



## CLARK COUNTY COMMUNITY PLAN WORKING GROUP

PUEBLO ROOM  
CLARK COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER  
500 S. GRAND CENTRAL PARKWAY  
THURSDAY, June 18, 2015 – 6:00 P.M.

---

### MINUTES Community Plan Work Group Meeting July 23, 2015 – 6:00 P.M.

#### Attendees:

Chris Dingell, Focus Group  
Robert Orgill, Paradise  
Charles Martin, Winchester  
Amy Beaulieu, Whitney  
Michael Dias, Sunrise  
Dave Chestnut, Enterprise  
Cheryl Wilson, Enterprise  
Keith Spencer, CBRE  
David Diffley, Lewis Operating Group  
Tony Celeste, Kaempfer Crowell  
Angie Heath Younce, Spring Valley  
John Getter, Spring Valley  
Robert Singer, Lone Mountain  
Vivian Kilarski, Planning Commission

#### Staff

Mario Bermudez, Planning Manager  
Kevin Smedley, Principle Planner  
Garrett TerBerg, Principal Planner  
Paul Doerr, Sr. Planner  
Michael Popp, Senior Management Analyst

- 1) **Call to Order.** Michael Popp called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. and opened the meeting with welcoming everyone to the meeting.
- 2) **Public Comment.** None.
- 3) **Approval of the Agenda.** Motion to approve by Robert Orgill, Paradise, and second Dave Chestnut, Enterprise.
- 4) **Approval of the May 7, 2015 Minutes.** Motion to approve by Robert Orgill, Paradise, and second by Amy Beaulieu, Whitney.
- 5) **Introduction to Community Goals.** Mr. Garrett TerBerg recapped with the workgroup goals 1 through 8. The group asked for clarification as to how the recommended goals will relate to the proposed land uses categories. Kevin Smedley explained to the group that the idea with the goals is to have them broad enough to fit existing and potential future categories. The group and staff also discussed the effect of eliminating goal 4 and its possible impact to residential housing categories and possibly the need to specifically address that goal during the proposed land use categories discussion that will be held next meeting. Staff also expressed that once the proposed categories have been completed, the staff and workgroup will have a clearer picture as to how the goals will match up with the policies. Mr. Garrett continued discussions introducing Goal 9 and it was recommended with some minor language changes to include “large scale office park and industrial activities such as” and accepted. Goal 10 was deleted.

The workgroup discussed goal 11 in relationship to policies and stated that the intent of the MUD is to have the commercial and residential components develop simultaneously. The group also discussed walkability within the MUD and amended language where such activities are provided within an MUD there should also be connectivity and the services should be integrated. Goal 11 was accepted with the amended language. The workgroup deleted goal 12. The workgroup discussed goals 13 and 14 simultaneously with regards to transportation relating to higher intensity activity centers or districts with uses such as hotels; casinos; entertainment uses; general business and appropriate locations for this use. Staff explained that state law now cover where gaming overlays are permitted and that much of the detail for this land use category is provided in existing policies and the transportation element of these higher

densities are expressed in Title 30 and RTC standards. As a side note, the workgroup commented again of having review time with the goals and policies together to compare those policies. Staff expressed that the goals have been electronically sent to them at the onset of this workshop and are provided at the meeting for their use. Staff all commented that after the goals are completed there will be time provided to review both the goals and policies together. Goal 13 and 14 were accepted as recommended. The workgroup deleted goal 15. The workgroup submitted in addition to the County's land use goals a set of proposed goals for consideration to be included into the County's overall land use goals.

Proposed goal 1 was introduced to the workgroup by Mr. Getter. Mr. Getter and the workgroup recognized this proposal as more of a vision statement than goal. Mr. Getter went on the record to say that he was aware that this was a vision statement and that each town needs to have an updated vision statement that should be incorporated into the Land Use plan. Mr. Getter specifically used his draft vision statement as an example that applies could apply to Spring Valley, whether individual or comprehensive. The workgroup and staff agreed and determined that as each land use plan is updated it will include an exercise of updating the vision statement for that town. Proposed goal 2 was introduced and determined to be an introductory statement instead of a goal and should be addressed as well when each land use area is updated. Proposed goal 3 was introduced and again determined to be language that is covered in Title 30 and in policy not a goal.

Proposed goal 4 was introduced by Mr. Chestnut and is a re-write of his initial proposed goal. Mr. Chestnut explained the need to define and provide language for large lot residential within estate homes and rural preservation individually. The workgroup agreed with his proposal and amended language as such to provide for large lot residential with two distinct land uses, estate homes and rural preservation areas. Proposed goal 5 was introduced to the workgroup and amended with language substitution to read "Provide for" with the word "encourage" instead of "To Promote" and to "require", otherwise the group accepted this as an additional goal.

- 6) **Public Comment.** Mr. Getter commented again the need to have the opportunity to be able to look at the goals and policies together to review for efficiency, and that Spring Valley is currently working with old goals and policies and a vision statement, and is disappointed the Spring Valley Town board will have to wait until the next review cycle to change the vision statement.
- 7) **The next date and location for a regular meeting of the Clark County Community Plan Work Group is tentatively scheduled for August 6, 2015 at 6:00 p.m. in the Pueblo Room.**
- 8) **Adjournment.** The meeting was adjourned at 7:35 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

---

Michael Popp, Senior Management Analyst

---

Date