



CLARK COUNTY COMMUNITY PLAN WORKING GROUP

PUEBLO ROOM
CLARK COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER
500 S. GRAND CENTRAL PARKWAY
THURSDAY, October 9, 2014 – 6:30 P.M.

MINUTES Community Plan Work Group Meeting September 18, 2014 – 6:30 P.M.

Attendees:

Dave Chestnut - Enterprise
Jason Thompson, Planning Commission
Vivian Kilarski, Planning Commission
Cheryl Wilson Enterprise
John Getter, Spring Valley
Michael Dias – Sunrise
Kelly Griffith, Lone Mountain
Pamela Walker – Laughlin
Kathleen Maciula, Whitney

Staff

Michael Popp, Senior Management Analyst
Shane Ammerman, Assistant Manager
Garrett TerBerg, Principal Planner
Daniel Kezar, Senior Planner
Deborah Murray, Principal Mgmt. Analyst
Jon Wardlaw, Manager Advanced Planning

- 1) **Call to Order.** Michael Popp called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.
- 2) **Public Comment.** None.
- 3) **Approval of the Agenda.** Motion to approve by Vivian Kilarski, PC, second by Dave Chestnut, Enterprise.
- 4) **Approval of the Minutes.** Motion to approve by John Getter, Spring Valley, Sunrise and second Vivian Kilarski, PC and she corrected the date on the minutes.
- 5) **Introduction** – Jon Wardlaw provide the group up to date information to finish the project. He reviewed the calendar with the workgroup. Mr. Wardlaw briefly reviewed phase I deliverables. He presented a final scope of work for phase II of the project. For phase two the workgroup will be reviewing and completing the policies, conformity review process, compatibility review process, community plan template and land use categories. A meeting of key stakeholders is planned for January 6th, 2015. Mr. Wardlaw asked the workgroup if it is still a good idea to have an open house considering that staff is meeting with the town boards and stakeholder groups in the valley. Dave Chestnut commented on the deliverables and mentioning that the county did not include looking at Title 30 code. Mr. Chestnut commented the combining the policies works at a county level but if the county is going to reclassify planning categories as a deliverable then a review of Title 30 code will need to go with it. Mr. Chestnut used mixed use development Title 30 as in the code as an example as a nice document but does not contain standards which has resulted in not many mixed use development. The workgroup decided the best way to reach out to the community would be forgoing a single open house meeting and work through the town boards.
- 6) **Planning Policies.** Staff reviewed Mixed Use with the workgroup. Garrett TerBerg commented that although some policies may have sufficient language in Title 30 the District Attorney office would still like to see some of those polices remain in the Comprehensive Plan for use in litigation. The workgroup commented that the policies should have some sort of key or reference to find a specific policy. Staff commented that policies that are general enough will go into a general section. The workgroup asked a general question about the old policies versus the policies the group is reviewing. Staff commented that the policies are one and the same they were merely consolidated. In summary the workgroup approved this

section with the following conditions, policy #1 will be moved for further discussion, the workgroup was split on deleting or keeping it. Policy #2 should be moved as the first policy under Mixed Use. Policy #3 again was split on deleting or keeping, needs more discussion. Policy #8 delete language, and Policy #9 was flagged for further discussion distance away from mixed use and ADA issues. Staff reviewed Mixed Use (Building orientation and site planning) with the workgroup. In summary the group flagged policy #1 for further discussion, Policy #4 and #7 to be combined, Policy #5 flagged for further discussion and policy #6 can be deleted it is in Title 30. Staff reviewed Mixed Use (Building Design and Architecture), with the workgroup. the workgroup approved this section with the following conditions policy #1 flagged for further discussion, policy #3 be checked against the code and be combined with policy #4 and moved to general, policy #5 should be moved to general and add wording to minimize sound impacts. Policy #6 should be moved to general. Policy #7 flagged for discussion and Policy #8 deleted. Staff reviewed Mixed Use (Pedestrian circulation and Orientation), with the workgroup. The group discussed in detail pedestrian trails and transit turnouts. The workgroup approved this section with the following conditions policy #1 and #2 look a lot like Title 30 but needs further DA review and discussion and should be moved to overall general, policy #4 needs further discussion for multi-use trails (Lone Mt. CAC volunteered to bring more information for the group), and policy #5 flagged for duplication and move it to general and adding a right turn lane.

Garret TerBerg recapped with the group on what the group has accomplished and where the group will be going to finish up policies. The workgroup has reduced 1600 plus policies down to 182 due to redundancy. Phase II will begin next meeting that will include placing policies in the draft proposed categories as was outlined earlier in the year. Garret explained that review process after the workgroup has completed it's work. The workgroup's work will be reviewed by the District Attorney and Zoning Administrator. Jon Wardlaw explained to the group that the plans of the 90's has morphed into a pre-zoning map getting ready for adoption as a plan. Mr. Wardlaw explained the county would like to get back to planned land use maps.

- 7) **Community Plan Template.** No Report.
- 8) **Land Use Categories.** No Report.
- 9) **Public Comment.** The workgroup in general commented about the need to be able to find information in Title 30 and or with the policies. There are concerns that the general public attends meeting and does not have access or know where to find a policies or codes to support or oppose and item. The group was also concerned of the elimination of policies that could be potentially helpful or needed in the future. Staff talked about creating a simplified information piece to help the public. Staff also informed the workgroup that the District Attorney and the Zoning Administrator will ultimately take the recommendation and will make a final decision what the final policy document will look like. The workgroup also commented and advised staff that the County needs to be careful to not eliminate those policies that are working and that the policies should work in concert with Title 30. The workgroup discussed some of the issues from the Planning Commission's meeting on the Enterprise Land Use Update.
- 10) **The next date and location for a regular meeting of the Clark County Community Plan Work Group is tentatively scheduled for October 23, 2014 at 6:30 p.m. in the Pueblo Room.**
- 11) **Adjournment.** The meeting was adjourned at 7:50 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael Popp, Senior Management Analyst

Date