



CLARK COUNTY COMMUNITY PLAN WORKING GROUP

PUEBLO ROOM
CLARK COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER
500 S. GRAND CENTRAL PARKWAY
THURSDAY, MAY 22 – 6:30 P.M.

MINUTES Community Plan Work Group Meeting May 22, 2014 – 6:30 P.M.

Attendees:

Dave Chestnut - Enterprise
Fred Doten - Laughlin
Pamela Walker- Laughlin
Michael A. Dias - Sunrise
Danielle Walliser A.I.A., NCARB - Sunrise
Susan Philipp- Paradise
Robert Orgill - Paradise
Robert Singer - Lone Mountain
Kelly Griffith - Lone Mountain
John Getter - Spring Valley
Angie Heath Younce - Spring Valley
Vivian Kilarski - Planning Commission
Jason Thompson - Planning Commission

Staff

Tiffany Hesser, Management Analyst II
Michael Popp, Senior Management Analyst
Kevin Smedley, Principal Planner
Shane Ammerman, Asst. Manager
Jon Wardlaw, Planning Manager

- 1) **Call to Order.** Michael Popp called the meeting to order at 6:35 p.m.
- 2) **Welcome and Introduction.** Michael Popp asked Town Advisory Board (TAB) members to introduce themselves. Then, he introduced staff and himself.
- 3) **Public Comment.** None.
- 4) **Approval of the Agenda.** Jon Wardlaw made a motion to approve the agenda, seconded by Shane Ammerman. Motion passed unanimously.
- 5) **Approval of the Minutes.** None, first meeting.
- 6) **Meeting Rules.** Michael Popp discussed some rules for the group. He said that we would be using a “card process” for determining how the group feels about an issue. Each member has 3 cards, red-no/opposed, yellow-maybe/need clarification, green-yes/understand. He also addressed the three minute speaking rule and not to speak at excessive lengths that would not allow others to speak. He asked that members introduce themselves as they make a comment. Michael also explained the agenda consists of four major topics that will be discussed in every meeting until the topic is complete.
- 7) **Community Plan Template.** Jon Wardlaw gave members an overview of the Template. He said that staff researched major metro areas across the country to find alternative good planning processes that would work in Clark County. He said that staff has prepared the draft with the help of subject experts

and now would like to refine, develop and complete the template with the help of the Working Group. There were several questions about whether how the Template would be used. Jon said the Template would be adjusted to fit each particular urban planning area. The committee asked Jon to review the Element on page 5. TABS are to look at template and provide comments on the structure of the template and information within back to the committee on June 5th meeting.

- 8) **Land Use Categories.** Kevin Smedley gave members an overview of the proposed Land Use Categories. 22 land use categories and 23 zoning districts have been the driver for this project. Kevin explained Land Use Plans became zoning documents over time. BCC gave direction to reduce the categories to be more unified and provide more discretion at the TAB level. Kevin reviewed the category chart with TAB. Jon reviewed a typical land use category (residential) as an example to demonstrate how the particular category would get implemented in zoning. Kevin answered several questions about RNP and the new residential categories.
- 9) **Planning Policies.** Jon Wardlaw explained the need to sort out, standardize, and consolidate our many policies. He showed members a slide showing the overall organization of the County's planning policies. He described the layout of the Comprehensive Plan into eight main areas (Elements) as required by the NRS. Jon went on to further explain that some of the specific policies about individual areas can be kept after all of this is sorted out. Staff will get the group's input on a process to sort out the policies and use the process on a couple of planning areas to make sure that it works well. Staff will work with the Working Group throughout the process. Some of the current policies replicate code or impracticable. Jon answered several questions about the individualization of policies and TABS. Kevin answered questions on the origins of policies. TABS are to review policies and provide comments back on the June 5th meeting.
- 10) **Title 30.** Shane Ammerman told the members that implementing the new plans and land use categories will take some changes to the current systems. He outlined three areas that staff believes will need focus; 1) Land Use Applications, 2) Design guidelines and policies, 3) Conformity Review. Shane answered several questions about writes and reviews. Shane answered questions on non-conforming zone changes and likening the new process to it on conformity review. Jon answered questions about concerns about being able to select the right zoning with multiple choices in a particular category. Shane address comments on new categories (activity center and place making) Subject: Title 30 will now be called "Implementation".
- 11) **Public Comment.** No input from Public. TAB's took the opportunity to give final closing comments and personal thoughts that included current conditions of excessive variances, poor write ups, simplifying the process, non-conforming zone change standards, disconnect between TAB and Planning Commission and all parties should be on the same page, (CMA example), reduce redundancy, concerns for residential protection. Concerns on the project included: zoning ranges within new categories, challenge of serving unique need of each TAB while using a holistic approach.
- 12) **The next date and location for a regular meeting of the Clark County Community Plan Work Group is tentatively scheduled for June 5 at 6:30 p.m. in the ODC 1 Room.**
- 13) **Adjournment.** The meeting was adjourned at 7:45 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael Popp, Senior Management Analyst

Date