,201</i>	<i>\$26,369</i>
<i>TOTAL</i>	<i>\$1,414,502</i>	<i>\$1,418,022</i>	<i>\$2,832,524</i>

STATEWIDE ELIMINATION OF FUNDING TO THE COUNTY YOUTH CAMPS

<i>COUNTY</i>	<i>FY12</i>	<i>FY13</i>	<i>BIENNIUM</i>
<i>Carson City</i>	<i>\$64,603</i>	<i>\$64,603</i>	<i>\$129,206</i>
<i>Churchill</i>	<i>\$31,692</i>	<i>\$31,692</i>	<i>\$63,384</i>
<i>Clark</i>	<i>\$464,796</i>	<i>\$464,796</i>	<i>\$929,592</i>
<i>Douglas</i>	<i>\$49,558</i>	<i>\$49,558</i>	<i>\$99,116</i>
<i>Elko</i>	<i>\$71,723</i>	<i>\$71,723</i>	<i>\$143,446</i>
<i>Esmeralda</i>	<i>\$510</i>	<i>\$510</i>	<i>\$1,020</i>
<i>Eureka</i>	<i>\$1,920</i>	<i>\$1,920</i>	<i>\$3,840</i>
<i>Humboldt</i>	<i>\$25,156</i>	<i>\$25,156</i>	<i>\$50,312</i>
<i>Lander</i>	<i>\$8,420</i>	<i>\$8,420</i>	<i>\$16,840</i>
<i>Lincoln</i>	<i>\$7,423</i>	<i>\$7,423</i>	<i>\$14,846</i>
<i>Lyon</i>	<i>\$66,760</i>	<i>\$66,760</i>	<i>\$133,520</i>
<i>Mineral</i>	<i>\$4,217</i>	<i>\$4,217</i>	<i>\$8,434</i>
<i>Nye</i>	<i>\$47,557</i>	<i>\$47,557</i>	<i>\$95,114</i>
<i>Pershing</i>	<i>\$5,310</i>	<i>\$5,310</i>	<i>\$10,620</i>
<i>Storey</i>	<i>\$3,301</i>	<i>\$3,301</i>	<i>\$6,602</i>
<i>Washoe</i>	<i>\$517,223</i>	<i>\$517,223</i>	<i>\$1,034,946</i>
<i>White Pine</i>	<i>\$10,864</i>	<i>\$10,864</i>	<i>\$21,728</i>
<i>TOTAL</i>	<i>\$1,381,033</i>	<i>\$1,381,033</i>	<i>\$2,762,066</i>

STATEWIDE ELIMINATION OF ROOM AND BOARD FUNDING FOR YOUTH MENTAL HEALTH PLACEMENTS IN STATE

<i>COUNTY</i>	<i>FY12</i>	<i>FY13</i>	<i>BIENNIUM</i>
<i>Carson City</i>	<i>\$94,741</i>	<i>\$101,392</i>	<i>\$196,133</i>
<i>Churchill</i>	<i>\$45,247</i>	<i>\$48,423</i>	<i>\$93,670</i>
<i>Clark</i>	<i>\$3,266,009</i>	<i>\$3,495,293</i>	<i>\$6,761,302</i>
<i>Douglas</i>	<i>\$86,444</i>	<i>\$92,513</i>	<i>\$178,957</i>
<i>Elko</i>	<i>\$89,340</i>	<i>\$95,612</i>	<i>\$184,952</i>
<i>Esmeralda</i>	<i>\$1,988</i>	<i>\$2,127</i>	<i>\$4,115</i>
<i>Eureka</i>	<i>\$2,676</i>	<i>\$2,864</i>	<i>\$5,540</i>
<i>Humboldt</i>	<i>\$30,061</i>	<i>\$32,171</i>	<i>\$62,232</i>
<i>Lander</i>	<i>\$10,218</i>	<i>\$10,935</i>	<i>\$21,153</i>
<i>Lincoln</i>	<i>\$7,275</i>	<i>\$7,786</i>	<i>\$15,061</i>
<i>Lyon</i>	<i>\$90,075</i>	<i>\$96,398</i>	<i>\$186,473</i>
<i>Mineral</i>	<i>\$7,766</i>	<i>\$8,312</i>	<i>\$16,078</i>
<i>Nye</i>	<i>\$76,983</i>	<i>\$82,388</i>	<i>\$159,371</i>
<i>Pershing</i>	<i>\$12,135</i>	<i>\$12,987</i>	<i>\$25,122</i>
<i>Storey</i>	<i>\$7,121</i>	<i>\$7,621</i>	<i>\$14,742</i>
<i>Washoe</i>	<i>\$690,112</i>	<i>\$738,560</i>	<i>\$1,428,672</i>
<i>White Pine</i>	<i>\$16,300</i>	<i>\$17,444</i>	<i>\$33,744</i>
<i>TOTAL</i>	<i>\$4,534,491</i>	<i>\$4,852,826</i>	<i>\$9,387,317</i>

STATEWIDE IMPACT ON SHIFTING FUNDING RESPONSIBILITY FOR YOUTH PAROLE

JUDICIAL DISTRICTS	COUNTY'S	FY12	FY13	BIENNIUM
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT	<i>Carson City</i>	\$100,597	\$100,886	\$201,483
	<i>Storey</i>	\$6,678	\$6,698	\$13,376
TOTAL		\$107,275	\$107,584	\$214,859
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT	<i>Washoe</i>	\$830,335	\$832,723	\$1,663,058
3RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT	<i>Churchill</i>	\$54,835	\$54,993	\$109,828
	<i>Lyon</i>	\$111,333	\$111,653	\$222,986
TOTAL		\$166,168	\$166,646	\$332,814
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	<i>Elko</i>	\$124,126	\$124,483	\$248,609
5TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	<i>Esmeralda</i>	\$366	\$367	\$733
	<i>Mineral</i>	\$7,439	\$7,461	\$14,900
	<i>Nye</i>	\$82,817	\$83,055	\$165,872
TOTAL		\$90,622	\$90,883	\$181,505
6TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	<i>Humboldt</i>	\$42,747	\$42,870	\$85,617
	<i>Lander</i>	\$17,583	\$17,634	\$35,217
	<i>Pershing</i>	\$9,299	\$9,326	\$18,625
TOTAL		\$69,629	\$69,830	\$139,459
7TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	<i>Eureka</i>	\$3,522	\$3,532	\$7,054
	<i>Lincoln</i>	\$11,102	\$11,134	\$22,236
	<i>White Pine</i>	\$19,302	\$19,358	\$38,660
TOTAL		\$33,926	\$34,024	\$67,950
8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	<i>Clark</i>	\$3,918,753	\$3,930,021	\$7,848,774
9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	<i>Douglas</i>	\$87,184	\$87,435	\$174,619

IMPACT TO STATE CORRECTIONS

- In 2010 there were 370 commitments statewide (this includes Parole revocations).
- The average length of stay was approximately 218 days (7.4 months)
- The state is recommending that correctional beds be reduced to 250.
- Based on the current average length of stay and the availability of 250 beds, there would be a capacity for approximately 418 commitments per year.
- Therefore, 250 beds statewide would be a sufficient number to meet the correction needs if there were no reductions.

IMPACT TO STATE CORRECTIONS – CONT'

The elimination for funding in the following areas will increase the need for additional correctional beds:

- **Community Corrections Block Grant**

- *Funding provides services to juveniles who are at risk of being committed to the state correctional care.*
- *800 juveniles received these services through the counties in 2010*
- *329 were committed to state correctional care*
- *471 juveniles were not committed*
- *Without these services, if only 25% of these juveniles were committed, there would be an additional 118 commitments per year.*

IMPACT TO STATE CORRECTIONS – CONT'

- **Room & Board Payments:**
 - Statewide approximately 469 juveniles received room & board payments
 - According to County Juvenile Justice Administrators, if it were not for these placements, approximately 312 of these juveniles would have been recommended for commitment to state correctional care. If 50% of these juveniles were committed, there would have been 156 additional commitments.

IMPACT TO STATE CORRECTIONS – CONT'

- **County Youth Camps:**
 - Elimination of State funding to China Springs / Aurora Pines would mean the elimination of the Aurora Pines Program for girls.
 - 33 girls participated in the program last year. These 33 girls would be committed to state correction care.
 - Elimination of funding to Spring Mountain Youth Camp.
 - Spring Mountain would have to reduce program capacity by 7%.
 - 40 juveniles would then be committed to state correction care, as a result of closing one twenty bed pod.

IMPACT TO STATE CORRECTIONS – CONT'

<i>ACTION</i>	<i>RESULT</i>
<i>ELIMINATION OF COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS BLOCK GRANT</i>	<i>118 ADDITIONAL COMMITMENTS</i>
<i>ELIMINATION OF ROOM & BOARD PAYMENTS</i>	<i>156 ADDITIONAL COMMITMENTS</i>
<i>ELIMINATION OF STATE FUNDING TO COUNTY CAMPS</i>	<i>73 ADDITIONAL COMMITMENTS</i>
<i>OVERALL IMPACT TO STATE CORRECTIONS</i>	<i>347 ADDITIONAL COMMITMENTS</i>
<i>APPROXIMATE CURRENT COMMITMENT CAPACITY BASED ON 250 BEDS</i>	<i>418 YOUTH</i>
<i>APPROXIMATE ADDITIONAL COMMITMENTS WITH ELIMINATION OF FUNDING</i>	<i>347 YOUTH</i>
<i>APPROXIMATE TOTAL COMMITMENTS IN A YEAR</i>	<i>765 YOUTH</i>
<i>WITH APPROXIMATELY 765 COMMITMENT PER YEAR - STATE OF NEVADA WOULD NEED:</i>	<i>457 BEDS</i>
<i>CURRENT STATEWIDE CAPACITY</i>	<i>250 BEDS</i>
<i>ADDITIONAL CORRECTIONAL BEDS NEEDED IF FUNDING ELIMINATED - TO ACCOMMODATE NEVADA YOUTH</i>	<i>207 BEDS</i>

NEVADA ASSOCIATION OF JUVENILE JUSTICE ADMINISTRATORS RECOMMENDED STATE BUDGET REDUCTIONS PROPOSAL

Reduction of 110 State Juvenile Correctional Beds, would allow the reallocation of 8,758,397.00 FY12 to the Counties

Distribution of the \$8,758,397 would be as follows:

- \$1,914,226 to fully fund the County Camps – China Spring, Aurora Pines & Spring Mountain
- \$3,335,774 to continue TANF payments for out of home placements
- \$3,508,397 to be distributed to individual jurisdictions in the form of vouchers based on the current distribution percentages of Community Corrections Block Grant.

Distribution to the counties for the above \$6,844,171 would be:

JUDICIAL DISTRICTS	COUNTY'S	DISTRIBUTION %	\$ AMT
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT	Carson, Storey	1.98%	\$135,514.59
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT	Washoe	15.20%	\$1,040,313.99
3RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT	Churchill, Lyon	3.06%	\$209,431.63
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	Elko	2.29%	\$156,731.52
5TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	Esmeralda, Mineral, Nye	1.67%	\$114,297.66
6TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	Humboldt, Lander, Pershing	1.28%	\$87,605.39
7TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	Eureka, Lincoln, White Pine	0.63%	\$43,118.28
8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	Clark	72.19%	\$4,940,807.04
9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	Douglas	1.61%	\$110,191.15

These voucher could be used, at the discretion of the individual jurisdictions, for purchase of correctional beds, out of home treatment beds or funding of wrap around services, treatment and programming.

RESPONSE TO COUNTY ASSESSMENT FOR YOUTH PAROLE

The Nevada Association of Juvenile Justice Administrators maintains the position that it has been and still is the state's responsibility to fund and operate Youth Parole. Should the state decide to not continue to fund this obligation, the Counties of Nevada would not be able to assume this obligation.

- Youth Parole currently provides community based supervision to approximately 492 youth statewide.
- The State is commitment of last resort for most serious offenders.
- 520 transfers are not eliminated. 72 youth are currently in placement through Youth Parole at an approximate cost of \$1 million per year.

FUNDING IMPACT SUMMARY

	<i>FY12</i>	<i>FY13</i>	<i>BIENNIUM</i>
<i>Reduce State Corrections by 110 Beds</i>	<i>\$8,758,397</i>	<i>\$8,758,397</i>	<i>\$17,516,794</i>
<i>Total Budget Reductions - Statewide</i>	<i>\$21,516,441</i>	<i>\$21,853,907</i>	<i>\$43,370,348</i>
<i>Redistributing costs associated with 110 bed reduction</i>	<i>\$8,758,397</i>	<i>\$8,758,397</i>	<i>\$17,516,794</i>
<i>State is responsible for funding Youth Parole</i>	<i>\$5,428,018</i>	<i>\$5,443,629</i>	<i>\$10,871,647</i>
<i>Overall Budget Savings for the State</i>	<i>\$7,330,026</i>	<i>\$7,651,881</i>	<i>\$14,981,907</i>

Next Steps:

Is there a better way to provide youth corrections in the State of Nevada

One Program to examine may be the “Missouri Model”

- Just 8.5 percent of youth released from the Missouri Division of Youth Services custody in 2005 received sentences to prison or a 120 day adult correctional program within three years. In Arizona, 23.4 percent are sentenced to adult prison within three years. In Maryland, the figure is 26 percent.
- The system’s core characteristics, according to the report, begin with a rejection of remote, large facilities in favor of smaller regional facilities that place troubled youth close to their homes and families.
- The state’s largest corrections program has just 50 beds, one-third the capacity of most juvenile facilities, and each of its seven secure facilities houses 36 youth or fewer
- Missouri also involves families in the treatment process, rather than keeping them at a distance and treating them as a source of the problem. Family members are also offered an opportunity to join family therapy sessions and are actively involved in post-release planning as well as the monitoring process that begins when youth return home.