



CLARK COUNTY COMMUNITY PLAN WORKING GROUP

PUEBLO ROOM
CLARK COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER
500 S. GRAND CENTRAL PARKWAY
THURSDAY, June 18, 2015 – 6:00 P.M.

MINUTES

Community Plan Work Group Meeting June 18, 2015 – 6:00 P.M.

Attendees:

Chris Dingell, Focus Group
Robert Orgill, Paradise
Charles Martin, Winchester
Amy Beaulieu, Whitney
Michael Dias, Sunrise
Dave Chestnut, Enterprise
Fred Doten, Laughlin
Keith Spencer, CBRE
David Diffley, Lewis Operating Group
Tony Celeste, Kaempfer Crowell
Angie Heath Younce, Spring Valley
John Getter, Spring Valley
Danielle Walliser, Sunrise

Staff

Mario Bermudez, Planning Manager
Shane Ammerman, Assistant Manager
Garrett TerBerg, Principal Planner
Paul Doerr, Sr. Planner
Michael Popp, Senior Management Analyst
Deborah Murray, Principle Management Analyst

- 1) **Call to Order.** Michael Popp called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. and opened the meeting with welcoming everyone to the meeting.
- 2) **Public Comment.** None.
- 3) **Approval of the Agenda.** Motion to approve by Robert Orgill, Paradise, and second Angie Heath Young, Spring Valley.
- 4) **Approval of the May 7, 2015 Minutes.** Motion to approve by Amy Beaulieu, Whitney, and second by Robert Orgill, Paradise.
- 5) **Introduction to Community Goals.** Mr. Garrett TerBerg led the group through the first 8 of the 15 overall existing goals found in the County's land use plans and reviewed two of the proposed 5 goals. Mr. TerBerg also explained there are additional 4 proposed goals submitted by workgroup members. Goal 1 was amended to strike the enhanced quality of life language. Goal 2 was stricken because the group felt it already was cover in goal 1 and in existing policy. Goal 3 the group decided to revisit with goal 4. Members of the group felt that existing goal 3 was geared more toward the RNP and is not carry an accurate description for the RNP. The group also looked at proposal goal 3 that was suggested to be similar to existing goal 3. The group recommended that existing goal 3 be re-written to reflect the proposed goal 3. However upon reviewing the proposed goal 3 the group felt it also needed rewriting. Dave Chestnut the author of the proposed goal agreed with the comments and would work to rewrite proposed goal 3 by the next meeting. Existing goal 3 is on hold until Mr. Chestnut brings back proposed language. Mr. Spencer commented to the group about the current needs in the community that gears more towards densification of the valley and to be cognizant of efficient use of lands in the urban core while protecting the RNP areas. This lead the group into a discussion about the adjacency issue surrounding the RNP areas. Goal 4 and Goal 5 lead the group into a lengthy discussion regarding density and setting expectations through goals to densify the urban goal was not desirable. The group decided that the headings for multi-family and

residential for these goals are confusing and should be eliminated. Ultimately the group decided to combine Goals 4 and 5 and eliminating the language regarding density. Proposed Goal 3 was also looked at to compare with existing Goal 4 & 5. It was determine by the group that Proposed Goal 3 reflects more of a policy and that it will be looked at further when there is discussion regarding the land use categories. Goal 6 amended language to reflect office profession should be provided for where appropriate. Goal 7 was accepted as written. Goal 8 was deleted; the group felt it was covered in other goals.

- 6) **Public Comment.** None.
- 7) **The next date and location for a regular meeting of the Clark County Community Plan Work Group is tentatively scheduled for July 23, 2015 at 6:00 p.m. in the Pueblo Room.**
- 8) **Adjournment.** The meeting was adjourned at 7:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael Popp, Senior Management Analyst

Date