



**LOCAL EMERGENCY PLANNING COMMITTEE
CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA**

Wednesday, February 18, 2009

LEPC MEMBERS:

Terri Davis, Brett Primas, Dan Lake, Rick Diebold, Michael Cyphers, Kevin Nicholson, Jim O'Brien, David Petersen, Elaine Houser, Dave Dahl, Kimberly Ferguson, Warren Glidewell, Glenn Closson, Susan Crowley, Irene Navis, Mike Richardson, Dustin Olson, Brett Handy, John Middaugh, Linda Alonzo, Philip McKay, John Horton, David Stuhan, Marilyn Stell, Brian Passow.

LEPC ALTERNATES:

Ruben Gurrola, Philip McKay, Dustin Olson, Chris Sproule, Steve Ross, Wayne Wick, Chris Greene, John Middaugh,

LEPC MEMBERS ABSENT:

Jim Wilson, Robert Marshall, Manny Bay, George Jones, Dan Shinn, Senator Dennis Nolan, Robert Fisher, Todd Peters, Jane Shunney, Fernandez Leary, Richard Brenner, Carolyn Levering.

I. Call to Order

Jim O'Brien, LEPC Chairman called the meeting to order at 9:08 a.m. on Wednesday, February 18, 2008, in the Organizational Development Center Training Room #3 of the Clark County Government Center.

II. Roll Call

Quorum met.

Jim O'Brien: Item X. C 5. Was removed from the agenda matter was spoken on item VI.

III. *Approval of Minutes for November 19, 2008

Minutes of the Meeting held on November 19, 2008 were approved.

Rick Diebold – City of Las Vegas Emergency Management moved to approve, Susan Crowley - Community Awareness and Emergency Response (CAER) Representative seconded, all in favor and motion carries.

IV. Hospital Vulnerability Assessment

Ruben Gurrola: Good morning everyone. Casey if you could help me out I need someone to work so we can go through the PowerPoint Presentation please. I'd also liked to take the opportunity to introduce our Chief Operating Officer from UMC Brian Branneman; he is sitting to my right. We need this meeting to ask for your help. We're at a point right now where in all of our lives of where there's a need to not only communicate with each other but to examine the need and assess the need for where do we fit with each other. Recently, again I want to tell you that we are regulated by a body called Joint Commission, we may have imposed on us a number of new regulatory elements, and these elements are requiring us to reach out with the community partners as yourselves and to not actually work in the vacuum in which we probably have done over so many years but know to move forward and see where the fit is. As an example, Casey Stiles from Sunrise, we have been meeting with the Nevada Hospital Association and what we determined was even amongst the hospitals we are on different pages. We are seeing things one way and there's a need for us to see the same

thing. Having said that, we are going to be taking a number of different approaches, as we move ahead so we can get on the same page, but before we can do that we need to be on the same page with the community. And that's the reason why we're here today, again we're going to do it and what I'd like to do it in a few minutes is conduct a Hazard Vulnerability Assessment. Before we get there let me just move on with a couple of slides here. We all know that we have these challenges before us we know that as part of the joint commission requirements that I have to write an emergency operation plan. But I can't do that with your input, without your insight, without your experience. So I'm required out of this element to conduct a Hazard Vulnerability Assessment so when I do this I do it from many different aspects. One is I have to go to the insight with senior leadership with UMC; I have to look at the other hospitals, and the health district, to determine what our strengths and weaknesses are. And then I've got to get with you, community partners, to make sure that you know what we are capable of doing and what our limits and restrictions are. So as we go through this Hazard Vulnerability the critical areas that we have to make comparisons and relationships to, those include communications, resources and assets, safety and security, staff responsibilities, utilities management, patient, clinical and support activities. There are a number of assumptions that people have about hospitals and some or many of you know the same. One is that hospitals are all things for all people, that we have this unlimited number of supplies and people. In fact we just like the rest of the economy and environment we are on daily budgets. In fact probably the seven and a half years that I've been with UMC we're probably at the most critical time of my career there because of budgets and financial restraints. The other end of this is that in the valley there's an assumption that we have adequate staffing and supplies. But if the truth be known, we all share the same doctors, we all share the same nurses, and many of the supplies that are to be drawn upon in an emergency are from the same vendors. Again you can see the writing on the wall there that if we have an event that we have to openly address those and that's one of the reasons I'm here talking to you. The other assumption is that we can accept all the influx of patients. Yesterday I went to one of our ODA (on duty administrators) and I posed the question to her, I said its eight o'clock in the morning and we just had a natural disaster how many beds do we have within the first hour. Can we accept and again there a lot of depends there it depends on what type and everything else, but just tell me about how many beds can we activate within an hour. I think there's twenty five. Casey from Sunrise hospital can you tell me a number on how many Sunrise might be able to have?

Casey Stiles: probably a hundred.

Ruben Gurrola: For us at UMC and again the word is depends, depends upon the time of year, depends what our daily census is, depends upon a number of factors. UMC is considered by many to be the base hospital of the community, with the trauma center with the brain center and many other centers of excellence that they have. There we are called upon to deliver a number of services to the community but again the truth needs to come out in terms of what our limitations are so that we can develop strategies to overcome them and at least to deal with them. The right hand needs to know what the left hand is doing and this is the example I used earlier when I was talking about each hospital knows what the other hospital has for resources and what they have on staffing what the bed count is. What we have are different software systems and information services that are available. If as an example that would be the EMS system but if that system was to go down and we have an event to go down in the valley how would we cooperatively working together, how would we address it? How would we meet that challenge? The last one I have is there's a an assumption that hospitals are safe hospitals in the event of a crisis would have the ability to withstand the overwhelming number of panic, of people that are hyped up of people that are not understanding. But just having to be faced with the event before them what the truth really is that UMC would probably have between 64 officers. That's the total officers on any shift. We have 8-10 if we have 64 doors that we have to monitor or at least control how would we be able to secure the hospital so that we would not be contaminated? Depending on the event that might be facing us so the security of the hospital is not just UMC but Sunrise and other hospitals are faced with the same challenges. So not just us one example of something we might have to look at and speak to. We have many different strategies as we look to evaluate and assess our current status; this is one column that we use. In each column we and those parts of the assessment that I'm going to ask you to participate with you can see that were looking at natural occurring events. You can see I've listed as many as we knew of and we tried to associate a number with it an in terms of the severity, We go across and look at the human impact, property impact, business impact and what our preparedness is at this stage. Our external response in a way is based on the risk factor; we come up with a number. We do this for many natural events we also do it for many technological events and human related events and the events involving

hazardous materials. Based on all of this information again we attempt to develop strategies and as we develop these we're looking for help and assistance from you in how we are going to prioritize these and how are we going to develop strategies to mitigate the impact of the most severe ones. Having said that there's a form that I'd like to pass around and I ask you to look at a couple of the different events. One is the natural events that another one is for mass casualties. What I'll ask you to do is assign a number to it and if you would give me a first name and department and if you feel really strongly about one of these. Again I've got to look back at Jim for a second here. When we had the Big Horn and the snow event and a few weeks later we had the snow and to talk about the Blue Bird exercise and the bus event then we had a bus event. This is something that again we need to be able to get input from you. How do you see our environment? How do you see the value in terms of what risk factors are here right before us and our need for this information? I'll come back and then what I'd like to do at the next meeting or the meeting after that I'd like to come back and bring this information back to you and then seek from you assistance in developing strategies. Then based on those strategies I'll develop and write our emergency operation plan. At a subsequent meeting I'll come back and submit it to you for review and approval. Moving along as I said at the beginning of this that we no longer operate in a vacuum but definitely need to reach out to you for this. So if you could please I'll ask you to complete this and if you would pass it in my direction and I thank you for that. Our next element when developing the emergency operation plan the organization communicates its needs and vulnerabilities to the community. The ones that are listed before starting with communications those are our vulnerabilities and I believe and I won't speak for Sunrise but I believe that Sunrise will say that those are. Well having said this communication, mitigation, response and recovery; these terms are not new to any of us but the application and the use of it to UMC and the community to which it serves as I said based on the info that receive from you and based on the strategies that we'll develop together I believe that we can make the valley, Las Vegas, and southern Nevada a prepared place. I'll entertain any questions that you may have at this time in terms of the direction that we're going with our operation plan.

Jim O'Brien: Ok, thank you Ruben any questions for Ruben?

Rick Diebold: As you've gone about this process did you actually look at it and review the multi-jurisdictional countywide hazard mitigation plan and I'll tell you why I ask. As people fill out the scores it's going to look really strange if the disasters you call out in the scores are different than those in the multi-jurisdictional plan and mitigation plan and you use in fact a different body than that group to score. So your mitigation techniques may be very different than what we call out in multi jurisdictional plan. This work has already been done.

Ruben Gurrola: Again very good point there has to be a comparison. Again I would look at to when that plan and when it was developed to see if it meets today challenges. I won't ignore it but I'd be very conscious of it.

Jim O'Brien: Thank you, anybody else?

Brian Branneman: If I could just, I want to piggyback on what Ruben said. That's exactly why we want to come to this group and talk to the community because we absolutely do not want to come up with our internal UMC plan of action which is absolutely out of sync with where the community is going. What do you view as the hazards, what things have the highest likelihood so that are all of our assumptions are aligned and we all have a good sense of how were going to deal with stuff. Because if I write my own independent plan and I'm going to suck up all of the medical supplies in the community and nobody's going to have anything they need. Sunrise is doing the same thing. When the real deal happens were going to break and so we want to make sure that were all communicating and we're all planning the same way so that there's a response and not just something on paper that gets me through the next accreditation hearing.

Jim O'Brien: Thanks Brian, Mark

Mark Blomstrom: Mark Blomstrom, Urban Area Program Manager one additional piece of information which you may find helpful Ruben in addition to what you're doing here which I applaud. About a year ago in January of 2008 a survey of this group plus some state representatives known as the Homeland Security Working Group went through an exercise and evaluated fifteen National presented scenarios very similar to what you look like you've jumped to. They eliminated several of those and added a number more coincidentally we ended up with a total of fifteen scenarios that they felt that time a year ago might be probable. You are certainly welcome to access that information if you'd like to look at it at all.

Ruben Gurrola: Absolutely, I thank you for that.

Jim O'Brien: Alright I think Rick raised a good point. I think that we are going to have to revisit that mitigation plan anyway and because you brought this up as timely I think that maybe in the next three or four months we can collaborate to make sure that that's up to date to make sure that it meets your needs as well as our needs as well as FEMA requirements. I have to tell you that we have to have these plans vetted through FEMA before they approve them so we have to be crossing T's and dotting I's but I'm glad that you brought it forward because we do need to revisit that anyway, I hope to hire somebody in the planning and coordination position Friday and then that would be their first assignment. We'll get that taken care of but thanks very much. Anybody have any questions for Ruben?

Brian Passow: We just got our new PA finalized today its actually getting signed off by our board of Governors. We had brought ours in line with the counties mitigation plan and in addition to that I completely have a budget that is hospital specific.

Ruben Gurrola: In closing we'd like to say that with the operation plan developed we're going to evaluate it by drills, by communication, and working relationships with not only you but with the other hospitals as well. But again thank you very much for your time.

Jim O'Brien: Irene

Irene Navis: Just one more thing for Ruben, Irene Navis with Clark County Nuclear Waste Division as part of our assessment work for Yucca Mountain we've done some work with UMC in the past on assessing potential incidents from shipments of high level radioactive waste to Yucca. So I have some information that we gathered that might be able to help you as you complete your assessment and work. You're welcome to work with us; we'll to provide that information to you. We have some critical infrastructure identification that did include hospitals and commodity flow study that looked at transportation of hazardous materials. Anything HazMat related of that nature that meets our nuclear issues that we can be of assistance please call us. Thanks.

Ruben Gurrola: Thank You!

Jim O'Brien: Thanks Irene, yeah I think what we're talking about are levels of scale and we're talking about an XY coordinate that's a street address and the hazards that you face versus what the census tracts or the community as a whole or the county as a whole. We're in a scaling situation so I think that it would be good to integrate and complement each other in these efforts. Thank you very much. Moving on to the next item.

V. Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations Regulation

Jim O'Brien: Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations Regulation. I know, I have gotten phone calls on this, they are all saying what are you talking about umm; this is a new EPA regulation. If any of you operate or come into contact with people who operate feed lots for pigs, cows, horses, sheep any animals that produce waste or emit toxic materials into the atmosphere there is a regulation, that was a handout on the back table or the table out in the hall, that you have to report the level of release into the atmosphere of ammonia and other, ugh you can read. I was required to announce this publicly so you have it. Thank You.

Kim Ferguson: Jim, are we going to need to put something like this referring to this in the pets and services animals annex?

Jim O'Brien: My guess is probably no, because we're talking about concentrated animal feed lots and if you read the regulation you'll see that they talk about populations of animals as in the 1000s as in central feeding areas that produce enormous amounts of waste not household pet type waste, but thanks, good thinking. Ok, moving right along to the Training and Exercise Planning workshop, Shalene.

VI. Training and Exercise Planning Workshop

Shalene Ferreira: Clark County is hosting a Training and Exercise Plan workshop Tuesday February 24, here in the government center, in the Pueblo room. Registration will be starting at 9:30 and the workshop begins at 10 it will be run from 10:00 to 2:00. What it is, it's the workshop is to develop our multiyear training and exercise schedule for Clark County with input from folks like you. What it is we want to identify what exercises your agencies are having and what your training needs are so we can provide those opportunities to you and with the national level exercise coming out we would like to see if we can roll a lot of those exercises up to that national level exercise. Registration for the TEPW is actually going to close today so if you have any questions please give me a holler you can reach me at 455-5714 or you can shoot me an e-mail.

Jim O'Brien: How do they register Shalene?

Shalene: I have a Reg online link, that's why I said give me a holler; I will shoot the link out to you. I'll leave it open to the close of business day because I'm providing lunch. I need to have a head count so I know who is going to be there, the amount of people, so I know how many people I need to provide food for.

Jim O'Brien: Any questions for Shalene? This is important because what it helps us do is a few things, first of all, it helps us in the development of request for funding under the Homeland Security grant processes, and other grant processes through the state emergency response commission and the USEPA. It also helps us form the agenda and program for the HazMat Explo which is taking place this year at the end of October and we'll talk about that a little bit more at the next meeting. But we can gain some ideas for class presentations for there as well, the third thing, the benefit we have from that, it helps us deconflict exercises that are going on and hopefully deter people from just getting a wild hair, oh well, let's do an exercise. Well you know we've already have five this month and we're kind of exercised out and we just had one of those request's that come up and we said sorry it's not on our schedule and we'll play at a very minimal level and our plate is full. Typically this county, overall in a two year period, can with the inclusion of drills and tabletops, functional and full scale exercises can see anywhere from seventy five to a hundred different exercise opportunities going on in the county. With all of those many of us, because we don't have very good depth in positions, so we end up being exercise players in everybody else's exercises and that's why Shalene's comment about rolling us over into the national level type exercise which is a great segue into the next agenda item.

VII. 2010 National Level Exercise Update

Jim O'Brien: I want to thank the cities of Boulder City, North Las Vegas and Mesquite who have taken this to their city councils and we've gotten direction from their city councils to participate and do the best job they can as far as planning and conducting and evaluating the exercise. The Clark County Commission has also adopted that, we hope to see the others as well. The concept development meeting will probably be at the end of March. The date is still fuzzy. It's floating around. We've have some contact with the national exercise office for FEMA. What we will do is we will pick a representative by discipline we're not going to invite everybody to the table because I understand that you all have needs for exercises. But we'd like to feed those by disciplines rather than by individual organization. The cities whose council have already raised your hands we'll definitely invite you because that's part of where direction was from your councils. My guess is probably going to be somewhere in the last two weeks of March, is when the concept development meeting is going to occur so we'll keep you posted. Soon as I learn about it we'll take care of that, Ok moving on to, any questions on that I'm sorry I forgot.

VIII. Report on Working Group for WebEOC and Incident Resource Inventory System

Jim O'Brien: On the working group for WebEOC and Incident Resource Inventory System. We've published a schedule for completing the Incident Resource Inventory System IRIS. How many of you are not familiar with the IRIS? Alright! This is good. Anyway we have a target for Mesquite being done end of this month and so Dave and his crew out there have been working hard with Felix to get that done. Next in line is Boulder City and the month of March we'll get Boulder City done. In April we're looking get the City of Henderson wrapped up and May we're looking to get the City of Las Vegas wrapped up and then in June the Clark County Agencies that are still not on board. We hope to get that finalized so I promised the State we will have an IRIS completion targeted for the end of June. We'll that we'll give you a report for our meeting that will probably be in August so we'll have a final report for you at that point. I've sent out an invitation to the city emergency managers as well as significant members of the private sector, we're going to be doing an EOC training session in May for how to manage the internal workings of an EOC and how we do this. We want to standardize this for at least the governmental EOC's within Clark County and I've invited other counties within the state to attend as well. And that's May 6th and 7th. We will send out a Reg online to targeted people. Kim I thank you for submitting that to the utilities working group that's good. John I think I copied you for the security guys. What we want to do is target people who are the mangers for the emergency operations centers not the support staffs that operate it, just the person who is the point of contact for your EOC. We're going to limit the class to thirty five people and it will consist of training on the 6th

and application and training on the 7th through a functional exercise using the system. We have it as a model for everybody to use or not use nobody's forcing you to use but it's worked for us for the past four years so we want to see if we can't standardized this. Any questions on that?

Norma Lea Chrismon: Is the WebEOC compatible with a video phone?

Jim O'Brien: None of it is audio its all text based, so I think if we gave you a user name and login I think that you'll find that its pretty user friendly. There's no audio associated with it. Any other questions, moving on ok next item, who's still on the line with us I heard somebody hang up?

Dave Dahl: Still on.

Jim O'Brien: Okay thank you.

IX. *Review and Recommend that the Board of County Commissioners approves proposed grant application to the State Emergency Response Commission for the Operations, Planning, and Training & Equipment grant.

Jim O'Brien: Item nine reviews and recommend that the Board of County Commissioners approve proposed grant application to the State Emergency Response Commission for the Operations, Planning, and Training & Equipment grant. This is an annual grant cycle by the State Emergency Response Commission and I'll let Shalene explain this to you.

Shalene: Yesterday the grant subcommittee met and prepared the recommendations for moving forward with this grant application. The maximum allowed for this grant is thirty thousand plus the four thousand for LEPC operation costs which up to 50% can be used for clerical under this recommendation. The thirty thousand equipment is broken down into multiple parts from multiple jurisdictions and those that were recommended are: The Las Vegas Metro Police Department is purchasing a multi-ray four gas monitor and photo identification detector for \$3898; Clark County Fire Department is purchasing a 130 year light GTX coverall 30 suits, and that is totaling \$16395.60. North Las Vegas is purchasing a thermal imaging camera for \$9800 and that equals a little over \$30,000. Metro had agreed to pay there was a \$93.60 difference; they agreed to pay that overage of the \$30,000. Those were the recommendations for grant applications and we're just putting that in front of the LEPC board for that to move forward for approval, then for that to move forward with the grant application. I'll take a motion.

Susan Crowley: Moved to approve.

Jim O'Brien: Susan Crowley moved to approve

Dan Lake: second the motion

Shalene: I also want to make sure that everyone knew that Clark County Fire Department was purchasing the 130 suits and they were going to distribute it all to the City of Las Vegas, Henderson and North Las Vegas

Jim O'Brien: And this does include 50% off the operations grant for clerical support.

Shalene: That was mentioned

Jim O'Brien: Any other unique callouts that we need to make to satisfy the SERC's call out requirements or have we covered the bases? We're good. Moved to seconded and any further discussion, all in favor, any opposed? Ok motion carries thank you.

X. LOCAL EMERGENCY PLANNING COMMITTEE

Reports and Updates

a. Community Awareness Emergency Response

Susan Crowley: I was just looking around for our new chairman and I don't see him so I'll give a very quick update. We have reestablished the CAER group and it primarily consists of those CAER, BMI complex, and those surrounding the BMI complex, that are fairly large that have HazMat available on their plant site. We're just resuming and trying to figure out what our future holds for us. The past big events have been things like development of risk management plans. But those are already established and they're well founded and so we recognize as a group that we need to be meeting and bringing into the group folks that we can use. The group is a forum for discussion between emergency responders and those who may ultimately have an emergency inside their plant. But were just trying to figure out what the next biggest thing is that we need to work on is so we're just in the infancy of reforming.

Jim O'Brien: Thank you I know this is probably outside of your lane but someone brought this to my attention and I thought maybe you can shed some light on it. The HIPAC is that anything that you all deal with?

Susan Crowley: Is it HICAP?

Jim O'Brien: I don't know it has to do with the advisory council or something.

Susan Crowley: Yes that's right, it is Henderson industrial I don't know what the rest of the acronym means but it is basically that group was reformed a lot like the CAER group it consists of leaders in the community including folks like maybe the head Pastor at the church and someone in the school district that is well connected and can gather up concerns of the school district. It's just a whole set of community leaders that can offer advice to the folks in the BMI complex especially but then throughout the community as well as to what the concerns of the community are for the existence of the industry in that community. If it's the group that I'm talking about that's what there for and they just reformed again.

Jim O'Brien: and this is a city of Henderson group?

Susan Crowley: Basically Yes

Jim O'Brien: ok, alright that helps clarify it for me, thank you

Susan Crowley: It's focused primarily on the BMI complex.

Jim O'Brien: Any questions for Susan? Ok, next.

b. Community Organizations Active in Disasters (COAD)

Jim O'Brien: Robin Kelley, are you here, does any one have a report on that regard, ok, we'll move on.

c. Community Right-To-Know Subcommittee

Jim O'Brien: Steve Ross, anybody Ok:

d. Emergency Alert System Update

Jim O'Brien: Steve Scott, are you here, ok were moving right along.

e. Emergency Management Coordinators Group

Jim O'Brien: Dimitri Theodorou It's your turn the Emergency Managers Coordinators group.

Dimitri Theodorou: My turn?

Jim O'Brien: Yes sir page 2 item E second item from the top.

Dimitri Theodorou: We met last month over at the school police for the first time and we presented the emergency operation center to the group I don't know hat else to say.

Jim O'Brien: That's fine you were the host so next meeting is at NV Energy in March and we'll post that.

Dimitri: I'd like to say that we'll over at food services.

Jim O'Brien: Thank you much any questions for Dimitri? All right moving on.

f. Metropolitan Medical Response Update

Chris Sproule: MMRS Program Coordinator: We're approaching midway point of the CDC medical surge pilot program that we started a few months ago. We're doing the emergency services template; UMC is doing the hospitals template. The first phase of that was to identify the current status. We're now in the second phase which is beginning, training and planning improvements. One of the most important issues that we discovered was that our combined fire alarm office does not have any NIMS or ICS training which we need to get them that training. Also we're going to be rolling out the Bombings Injury Patterns and Care Training to some of our first responders. We'll start with our City Of Las Vegas personnel. This is the same training program that was developed by the CDC and ACEP so we're going to be rolling that out. We're also going to be making some equipment purchases here in the near future our accrued cache of MCI backboards has disappeared over the years. There's still a few remaining so were going to update that cache, purchase more MCI backboards, and I'm going to be trying to get some people together to start specking out MCI trailers and a large scale shelter system. I'll be looking for input and volunteers from people of this group.

Jim O'Brien: Thank you any questions for Chris? Yes.

Brian: Were you guys going to be using the injury algorithm for that CDC?

Chris Sproule: Yes we're going to be following their guidance on that an inputting the training materials

Jim: Thanks any other questions.

g. NV-1 Disaster Medical Assistance Team Update

No report.

h. North Las Vegas Exercise and Satellite Phone Update

Dan Lake: We still remain activate with this program this little project we took a little hiatus over the holidays at New Years and Christmas and we will be scheduling another meeting in March. There have been some equipment items identified by the group and we'll also looking to be working with Richard Brenner to bring in a couple more vendors to talk about additional equipment to enhance our satellite phone process that everyone agreed to abide by. We'll still be working with that and I'll be blasting out a date for the next meeting.

Jim O'Brien: Ok thanks very much any another questions for Dan on the satellite phone project? Ok moving on.

i. Planning Subcommittee

Jim O'Brien: Richard Brenner couldn't attend the meeting but he did send me an e-mail to say that he will be scheduling a meeting in late March to start the process of reviewing the hazardous materials plan, and in bold and quotation marks after the 2009 Homeland Security grant process is complete, so stay tuned we'll put the notice out as soon as Richard sets a date and location for that. Thank you.

j. State Emergency Response Commission

Jim O'Brien: Karen Pabon the executive director of the commission sent an email just to ask me to read these to you so that you have an update of what the SERC is doing. "The State Emergency Response Commission planning and training subcommittee is meeting today February 18 to review HazMat plans, exercises and questionnaires about the level of response per county. SERC grant applications are due March 18 with the anticipated start date of July 1 of 2009 and that's what you just took action on a little bit earlier to approve that application."

The United We Stand grant applications and for those of you new to this process those are a funding stream funded by the United We Stand license plates that you see around town and the legislature determined that the SERC was the appropriate place to administer these grants for preparedness to combat terrorism, whatever that means. The applications will go out in April and they'll be due in June with an anticipated grant start date of July 9, 2009. So many of the requests that we had under the SERC grants that we just approved that were not funded might fit under that grant to combat terrorism. The important thing is the online hazardous materials reporting system went live as far as January 6 of this year, so company facilities that currently report their chemical information that is due on March 1st those companies and facilities personnel must access the online system to verify, to correct, to add contact, chemical information, to generate an invoice and to print the State Fire Marshal HazMat permit. The way to enter that system through the State Fire Marshall website is go to www.fire.state.nv.us and then click on the HazMat permitting office links. The information in the reporting system is available to the emergency responders and emergency planners. Each agency can have one user name registered in the system as there are 100 licenses available to each county. So each of the fire departments and police departments etcetera should designate someone who would be the user and we can access the responder module which is at a different website www.Serc.nv.gov and click on the hazard materials reporting system for planners / LEPC link. At this time not all of the information available to the responders has been verified and updated by the facilities. Since we haven't reached the March deadline yet, so any questions on those two items? I think those are important for the facilities that are here. You have the ability to report online and the SERC has determined that will satisfy the tier II reporting requirements. I know some of the agencies have been uncertain and don't have confidence yet in the system and so they've been faxing in the tier II reports to our office and we'll take them and put them in a file but it's ok if you don't send them in as long as you do it online you're still compliant. Work smarter not harder. Any questions on the State Emergency Response Commission report? Ok then we'll move on.

k. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Report

Jim O'Brien: You should have a handout that was out on the table, I'm not going to go through that you can all read I think if you have any questions about that e-mail Mike Ardito whose contact information should be on there. That'll pretty much all on one.

I. Biological Agent Preparedness Working Group

Jim O'Brien: John Middaugh, are you here? Ok then we'll drive past that.

m. Approve LEPC bylaws changes to add Homeland Security Urban Area Work Group Citizens Corps Council to the bylaws title.

Jim O'Brien: This is a clerical error so we cannot take action on this but we'll do this at the next meeting. We need to approve a change to the bylaws. You should have a handout. It was just the coversheet for the bylaws that showed in red or underneath Local Emergency Planning Commission it says Homeland Security Urban Area Working Group, Citizen Corps Council. This was a strong recommendation from our DHS preparedness person who was reading out of some guidance manual that said well you guys have been doing it for the past four years but it doesn't say so, so that's why we have to add these words on our sheet. Well take this up at the next meeting in May and then we'll agendaize that for adoption if you have any question on that. It's to punch a button for the feds and we'll take care of it then.

B. CITIZEN CORPS COUNCIL

Citizen Corps Programs Report

- **Amateur Radio Emergency Service**

Jim O'Brien: We have a new Emergency Coordinator as you know a good friend we've had for many years Charlie Kunz had to back off from the Emergency Coordinators position for the amateur radio operators due to job demands in his regular paying job, we certainly want to recognize Charlie for his efforts and contributions for herding cats in this arena. I know some of you are ham operators in this room and probably have had an email already from the new emergency Coordinator Bill Smith who I'd like to introduce. Bill if you could get to one of the microphones so that it goes into the recording system. That would help to give us a little introduction and your plans for the future. Thank You.

Bill Smith: Thank you Jim It's very nice to be here and to see everybody and to meet the people, certainly its going to be a tough job to replace Charlie Kunz, he did a great job building the ARES team here in Clark county, a little bit about myself. I am retired Air Force Officer and also an Attorney some of you have counsel status with my firm here in town. So I'm no longer actively practicing law but I'm a long term radio operator, I'm approaching my fiftieth year of having a license this year of having a license starting when I was very young. I've been active in public service events all through my radio career and living in different places, I've lived in Tornado Alley in Alabama for seven years and we have very active participation and severe weather events, I've completed the basic entry level courses that ICS 100 and the ARRL which is the national radio organization representing ARES across the nation. Then we have the entry level course of beginning in Emergency communications also. The organization that is sponsored by the AWRL is broken down to the national level, state level, and county level and then within counties cities and towns. We have ARES coordinators all through Clark County and in the cities Henderson, Boulder City, Moapa, Logan dale all through Clark County. We have a great team built thanks to Charlie and Vern who is here as well. Compare RACES is an organization that's heads. If an event called for RACES activation Jim would do that and the ARES team support he would notify us and ask us to commence our operations and support him and any other agencies that need communicators. It's important that if you aren't familiar with ARES they are a volunteer organization that even though we're called amateur radio operators we feel like we act professionally when it comes to public service communications. The benefit to the served agency is that we come at no cost to you, we're a volunteer agency, we don't charge anything, and we aren't allowed to charge anything for our services. Most of us have our own equipment and capabilities for both base and mobile operations. We've been trained on public service radios. We have well over 100 members on the local team most of whom have completed the FEMA ICS courses 100, many have completed 100, 200 and 700 as well as the ARRL courses. Our hams are licensed by the federal communications system and those of you licensed already know that. My focus is to build upon a great team. I want to focus mainly this year on training. To that end we're having a live training session FEMA courses 100 and 200 in March, for those hams who have not completed it we look forward to that. We also look forward to having some ARES internal drills and exercises to determine our own capabilities and within our own ARES force. That's pretty much it I'm glad to be here I look forward to working with anybody who needs our services and I'm excited to take over Charlie's position and move forward, Thank you very much.

Jim O'Brien: Thanks Bill and questions for Bill? Susan.

Susan: What is your e-mail address?

Bill: My email address is w4hmv@cox.net and I have a card if anybody afterwards wants my card, I'd be glad to give it, it has all the information. Thank You.

Jim O'Brien: Thanks Bill, I would encourage anyone who hasn't looked into this to really, you ought to take the training and get your license in it, you know its been very informative and instructive for me at least to help understand the awesome capabilities that the amateur radio world has in terms of supporting interoperability connectivity in non emergency as well as emergency situations.

- **Community Emergency Response Team**

Rick Diebold: As a trademark for Community Emergency Response Team I'm going to ask that at the next Emergency Manager Coordinators Group that this become an agenda item or that we'll discuss it at the next group. Over the years the community emergency response team program had become many things in many communities and none of them are the same. They have in fact the coordinator for the programs. We see it as an education program. It has become a volunteer program in many communities and with requests we will support the Citizens Corps, those volunteer programs. It really is up to the communities to decide whether it is a response program, a volunteer program or an education program. After we train these individuals they go through the twenty four hour course we will provide the names to the emergency manager for that community. What they do with those people after that is truly up to that community. We maintain the database and we can activate or not activate at an individual's request but is really up to that community to define how they are going to use those people. We have courses going right now in Henderson, Las Vegas, North Las Vegas, and we start one in Boulder City on Saturday. There are roughly 1800 people who have gone through that course in the database now and that is a relatively current database. We update it every six months, they're maintaining an NIMS notification system and so if you do want to get a hold of them you can and they're a great resource for drills. If nothing else they're just great resources for drills and knowing that they exist in your community.

Jim O'Brien: Any questions for Rick, alright thanks Rick.

- **Fire Corps**

No report.

- **Medical Reserve Corps**

No Report

- **Neighborhood Watch**

No report

- **Volunteer in Police Service**

No report

C. URBAN AREA WORKING GROUP

1. Urban Area Strategy Update

Jim O'Brien: I'll toss this off to Mark; many of you know Mark our Urban Area Program Manager. The strategy is currently active it's a valid strategy at this point and due for an update in 2010 so as we go through 2009 and we accomplish things through the various efforts of agencies and homeland security grants etc. we will probably be knocking out some of the objectives within the strategy. When those are complete, I'm not looking for more work, but if we need to add more objectives in the strategy we can certainly add those when we do the update to be published in about a year from now for 2010. It's a 2 year strategy, a rolling strategy that we have. It's the centerpiece for all the homeland security grant expenditures that we are obligated to tie to. Any of the people who have come to us, and I know there some uninformed people in the community who come to us, about putting security cameras in dormitories, sorry Dustin its not you, it was someone from your organization but not you, and I said you know it'd be nice if we could do that but tell me which objective within our urban area strategy that you're satisfying. We kind of educated that person on that activity. I think overall it's just an education process and knowing that because we have budget

shortfalls. This is not the well that is going to satisfy your needs. There are some specific limitations that we can do with that. With that I'll pass it over to Mark.

Mark Blomstrom: Thank you Jim, Mark Blomstrom Urban Area Program Manager, and before I get into this I'd like to say to Bill Smith Welcome sir and Amateur Radio Operators are amateur only in the sense that they are not paid for what they otherwise love to do, they are one of the most professional groups that I know of, I am not an amateur. Fifty years of being a ham Radio Operator is very impressive. The Urban Area Security Initiative status I'll step through this fairly quickly, if anyone has any questions and or clarifications please feel free to interrupt me, raise your hand or jump up and down. I'm going to do this in two parts the first will address our program level overview the second part will be more specific at the project initiative level, when you say project initiative there may be multiple years of projects on one particular initiative they'll be associated under the what the Feds call a target capability area and we'll look at them from the standpoint of UASI investment in them and then problems that they may be having. This is simply other than being a bunch of figures in the lower right hand corner is a figure which shows 24.2 million dollars invested over three years 06-07-08 that's how much money you have invested in these projects at this point. Looking at it by national priorities the national priorities are on the right hand side there you should have, most of you should have, some handouts of these slides they're available, a little bit hard to read but does provide you some backup if you want it. What proportion of the pie chart there you'll notice there are four areas including medical surge on the upper left the citizen planning and preparedness then the NIMS/NRF National Response Framework and then regional collaboration that constitutes roughly 9% of your investment by dollar. The bottom four showing down here as CBRNE which includes IED response and the communication fusion center intelligence, the silver shield critical infrastructure protection program that constitutes 91% so as you can see roughly 10 – 9 split. Looking at this by the fed target or primary target capability here's where it breaks down there are 10 out of 37 target capabilities you've invested in and this is up there to show you there are 37 of these areas and is somewhat misleading in the sense that using for example the intelligence information. Showing the dissemination which is the fusion center program here at almost 10 million dollars worth of investment the argument can be made and is made that as secondary target capabilities they also address information gathering and recognition indicators. Intelligence analysis and production also have a part to play in counter terror investigations so there are secondary target capabilities also. This looks at things a little but closer and shows the 10 target capabilities that you have invested in thus far. The CBRNE detection is added in the national priority which is explosive device response operation so it is leaning toward advanced IED defeat you'll see in a bit here. Where they are at that basically from a program level now we are going to move into the project initiative level. Does everybody have a copy of the strategic priority crosswalk? The document looks like this. On the following pages you'll see a large black banner across the top. If you look at that and you look at the left hand side you see the Nevada commission of homeland security goal is to crosswalk priorities, homeland security priorities, with the homeland security goals and objective then for this year. The commission, Nevada commission on homeland security expressed 2009 main priorities and then in far right how this relates to the existing most of which will wind up being ongoing projects in investment. Take a look at that far right column what you'll see next up in terms of slides is all within the same order as that far right column so a key to the urban area crosswalk taking a look first at the silver shield critical Infrastructure protection UASI funds have been almost three million dollars over the three years this project is sitting at about 55%. It is one of two projects which has been identified as being at risk of losing some funding 06 funds specifically that has been addressed and Sheila Conway has indicated that they do have money encumbered on the next page. Three is fusion centers the fusion centers have had about 6.5 million of the UASI funding out of 17.6 million total the 89% completion is on the 06 year only they are just starting to use 07 funds now, yes they will be requesting additional funding. Moving on another piece of the intelligence dissemination and sharing is the urban area efforts towards the justice information sharing system this is chaired by Emmitt Lamb and they received about a 1/4 of a million and they have not spent any of that yet, their just getting underway, they will request about 3.5 million in 09. Next Interoperable communications this actually breaks out into a number of additional sheets with 27 different projects and sub projects. I won't make your eyes bleed here by going through all of those we've spent about 5.82 million in the UASI funds here within the urban area through three years overall project completion is at about 51%. This is the second of two projects that are at risk of losing 06 funds. The problem is at the state level and is being addressed. About 1.2 million funds at risk and there will be an extension requested on that. Moving on Rick I

hope I got this more, better, corrector, sir the state wide citizen corps program including the citizen awareness campaign, these are really two projects at this point. Total UASI investment and that is UASI does not include CCP funds is 411,000 and that I believe is inaccurately shown as including 08, I don't believe 08 is included. In the event the project is moving towards completion and as Rick has indicated will be completed by programmed expenditure of additional funds as Nevada broadcasters use them on the citizen awareness campaign. According to this it is still not on the bottom line you probably will request UASI and SHSP funds in the upcoming 09 process. Moving on then evacuation and sheltering this project is now done. It was funded with zero UASI funds it's a statewide project and it was funded primarily with state funding at this point there is no funding that would be requested in 09. Here again the same situation I hope I've got this correct now, the task force on the deaf and hard of hearing was in 06 and special needs was in 07 UASI total for these two projects. \$184,000 36% completed at this point and it will not be subject to any further request in 09. Looking at mass fatality the project manager on this is John Fudenberg and they have spent about 90,000 at this point. The UASI total I 212, 000 they are just getting underway on this they will probably request half a million more in 09. Medical surge an area now of great interest funded in 07 and 08 with about 241,000 UASI. They are now just starting in this project they do not know how much they will request in 09 but they will. Chris Sproule operating under the MMRS, Metropolitan Medical Response System does not use any UASI funds but will go forward and use the MMRS funds in 09. I believe there at 321,000 indicated for that the reason this is of interest is because the Metropolitan Medical Response System is primarily at this urban area. Looking at the Statewide Resources Management zero UASI funds have been spent this is a state level program but it does have impact in the urban area and it's a DHS mandatory requirement that the state as a whole show significant progress by June 2010 otherwise we have our grant funding programs at risk. Credentialing will be a new project here and it will be requested at the state level. The committee is going to be set up in the immediate future and the issue of credentialing is one which is fairly hot with DHS at this particular point. IED Defeat or explosive ordnance UASI funds have been spent exactly half of the total of 4.8 million, 2.4 of that has come out of the UASI urban area funds this project is moving along at 47% this is a DHS priority for urban areas they are very interested in seeing this moved forward. Radiological Nuclear Detection this is also a DHS urban area priority they've identified, this is just started. It was just funded last year for the first time and 626,000 with roughly half of that coming from UASI funds. The project manager Eric Matus will be requesting approximately the same if not more in the 09 year coming up. CBRNE detection Jeff is not here it has been funded through three years Jeff Vialard of Metro is the project manager for this. About 1 million in UASI funding spent most of a considerable amount of that 60% completion on this and more will be requested in 09 funds. State wide training and exercise the UASI funds thus far about 384,000 in the upcoming 09 process there will be an additional amount relative to the National Level Exercise 2010. It will bump this whole request up a fair amount that will more than likely contain a fair amount of funds that will be used in the urban area might come out of the UASI. Next to last the Southern Nevada Regional Public Safety Complex was funded total of 477,000 last year all of that was UASI funds Carolyn Levering reports that all of that is now encumbered with the hiring of an Engineering and Architecture firm, two firms, and that this is approximately 25% complete and yes they will be requesting more funds for planning only they are not eligible for construction funds under this particular projects. EOC Standardization about 123,000 in this last year of UASI funds this has been split out from planning, training and exercise in 08 they will probably be more funds requested under this heading in 09 specifically to address capability assessment. Any questions that I can answer on any of these? In summary you allocated and authorized about expended not quite expended excuse me about 24.2 million in UASI funds over the last three years that cover 17 initiative projects, 10 target capability areas, you've completed one project two others are nearing completion and most of the multiple year projects are showing significant progress meaning their somewhere 50ish plus or minus percent done, four projects are funded in 08 and just getting started, two of these projects are at risk of losing 06 funds at this point and those are being addressed, any other questions. Thanks.

2. Regional Transit Security Strategy

No Report.

3. Communications Grants

Mark Blomstrom: Really quickly here the Urban Area Communication Steering Committee has existed on paper since 05. We established that based on multiple communication issues in front of the urban area some of the issues were on the agenda yesterday. Laura Fucci the Clark County CIO Chairs the Communication Steering Committee she is also the chair of CTASN Communication Technology Association of Southern Nevada CTASN. The first item national emergency communications plan. In July 08 the feds came out with a plan on the national level, centerpiece of this is three goals the first goal is it affects the urban area. By mid 2010 the feds want to see demonstrated ability to respond to with interoperable communications now. The key word here is demonstrated as opposed to validated. The Las Vegas Urban Area has already validated a T.I.C. plan and the ability to show interoperable communications through a tabletop exercise and a follow up exercise done in 06. This will demonstrate it by looking through actual real life incidents and looking at the reports and facts that surrounding those incidents. It will be done over a draft two year time frame. At this point there is a considerable discussion on this; the feds in the form of DHS office of emergency communications has requested feedback from urban areas in the country. The urban areas being in the lead on this requested feedback on the selection criteria for incidents, the conversation yesterday discussed this there were several points that will now be pushed back to the feds on it and including the recommendation that we use scheduled majored events such as NASCAR or the Rodeo here to qualify or demonstrate this interoperable capability. Another agenda item, the T.I.C. plan update is due at the end of this calendar year. That 2009 update so that will be moving forward with scheduled discussion, communication unit leader training that was discussed this will be coming up on March 17 through the 19th there are about 16 communication type folks who are signing up for this. It is training provided through technical assistance by DHS. It will be held at the Convention Center Area Command thanks to Captain Hanks we appreciate that Metro is helping to sponsor this. Finally an update on the major grant funded project of about 5.1 million dollars which will tie together major radio systems within the states three out of four of which exists in this urban area and it is of great importance to the urban area here in following what's going on with that. Finally this group will meet quarterly and compliment to the LEPC urban area work group here this meeting, so probably the Communications Steering Committee will met immediately prior, to the week, prior to the scheduled meetings. Any question on that Thank you.

Jim O'Brien: As many of you know the communication steering committee was formed because we had a mandate to create the tactical interoperable communication plan and then once that was done it kind of became dormant and now with a lot of the technology and equipment just like voice over internet protocol and others were going to have to reinvigorate this working group because they are the policy level people. We have the technicians who have many opinions as about how things ought to work but these are the guys that are signing the checks so we need to have their input as well. Moving on to the next item this is not an action item and does not contain priorities, we are not going to discuss priorities lets make that really clear so that everybody hears. Larry.

Larry: Yup.

4. * Urban area Security Initiative Priorities for the FY2009 Homeland Security Grant Program

Jim O'Brien: 2009 Homeland Security Grant program the folks that are developing the investment justifications for this grant cycle will be meeting today in a working group meeting just to coordinate the development of the investment justifications and to do some ground truthing and sobriety testing about the actual levels of funding that are available we are no longer in the era of 55 million dollar requests when there are only 8 million dollars available, and so we've got to do some ground truthing on that. I'll hand this over to Mark to give you a kind of idea of the timeline and a scope of what were looking at and any contributions or help you can provide us we certainly will welcome those.

Mark: Quick look at the schedule here today February 18, the Urban Area Working Group obviously meeting right now but working group will meet here this afternoon to take a look at the investment justifications and moving on from there right down here at the bottom you will see IJ submission February 26 COB that's, Thursday the 26th that's when the draft investment justifications are due at DEM they will move from there to be discussed by the homeland security working group on March 9th and then March 10 the homeland security working group will again meet with an invitation to the finance committee and members of the commission attend this meeting as observers and to review

the investment justifications. The investment justifications will then move from there on the 11th to the finance committee to be considered here that point the priorities and costs for the investments will be set as recommendations which will then move to the 13th in the full commission for approval. Larry I ask you for a validation of that schedule.

Mark: On the scheduling on the budget development the budgets are basically due on the 26th in the format that has been used roughly in the past this year what will be new is after the IJ's that move forward from the finance committee and now approved by the commission those investment justifications that do move forward will then be asked to provide expanded and verifiable administrative budgets this just takes them to a line item level. We'll be getting into this afternoon there's quite a bit of more of budget information at this point that is information this is one aspect coming up from DHS, well get into why this expanded budget is being addressed DHS is now developing at the request of congress a cost to capability program and what it'll do is track funds as they're put in how they're effectively developed capabilities and we benefit coming back to the users in a local government driven area is that we might actually be able to look at some expense and see for \$10 and how much capability improvement we can expect to get in a certain area. That's down the line though. The final piece to make mention is of the primary target capability self assessment. The SAA and UAA are requiring that the Investment Justification be accompanied by a self assessment that addresses the primary target capability that investment is addressed at. We'll be walking through this process this afternoon as well. Any questions on anything that I've presented here.

Irene: Could you just confirm the time and location of the meeting this afternoon.

Mark: I believe that it starts at 12:30 in this room.

Irene: Good, ok Thank you.

Jim O'Brien: Next item is redundant to one topic we've already talked about so we'll just delete that for now.

5. Training and Exercise Planning Workshop

If you are interested in obtaining a copy of this handout or any of the handouts for the presentations mentioned in the minutes please feel free to contact the Clark County Office of Emergency Management at (702) 455-5710.

XI. Public Comments

Irene Navis: Clark County Nuclear Waste Division I just wanted to thank Jim for summing up on behalf of the Local Emergency Planning Committee that went forward to the Department of energy commenting on the policy for public safety and training and technical assistance related to future Yucca Mountain shipments. My understanding is there's going to be a pretty significant delay in transportation planning and initiatives related to the public safety policy and grant development because of shortfalls in the DOE's overall budget that is likely to be deferred. But those policy decisions continue to inch forward and so it's good that we'll be able to be active participants and I thank Jim for that, The other piece of information that I'm wanting to share in terms of the Department of Energy's license application is that there are, or actually he's scheduled on the license application the first shot at intervention into the license application process and contentions that were brought forward including public safety contentions both the Department of Energy and the nuclear regulatory commission staff said that our contentions are not valid and should be rejected. Of course we vehemently disagree. When those meetings take place at the end of March and the first couple days of April so despite news reports that this is a dead project it is certainly moving forward at a clip right now and we will continued to keep you posted as developments occur on the Yucca mountain program but we are very, very fortunate that some communities have put forward project safety contentions and so we think that's going to be a major piece of what the NRC looks at in the near future because some of the candidates brought forward some of their issues. Thank You.

Jim O'Brien: This is still a time for public comment any more?

Samantha Charles: Communications officer from The Clark County Coroner's Medical Examiners Office I just wanted to share with the group. A few folks here know already because they were part of the planning team but we recently had on January 29, a mass fatality tabletop exercise that went very well, we did limit the scope of the participants as it was our first mass fatality exercise for my

part working here at the Coroners Office or Clark County I should say for eleven years, exercises have stopped where deaths began so it was really important for us to test the beginning of the exercise. What happened was the tabletop exercise was a bus crash exercise, no offense to Jim but I would not recommend that anyone invite Jim to be a facilitator for any of the exercises because he has a way of unintentionally jinxing life. We had of course the horrible bus crash of the Chinese tourists it happened 24 hours after we tested our bus crash, that's the sad part. The upside of that was because we had just come out of a bus crash incident and incidentally our crash was a Clark County school bus where children had died so in this case I don't think any children died with the tour bus with the Chinese visitors but it did give our office the opportunity to immediately begin to test what happens when you already have our 10 or 12 decedents for that day and then to top that off our office did take care of the 7 decedents that came to our office. Here we had just discussed what some of the issues we may or may not be looking at in terms of identifying decedents and getting them back to their family as soon as possible. We did start up an impromptu family assistance center at the Riviera hotel. Again that was something that we talked about at the exercise, the importance of having a family assistance center immediately to start helping families cope so it, was we learned so much we have so much more to learn. The second part of that for our office is to come together as a group the coroners group and now talk about a lot of the things that came out of the exercise that we weren't aware of and then I won't be adding to Jim's list of exercises this year but maybe in 2010 our level now we know we need to take the second step you know what happens after that and I really anticipate that the organizations that were not invited to the tabletop just for the purposes of controlling the number of participants we will see you at the functional exercise.

Dan Lake: ICS training continues to be something that folks are reaching out to try to get that accomplished, we have been running ICS 300, 400 classes every month now since November and they fill up faster than we ever anticipated. We are already into next month and we're full and were looking to schedule in April. If anyone would like to bring this class to your organizations if you have the facilities give me a call and I'll do what I can to bring the class to you.

Phil: I just wanted to mention that Ryan Turner at the American Red cross has moved over to health and safety manger position so he's no longer involved in disaster so if you have him on any of your projects or programs you, he should be replaced by Scott Emerson.

Jim: Any other public comment? Not seeing any other public meeting. Our next meeting is Wednesday May 20, 2009

Next LEPC Meeting: Regular Meeting: Wednesday, May 20, 2009, Pueblo Room, 1st Floor Clark County Government Center, 9:00am.

XII. Adjournment

Rick Diebold moved to adjourn and Irene Navis seconded.

Motion carried.

Meeting was adjourned at 10:45a.m.