



Clark County, Nevada
Department of Juvenile Justice Services

STATISTICAL REPORT
Calendar Year 2009

APPLICATIONS, RESEARCH, AND TECHNOLOGY UNIT
FAMILYTRACS

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. DEPARTMENT INFORMATION.....	2
A. How a case works its way through the DJJS	3
III. STATE OF NEVADA AND CLARK COUNTY STATISTICS	4
IV. YOUTH PROFILES	4
A. Demographic Information	5
1. Youth Male Population by Age Group (152,839)	5
2. Youth Female Population by Age Group (144,853).....	5
3. Youth Population by Gender (297,692).....	5
4. Youth Population by Race	6
5. CCSD Population by Race- All Grade Levels (309,476)	6
6. CCSD Population by Race- Grades 2 nd – 12 th (258,634).....	6
B. Background Information on Referred Youth Population (15,911)	7
1. Gender of Referred Youth (M- 67%, F- 33%).....	7
2. Ethnicity/Race of Referred Youth (AA- 25%, AP- 3%, H- 40%, W- 30%).....	7
3. Age of Referred Youth by Arrest Date (15-17 Group- 72%)	8
4. Household Composition of Referred Youth by Ethnicity/Race (Intact- 22%).....	8
5. Recorded Youth Gang Affiliation from Referred Population (2%).....	11
6. Youth with Prior Referrals (53%).....	12
7. Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals (22%)	15
8. Youth with a Felony or Gross Misdemeanor (F/GM) Referral (39%)	18
9. Top Ten Zip Codes of Referred Youth Population (#1 89110).....	21
10. Percentage Summary of Referred Youth Population in 2009.....	22
V. REFERRAL STATISTICS (25,273)	22
A. Total Number of Referrals Compared to the Number of Involved Youth.....	23
1. Annual Percentage Difference	23
B. Referral Types	23
1. Referral Types (Citations – 55%, Youth Present – 39%)	23
C. Sources of Referrals	24
1. Top Five Referral Sources by Percentage of Overall Referrals (#1 LVMPD- 48%)	24
D. Time of Offense	25
1. Referrals by Time of Offense (High point 11:00am).....	25
E. Maximum Charge Categories (Property & Status – 36%).....	26
1. Percentage of Overall Referrals by Charge Category	28
F. Top Ten Most Common Charges (All Charges) (#1 Habitual Truancy - 10%).....	29
1. Percentage of Overall Referrals.....	29
2. Top Ten Most Common Charges (All Charges) by Ethnicity/Race.....	29

G. Top Ten Most Common Felony/GM Charges (#1 Burglary – 20%)	35
1. Percentage of Overall Felony/Gross Misdemeanor Referrals	35
2. Top Ten Most Common Charges Felony/GM Charges by Ethnicity/Race	35
H. Prior Referral Statistics (65%)	41
1. Number of Prior Referrals	41
2. Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals	44
I. Warrant Statistics	48
1. Issued Warrant Statistics (2,162)	48
2. Served Warrant Statistics (1,486)	49
3. Quashed Warrant Statistics (483)	51
VI. INTAKE STATISTICS	52
A. Diversion Statistics	52
1. Diversion Types (13,626)	53
2. Diversions by Ethnicity/Race (AA- 23%, AP- 3%, H- 42%, W- 30%).....	54
B. Cases Referred to the District Attorney by Ethnicity (9,397)	54
VII. DISTRICT ATTORNEY STATISTICS	55
A. Cases Filed by Ethnicity/Race (8,086)	55
B. Most Serious Charge Category of Filed Referral (#1 Property – 24%)	55
C. Youth Filed Directly with the Adult Court System by (51)	56
VIII. COURT ACTIONS	56
A. Court Action Types by Referrals	56
B. Court Dispositions by Distinct Youth Count	59
1. Formal Probation Disposition by Ethnicity/Race (2,986).....	59
2. SMYC Dispositions by Ethnicity/Race (215).....	59
3. DCFS Commitments by Ethnicity/Race (373)	60
4. Certifications by Ethnicity/Race (61)	60
IX. BOOKING & DETENTION STATISTICS	61
A. Detention Screening	61
1. Overall Referral Screening (9,211).....	61
2. Detained RAI Result Categories	63
3. Detained RAI Result Category Detail	64
B. Utilization (Placements- 3,702)	67
C. Detention Average Length of Stay (19 days)	67
D. Detention Average Daily Population (195)	68
X. ALTERNATIVES TO DETENTION	69
A. Electronic Monitoring	69
1. Utilization (Placements- 153)	69
2. Average Length of Stay (25 days)	70
3. Average Daily Population (11)	70

B. Home Management.....	71
1. Utilization (Placements- 436)	71
2. Average Length of Stay (28 days)	72
3. Average Daily Population (35)	72
XI. SPRING MOUNTAIN YOUTH CAMP STATISTICS	73
A. Spring Mountain Youth Camp	73
1. Utilization (Placements- 236)	73
2. Average Length of Stay (157 days)	74
3. Average Daily Population (97)	75
B. Aftercare Statistics	75
1. Utilization (Placements- 229)	75
2. Average Assignment Length (292 days).....	76
3. Average Daily Caseload (240).....	77
XII. PROBATION STATISTICS.....	77
A. Utilization (Placements- 2,983)	78
B. Average Assignment Length (390 days)	78
C. Average Probation Caseload (2,851).....	79
XIII. INTENSIVE SUPERVISION.....	80
A. CCP Statistics	80
1. Utilization (Assignments - 366).....	80
2. Average Assignment Length (96 days).....	81
3. Average Caseload (124).....	81
B. Drug Court Statistics	82
1. Utilization (2009 Assignments - 129).....	82
2. Average Assignment Length (315 days).....	83
3. Average Caseload (139).....	83
C. JSO Statistics.....	84
1. Utilization (Assignments - 256).....	84
2. Average Assignment Length (348 days).....	85
3. Average Caseload (374).....	85
XIV. WORKLOAD SUMMARY.....	86

I. Introduction

The issues surrounding juvenile delinquency are complex and multifaceted. In particular, these issues may involve: education, family structure, mental health, social economics, and support systems. As a means to bring about a more positive impact towards reducing juvenile delinquency, each of these areas should continue to be addressed through programs, policies, and community organizations. Based on this premise, the Department of Juvenile Justice Services (DJJS) actively seeks collaborative partnerships with various government entities, community organizations, and the general public.

By increasing the involvement of stakeholders in the juvenile justice system of care, the objective is to develop and implement solutions that address the root causes of juvenile delinquency. By addressing the source of the issue, the intended byproduct is preventing the offense from occurring in the first place. In time, these proactive measures would thereby reduce the number of youth referrals to the DJJS.

In order to successfully identify the source of the issues, information sharing is critical. To effectively create solutions, stakeholders must make evidence-based decisions. With the juvenile justice information system (FamilyTRACs), the DJJS commits to providing its stakeholders with the relevant statistics necessary to make informed policy or program decisions. The following annual statistical report is one example of the department's commitment to sharing information.

The annual statistical report begins by providing background information on the DJJS. Then the report illustrates how a case works its way through the department. Next, the report provides statistics related to the State of Nevada and Clark County. Finally, the remaining sections are devoted to a collection of reports that have been generated through the FamilyTRACS database.

The reports from the database were selected as a means to provide an overview to the juvenile justice system. The objective of this overview is to provide stakeholders with access to valuable information relating to the dynamics of juvenile delinquency in Clark County. Additionally, the summary may be useful in planning for additional services and improved processes throughout the system of care for our community's juvenile population. The reports are by no means all-inclusive. The report will continue to evolve, as there is a progressive demand for more information.

II. Department Information

The DJJS is a unique and complex public service agency that performs more than the traditional juvenile court functions of probation and detention. In particular, DJJS provides intervention services, guidance and control for youth referred to the department for acts of delinquency.

To accomplish its mission, the department consists of the following divisions: Administration and Central Support, Applications, Research, and Technology (ART), Detention, Probation, Clinical Services, and the Spring Mountain Youth Camp (SMYC).

The Administration and Central Support division is responsible for administering the daily business operations of the department. Daily operations would include human resources, records, financial services, and responding to public inquiries. Along with daily operations, this division is responsible for the payment center, which receives payments for court ordered fines, fees, work permits, restitution and custodial reimbursements.

The ART unit is responsible for the development and support of the information technology needs of the department. In addition to maintaining and supporting the DJJS network, the ART Unit is responsible for capturing and generating data for statistical, performance, and management reporting.


The Detention center is a 192-bed facility located at the DJJS campus. The facility temporarily houses male and female youth with pending court hearings or correctional placements. In order to bridge the system of care, this facility provides medical and mental health services.

The Probation division provides intake and diversionary services to youth referred to the department for acts of delinquency. For adjudicated youth, the division provides supervision and treatment services. Services are provided on the DJJS campus, within the five neighborhood community centers, or within the community itself.

The Psychological Services Unit provides a full spectrum of assessment and treatment services to the youth and families involved with the DJJS. These counseling, evaluation, and treatment services are offered both in the community and through office visits.

The SMYC is a staff-secure correctional facility, which houses male youth between the ages of twelve and eighteen who have been adjudicated for serious or repeat delinquent acts by the Juvenile Court Judge. SMYC is located at Angels Peak in the Mt. Charleston Recreational/Toiyabe National Forest Area.

A. How a case works its way through the DJJS



III. State of Nevada and Clark County Statistics

- The entire state of Nevada covers an area of 110,567 square miles
- Clark County covers an area of 8,012 square miles (about 7% of the entire state)
- **2009 Population Statistics:**
 - The population of the entire state is estimated at 2,962,721
 - The population of Clark County is estimated at 2,180,041 (about 74% of the entire state)
 - The youth population (ages 8-17) of the entire state is estimated at 401,066
 - The youth population (ages 8-17) of Clark County is estimated at 297,692 (about 74% of the entire state)
 - The Clark County School District (CCSD) had an enrollment of 309,476 students
 - For 2nd grade through 12th grade, the CCSD had an enrollment of 258,634 students (about 91% of the estimated youth population)

Sources: Nevada State Demographer, Clark County School District


IV. Youth Profiles

The “Youth Profiles” segment provides demographic and background information on the clients we serve at the DJJS. This segment is divided into two sections. The first section details the demographic information of the Clark County youth population in 2009. This information has been collected from Nevada’s State Demographer’s Office and the Clark County School District (CCSD). The charts in this section address the demographic areas of age, gender, and ethnicity/race. The second section details demographic information that is specific to the referred youth population to the DJJS. This section’s information has been retrieved from the DJJS database.


Note: All percentages in this report are rounded to the nearest whole number.

A. Demographic Information


1. Youth Male Population by Age Group (2009 Total – 152,839)




2. Youth Female Population by Age Group (2009 Total – 144,853)




3. Youth Population by Gender (2009 Total – 297,692)




4. Youth Population by Ethnicity/Race (2009 Total – 297,692)



5. CCSD Population by Ethnicity/Race- All Grade Levels (2009 Total – 309,476)




6. CCSD Population by Ethnicity/Race- Grades 2nd – 12th (2009 Total – 258,634)



B. Background Information on Referred Youth Population

This section provides a basic overview of some characteristics of the referred youth population. For 2009, the total number of referrals to the DJJS was 25,273. The distinct referred youth population was 15,911.


1. Gender of Referred Youth (2009 Referred Youth – 15,911)



a) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Female	5,195	4,607	-13%	5,466	19%	5,187	-5%	5,226	1%
Male	10,634	10,429	-2%	11,604	11%	11,237	-3%	10,685	-5%
Total	15,829	15,036	-5%	17,070	14%	16,424	-4%	15,911	-3%

2. Ethnicity/Race of Referred Youth (2009 Referred Youth – 15,911)




a) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	3,908	3,796	-3%	4,155	9%	4,137	0%	3,947	-5%
Asian/Pacific Islander	507	475	-6%	537	13%	475	-12%	501	5%
Hispanic	5,456	5,488	1%	6,475	18%	6,305	-3%	6,338	1%
Other	273	266	-3%	378	42%	366	-3%	412	13%
White	5,685	5,011	-12%	5,525	10%	5,141	-7%	4,713	-8%
Total	15,829	15,036	-5%	17,070	14%	16,424	-4%	15,911	-3%

3. Age of Referred Youth by Referral Date (2009 Referrals- 25,273)

As the age of the youth may change with multiple referral dates, each referral is counted.



a) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
8	25	19	-24%	12	-37%	20	67%	26	30%
9	69	54	-22%	45	-17%	48	7%	43	-10%
10	162	116	-28%	103	-11%	79	-23%	77	-3%
11	426	401	-6%	373	-7%	323	-13%	344	7%
12	1,180	914	-23%	1,039	14%	948	-9%	823	-13%
13	2,258	2,022	-10%	2,008	-1%	2,093	4%	1,887	-10%
14	3,713	3,319	-11%	3,976	20%	3,469	-13%	3,260	-6%
15	4,893	4,786	-2%	5,526	15%	5,273	-5%	4,983	-5%
16	5,456	5,360	-2%	6,492	21%	6,470	0%	6,593	2%
17	5,480	5,306	-3%	6,546	23%	6,571	0%	6,572	0%
18+	531	459	-14%	591	29%	568	-4%	665	17%
Total	24,193	22,756	-6%	26,711	17%	25,862	-3%	25,273	-2%


4. Household Composition of Referred Youth by Ethnicity/Race

The following charts illustrate the household composition of the referred youth population. The first chart is a summary for all ethnicity/race groups and the subsequent charts provide more detail on each individual ethnicity/race.

The following categories are listed:

- Intact- represents youth residing with two biological parents
- Reconstituted- represents youth residing with one biological parent and one non-biological parent
- Single Father- represents youth residing with biological father
- Single Mother- represents youth residing with biological mother
- Other- represents youth residing with siblings, extended family members or out-of-home placements.


a) Summary Household Composition (2009 Referred Youth – 15,911)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Intact	2,941	2,808	-5%	3,428	22%	3,468	1%	3,474	0%
Other	4,767	4,473	-6%	4,892	9%	4,072	-17%	3,974	-2%
Reconstituted	1,494	1,482	-1%	1,541	4%	1,575	2%	1,530	-3%
Single Father	1,234	1,114	-10%	1,223	10%	1,233	1%	1,187	-4%
Single Mother	5,393	5,159	-4%	5,986	16%	6,076	2%	5,746	-5%
Total	15,829	15,036	-5%	17,070	14%	16,424	-4%	15,911	-3%


b) Household Composition for Referred African American/Black Youth (2009 Referred Youth – 3,947)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Intact	357	317	-11%	398	26%	393	-1%	385	-2%
Other	1,273	1,165	-8%	1,261	8%	1,105	-12%	1,070	-3%
Reconstituted	361	372	3%	370	-1%	402	9%	377	-6%
Single Father	183	179	-2%	201	12%	212	5%	191	-10%
Single Mother	1,734	1,763	2%	1,926	9%	2,025	5%	1,924	-5%
Total	3,908	3,796	-3%	4,156	9%	4,137	0%	3,947	-5%


c) Household Composition for Referred Asian/Pacific Islander Youth (2009 Referred Youth - 501)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Intact	114	109	-4%	137	26%	138	1%	130	-6%
Other	177	158	-11%	167	6%	130	-22%	146	12%
Reconstituted	29	35	21%	37	6%	32	-14%	37	16%
Single Father	40	42	5%	41	-2%	37	-10%	39	5%
Single Mother	147	131	-11%	155	18%	138	-11%	149	8%
Total	507	475	-6%	537	13%	475	-12%	501	5%


d) Household Composition for Referred Hispanic Youth (2009 Referred Youth- 6,338)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Intact	1,306	1,352	4%	1,704	26%	1,777	4%	1,801	1%
Other	1,526	1,513	-1%	1,655	9%	1,319	-20%	1,419	8%
Reconstituted	515	516	0%	577	12%	592	3%	611	3%
Single Father	416	390	-6%	444	14%	441	-1%	448	2%
Single Mother	1,693	1,716	1%	2,095	22%	2,174	4%	2,059	-5%
Total	5,456	5,487	1%	6,475	18%	6,303	-3%	6,338	1%

e) Household Composition for Referred White Youth (2009 Referred Youth – 4,713)




1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Intact	1,121	990	-12%	1,129	14%	1,103	-2%	1,073	-3%
Other	1,722	1,572	-9%	1,706	9%	1,422	-17%	1,251	-12%
Reconstituted	561	526	-6%	518	-2%	517	0%	468	-9%
Single Father	580	491	-15%	521	6%	524	1%	478	-9%
Single Mother	1,701	1,433	-16%	1,651	15%	1,577	-4%	1,443	-8%
Total	5,685	5,012	-12%	5,525	10%	5,143	-7%	4,713	-8%

5. Recorded Youth Gang Affiliation from Referred Population (2009 Total - 254)

The following chart illustrates youth gang affiliations, as they are recorded within the DJJS database. Gang affiliations are self-reported. The statistics are retrieved based on the date of the referral. The statistics below are from 2009:




a) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	150	132	-12%	124	-6%	143	15%	131	-8%
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	1	-50%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%
Hispanic	145	121	-17%	98	-19%	110	12%	103	-6%
Other	3	5	67%	5	0%	5	0%	5	0%
White	28	24	-14%	18	-25%	18	0%	14	-22%
Total	328	283	-14%	246	-13%	277	13%	254	-8%

6. Youth with Prior Referrals

The following statistics illustrate whether or not a youth had a prior referral when they were referred to the DJJS in the year indicated. After providing an overview for the entire referred youth population, specific details will be provided for each ethnicity/race category. In 2009, approximately 53% of those referred to the department had a prior referral.


a) Summary Prior Referral Statistics (2009 Total Youth – 15,911)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Youth with Prior Referrals	8,063	7,807	-3%	8,873	14%	8,695	-2%	8,507	-2%
Youth with No Prior Record	7,766	7,229	-7%	8,197	13%	7,729	-6%	7,404	-4%
Total	15,829	15,036	-5%	17,070	14%	16,424	-4%	15,911	-3%


**b) Prior Referral Statistics for African American/Black Youth
(2009 Referred Total – 3,947)**



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Youth with Prior Referrals	2,240	2,209	-1%	2,472	12%	2,476	0%	2,412	-3%
Youth with No Prior Record	1,668	1,587	-5%	1,684	6%	1,661	-1%	1,535	-8%
Total	3,908	3,796	-3%	4,156	9%	4,137	0%	3,947	-5%


**c) Prior Referral Statistics for Asian/Pacific Islander Youth
(2009 Referred Total - 501)**



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Youth with Prior Referrals	193	184	-5%	229	24%	199	-13%	186	-7%
Youth with No Prior Record	314	291	-7%	308	6%	276	-10%	315	14%
Total	507	475	-6%	537	13%	475	-12%	501	5%


d) Prior Referral Statistics for Hispanic Youth (2009 Referred Total – 6,338)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Youth with Prior Referrals	2,708	2,760	2%	3,270	18%	3,357	3%	3,391	1%
Youth with No Prior Record	2,748	2,727	-1%	3,205	18%	2,946	-8%	2,947	0%
Total	5,456	5,487	1%	6,475	18%	6,303	-3%	6,338	1%


e) Prior Referral Statistics for White Youth (2009 Referred Total – 4,713)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Youth with Prior Referrals	2,777	2,480	-11%	2,705	9%	2,468	-9%	2,319	-6%
Youth with No Prior Record	2,908	2,532	-13%	2,820	11%	2,675	-5%	2,394	-11%
Total	5,685	5,012	-12%	5,525	10%	5,143	-7%	4,713	-8%


f) Percentage Comparison of Youth with Prior Referrals by Ethnicity/Race



7. Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals

The following statistics illustrate whether or not a youth had a prior adjudicated referral when they were referred to the DJJS in the year indicated. After providing an overview for the entire referred youth population, specific details will be provided for each ethnicity/race category. In 2009, approximately 22% of those referred to the department had a prior adjudicated referral.


a) Summary Prior Adjudicated Referral Statistics (2009 Referred Youth – 15,911)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals	3,015	3,077	2%	3,491	13%	3,618	4%	3,512	-3%
Youth with No Prior Adjudicated Referrals	12,814	11,959	-7%	13,579	14%	12,806	-6%	12,399	-3%
Total	15,829	15,036	-5%	17,070	14%	16,424	-4%	15,911	-3%


b) Prior Adjudicated Referral Statistics for African American/Black Youth (2009 Referred Youth – 3,947)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals	976	1,026	5%	1,186	16%	1,254	6%	1,163	-7%
Youth with No Prior Adjudicated Referrals	2,932	2,770	-6%	2,970	7%	2,883	-3%	2,784	-3%
Total	3,908	3,796	-3%	4,156	9%	4,137	0%	3,947	-5%


c) Prior Adjudicated Referral Statistics for Asian/Pacific Islander Youth (2009 Referred Youth - 501)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals	63	46	-27%	57	24%	54	-5%	59	9%
Youth with No Prior Adjudicated Referrals	444	429	-3%	480	12%	421	-12%	442	5%
Total	507	475	-6%	537	13%	475	-12%	501	5%


**d) Prior Adjudicated Referral Statistics for Hispanic Youth
(2009 Referred Youth Total – 6,338)**



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals	958	963	1%	1,192	24%	1,324	11%	1,335	1%
Youth with No Prior Adjudicated Referrals	4,498	4,524	1%	5,283	17%	4,979	-6%	5,003	0%
Total	5,456	5,487	1%	6,475	18%	6,303	-3%	6,338	1%


**e) Prior Adjudicated Referral Statistics for White Youth
(2009 Referred Youth – 4,713)**



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals	958	952	-1%	968	2%	883	-9%	860	-3%
Youth with No Prior Adjudicated Referrals	4,727	4,060	-14%	4,557	12%	4,260	-7%	3,853	-10%
Total	5,685	5,012	-12%	5,525	10%	5,143	-7%	4,713	-8%


f) Percentage Comparison of Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals by Ethnicity/Race



8. Youth with a Felony or Gross Misdemeanor (F/GM) Referral

The following statistics illustrate whether or not a youth had a felony or gross misdemeanor referral in the year indicated. After providing an overview for the entire referred youth population, specific details will be provided for each ethnicity/race category. In 2009, approximately 39% of those referred to the department had a felony or gross misdemeanor referral.


a) Summary of Youth Charged with a F/GM (2009 Referred Youth – 15,911)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Youth with a F/GM Referral	7,303	7,263	-1%	7,679	5%	7,193	-7%	6,215	-16%
Youth without a F/GM Referral	8,526	7,773	-10%	9,391	17%	9,231	-2%	9,696	5%
Total	15,829	15,036	-5%	17,070	12%	16,424	-4%	15,911	-3%


b) African American/Black Youth Charged with a F/GM (2009 Referred Youth – 3,947)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Youth with a F/GM Referral	2,222	2,209	-1%	2,330	5%	2,190	-6%	1,902	-15%
Youth without a F/GM Referral	1,686	1,587	-6%	1,825	13%	1,947	6%	2,045	5%
Total	3,908	3,796	-3%	4,155	9%	4,137	0%	3,947	-5%


c) Asian/Pacific Islander Youth Charged with a F/GM (2009 Referred Youth - 501)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Youth with a F/GM Referral	173	170	-2%	203	16%	168	-21%	151	-11%
Youth without a F/GM Referral	334	305	-10%	334	9%	307	-9%	350	12%
Total	507	475	-7%	537	12%	475	-13%	501	5%


c) Hispanic Youth Charged with a F/GM (2009 Referred Youth – 6,338)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Youth with a F/GM Referral	2,417	2,513	4%	2,775	9%	2,677	-4%	2,362	-13%
Youth without a F/GM Referral	3,039	2,975	-2%	3,700	20%	3,628	-2%	3,976	9%
Total	5,456	5,488	1%	6,475	15%	6,305	-3%	6,338	1%


e) White Youth Charged with a F/GM (2009 Referred Youth – 4,713)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Youth with a F/GM Referral	2,339	2,196	-7%	2,188	0%	1,958	-12%	1,628	-20%
Youth without a F/GM Referral	3,346	2,815	-19%	3,337	16%	3,183	-5%	3,085	-3%
Total	5,685	5,011	-13%	5,525	9%	5,141	-7%	4,713	-9%


f) Percentage Comparison of F/GM Referrals by Ethnicity/Race




9. Top Ten Zip Codes of Referred Youth Population

The following top ten zip codes account for 44% of the referrals received in 2009.

a) Referrals per Top Ten Zip Codes



b) Percentage of Overall Referrals by Top Ten Zip Codes



c) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
89110	1,509	1,567	4%	1,730	10%	1,583	-8%	1,695	7%
89030	1,515	1,387	-8%	1,756	27%	1,899	8%	1,681	-11%
89115	1,438	1,315	-9%	1,547	18%	1,370	-11%	1,417	3%
89101	1,053	975	-7%	1,255	29%	1,176	-6%	1,174	0%
89108	1,191	1,043	-12%	1,223	17%	1,212	-1%	1,127	-7%
89121	839	874	4%	1,049	20%	922	-12%	947	3%
89031	764	731	-4%	987	35%	958	-3%	901	-6%
89106	1,029	987	-4%	840	-15%	897	7%	847	-6%
89032	603	560	-7%	754	35%	749	-1%	665	-11%
89107	735	576	-22%	574	0%	588	2%	643	9%
Total	10,676	10,015	-6%	11,715	17%	11,354	-3%	11,097	-2%


10. Percentage Summary of Referred Youth Population in 2009

- **Gender:** Male- 67%, Female- 33%
- **Ethnicity/Race:** African American/Black- 25%, Asian/Pacific Islander- 3%, Hispanic- 40%, White- 30%
- **Age:** 15-17 Group- 72%
- **Household Composition:** Single mother- 36%, Intact- 22%
- **Self Reported Gang Affiliation:** 2%
- **Prior Referral:** 53%
- **Prior Adjudicated Referral:** 22%
- **Youth with a F/GM Referral:** 39%
- **Zip Codes:** 45% (89110, 89030, 89115, 89101, 89108, 89121, 89031, 89106, 89032, 89107)

V. Referral Statistics

A referral is an action that involves bringing a youth to the attention of the DJJS. This section outlines the total number of referrals compared to the number of involved youth, the types of referrals, sources of referrals, charge categories, most common charges, most common felony and gross misdemeanor charges, the number of prior referrals, and the number of prior adjudicated referrals.

A. Total Number of Referrals Compared to the Number of Involved Youth




1. Annual Percentage Difference

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Referrals	24,193	22,756	-6%	26,711	17%	25,862	-3%	25,273	-2%
Youth	15,829	15,036	-5%	17,070	14%	16,424	-4%	15,911	-3%

B. Referral Types

Referrals to the Clark County DJJS are received in a variety of ways. A majority of youth comes to the attention of the DJJS through a citation, which involves law enforcement recording the charges of the youth and directing them to appear for an appointment at the Intake division of the DJJS. This process is similar to receiving a traffic ticket. Another major referral source is when the youth being presented to the staff of the DJJS. This “youth present” category would include law enforcement bookings, where a youth is physically taken to the DJJS to be booked and evaluated for detainment. Also, this category would include field bookings, where charges are added to a youth’s record without having the youth physically brought into booking. Other referrals are the result of a summons or a warrant, which are court generated documents that require a youth to appear in court. The last type of referral falls under the ICOJ category. ICOJ stands for Interstate Compact on Juveniles, which is a formal process between states where a youth is placed under supervision in one state and supervised in another.

1. Referral Types (2009 Referrals – 25,273)




a) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Citation	13,257	12,068	-9%	14,663	22%	14,079	-4%	13,899	-1%
ICOJ	164	176	7%	191	9%	178	-7%	132	-26%
Summons	213	185	-13%	502	171%	570	14%	644	13%
Warrant	831	859	3%	953	11%	987	4%	844	-14%
Youth Present	9,728	9,468	-3%	10,402	10%	10,048	-3%	9,754	-3%
Total	24,193	22,756	-6%	26,711	17%	25,862	-3%	25,273	-2%

C. Sources of Referrals

The following statistics illustrate the agency, law enforcement group, or entity that brought a referral to the attention of the DJJS.

1. Top Five Referral Sources by Percentage of Overall Referrals



a) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)


	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
LVMPD	12,254	12,162	-1%	12,929	6%	12,627	-2%	12,107	-4%
CCSD Police	5,327	3,996	-25%	6,085	52%	5,489	-10%	5,802	6%
DJJS	2,124	1,738	-18%	1,775	2%	1,845	4%	1,906	3%
NLVPD	1,445	1,418	-2%	1,813	28%	2,016	11%	2,033	1%
HPD	1,278	1,420	11%	1,564	10%	1,584	1%	1,389	-12%
District Court	307	393	28%	475	21%	477	0%	472	-1%
Other	322	343	7%	370	8%	327	-12%	231	-29%
BCPD	303	295	-3%	385	31%	295	-23%	224	-24%
Clark County Park Police	208	170	-18%	352	107%	332	-6%	234	-30%
NHP	111	175	58%	235	34%	224	-5%	250	12%
City Marshall's Unit	112	172	54%	264	53%	222	-16%	207	-7%
Mesquite Police Dept.	110	159	45%	170	7%	176	4%	196	11%
Nevada Youth Parole	132	148	12%	138	-7%	128	-7%	107	-16%
DCFS	54	72	33%	51	-29%	52	2%	27	-48%
Henderson Fire Dept	24	19	-21%	13	-32%	12	-8%	3	-75%
Nevada Division of Wildlife	5	13	160%	7	-46%	19	171%	26	37%
UNLV Police	11	8	-27%	21	163%	6	-71%	10	67%

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Gaming Control Board	12	7	-42%	7	0%	2	-71%	18	800%
Las Vegas Fire & Rescue	9	18	100%	5	-72%	2	-60%	7	250%
College of Southern NV Police Dept	0	0	-	29	-	5	-83%	3	-40%
Clark County Fire Dept	6	11	83%	11	0%	5	-55%	1	-80%
Tribal Police	9	9	0%	2	-78%	8	300%	4	-50%
Union Pacific Police	22	4	-82%	0	-100%	0	-	4	-
NLV Fire Dept	5	1	-80%	4	300%	2	-50%	2	0%
National Park Service	0	2	-	3	50%	3	0%	1	-67%
DFS	2	0	-100%	1	-	4	300%	0	-100%
Federal Marshall's Office	0	1	-	0	-100%	0	-	4	-
BLM - Bureau of Land Management	0	1	-	1	0%	0	-100%	1	-
FBI	0	1	-	1	0%	0	-100%	0	-
P&P - Nevada Adult Parole & Probation	0	0	-	0	-	0	-	2	-
USPS - US Postal Service	0	0	-	0	-	0	-	2	-
MP (Military Police)	1	0	-100%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	24,193	22,756	-6%	26,711	17%	25,862	-3%	25,273	-2%

D. Time of Offense

The following statistics represent the time of the youth's alleged offense. The first section is a line graph that illustrates the number of referrals that corresponds to the offense time. Using the graph, the lowest point is around 6:00am and the highest point is about 10:00am. The second section is the historical statistics for the past five years.

1. Referrals by Time of Offense



a. Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
12:00 AM	1,208	1,179	-2%	1,309	11%	1,264	-3%	1,166	-8%
1:00 AM	1,061	1,161	9%	1,234	6%	1,263	2%	1,173	-7%
2:00 AM	830	871	5%	1,066	22%	1,000	-6%	957	-4%
3:00 AM	546	656	20%	708	8%	746	5%	685	-8%
4:00 AM	344	389	13%	490	26%	456	-7%	450	-1%

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
5:00 AM	184	215	17%	221	3%	271	23%	234	-14%
6:00 AM	193	175	-9%	227	30%	189	-17%	178	-6%
7:00 AM	572	404	-29%	444	10%	447	1%	466	4%
8:00 AM	962	801	-17%	1,162	45%	918	-21%	829	-10%
9:00 AM	1,256	1,105	-12%	1,997	81%	1,690	-15%	1,685	0%
10:00 AM	1,451	1,373	-5%	1,773	29%	1,801	2%	2,031	13%
11:00 AM	1,381	1,238	-10%	1,467	18%	1,615	10%	1,522	-6%
12:00 PM	1,374	1,264	-8%	1,521	20%	1,380	-9%	1,485	8%
1:00 PM	1,443	1,409	-2%	1,600	14%	1,513	-5%	1,497	-1%
2:00 PM	1,580	1,310	-17%	1,439	10%	1,422	-1%	1,309	-8%
3:00 PM	1,249	1,088	-13%	1,153	6%	1,204	4%	1,142	-5%
4:00 PM	1,366	1,070	-22%	1,086	1%	1,165	7%	1,177	1%
5:00 PM	1,118	1,005	-10%	1,154	15%	1,142	-1%	1,168	2%
6:00 PM	896	918	2%	1,078	17%	1,001	-7%	1,032	3%
7:00 PM	853	862	1%	914	6%	933	2%	973	4%
8:00 PM	925	880	-5%	974	11%	894	-8%	901	1%
9:00 PM	955	963	1%	1,026	7%	1,005	-2%	925	-8%
10:00 PM	1,076	1,063	-1%	1,096	3%	1,070	-2%	1,030	-4%
11:00 PM	1,206	1,181	-2%	1,381	17%	1,295	-6%	1,126	-13%
Unknown	164	176	7%	191	9%	178	-7%	132	-26%
Total	24,193	22,756	-6%	26,711	17%	25,862	-3%	25,273	-2%

E. Maximum Charge Categories

Nevada Revised Statutes group charges for which Juveniles can be referred into eleven categories based upon their nature and type of victims. The following category types are used throughout this report:

- **Crimes Against Persons:** A crime against the body of another human being.
- **Crimes Against Property:** A crime against another's property. These offenses included such charges as larceny, receiving stolen goods and embezzlement.
- **Crimes Against Public Health and Safety:** A crime traditionally viewed as endangering the whole of society. In Nevada, these offenses include those related to alcohol, tobacco, and weapons.
- **Crimes Against the Public:** A crime that impairs the administration of justice. For example - bribery, perjury, falsifying evidence and obstruction.
- **Drugs and Controlled Substance Crimes:** An offense that violates any of the laws designed to control the distribution, classification, sale, and use of certain drugs.
- **Local Code Crime:** A violation of a statute that relates to or operates in a particular locality rather than the entire state.

- **Malicious Mischief Crimes:** Offenses that involve intentionally destroying or damaging another's property.
- **Miscellaneous Crimes:** Offenses defined by what the legislature chose to put in Chapter 207 of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS). Included here, as major categories, are habitual criminal laws, vagrancy, interference with emergency communications, deceptive advertising, and racketeering. Also, in this category is a group called "Miscellaneous" which includes coercion, trespass, false 911 call, annoying a minor, false crime report and the "hate" crime enhancement.
- **Sexual Crimes:** An offense involving unlawful sexual conduct. In Nevada, these are found in NRS Chapter 200 under Crimes Against the Person.
- **Status Offenses:** A type of offense of which a person is guilty by being in a certain condition or of a specific character. Specifically in the Nevada juvenile system, these generally refer to offenses that would not be an offense if committed by an adult. This includes runaway, truancy, unmanageable and curfew.
- **Traffic Crimes:** The violation of prescribed rules of conduct to promote the orderly and safe flow of people, animals, vehicles and vessels.

In addition, the DJJS uses an additional category of "Charge Types" known as "Administrative Actions" in order to record actions that, though they are not actual offenses, are related to them. These actions, recorded as charges, include the following major actions:

Warrants
Courtesy Holds
Drug Court
Immigration Holds
Material Witness
Revocation of Release Agreement
Judicial Order


These "Administrative Actions" are not offenses in themselves and therefore do not lead to additional court actions such as petitions or dispositions. They are understood in the jurisdiction to be recordings of events and not as criminal acts. They are usually tied to an actual charge already existing for the juvenile's previous record, or one for which the District Attorney has compiled enough evidence to refer the youth and bring them into custody. For example, a Bench Warrant is most often issued when a youth fails to appear on a charge at a scheduled hearing. A Bench Warrant Served is recorded when the youth is brought into Booking, where he or she may or may not be detained. In another example, "Drug Court" is entered as a reason for detaining a youth who is ordered to spend a set number of days in detention as part of a drug treatment program.

The exception to this rule is that there are three actual charge areas under this category, which include: "Other Felony," "Other Gross Misdemeanor," and "Other Misdemeanor."

These entries occur when a charge not listed specifically in Family TRACS is used. Use of these entries requires that the specific name and nature of the charge be recorded in a text box. These charges, then, are subject to petition and disposition, if referred to and accepted by the District Attorney.

The following charge category statistics only reflect the most serious charge at the time of referral.

1. Percentage of Overall Referrals by Charge Category




a) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Administrative Actions	2,276	2,048	-10%	2,293	12%	2,326	1%	2,266	-3%
Crimes Against Persons	3,176	3,073	-3%	3,299	7%	3,073	-7%	2,999	-2%
Crimes Against Property	4,895	4,034	-18%	4,546	13%	4,644	2%	4,574	-2%
Crimes Against Public Health and Safety	1,511	1,711	13%	1,927	13%	1,776	-8%	1,726	-3%
Crimes Against the Public	2,282	2,097	-8%	2,254	7%	2,221	-1%	2,161	-3%
Drug and Controlled Substances Crimes	2,345	2,368	1%	2,492	5%	2,464	-1%	2,648	7%
Local Code Crimes	162	334	106%	459	37%	421	-8%	380	-10%
Malicious Mischief Crimes	658	832	26%	941	13%	1,127	20%	1,014	-10%
Miscellaneous Crimes	974	1,011	4%	1,294	28%	1,241	-4%	1,271	2%
Sexual Crimes	232	232	0%	209	-10%	204	-2%	169	-17%
Status Offenses	4,165	3,464	-17%	5,127	48%	4,679	-9%	4,514	-4%
Traffic Crimes	1,517	1,552	2%	1,870	20%	1,686	-10%	1,551	-8%
Total	24,193	22,756	-6%	26,711	17%	25,862	-3%	25,273	-2%

F. Top Ten Most Common Charges (All Charges)

The following charge statistics only reflect the most serious charge at the time of referral.

1. Percentage of Overall Referrals




a) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Habitual Truancy	1,632	928	-43%	2,637	184%	2,205	-16%	2,413	9%
Petty Larceny	2,257	1,384	-39%	1,441	4%	1,770	23%	2,083	18%
Battery	2,113	1,936	-8%	1,972	2%	1,930	-2%	1,906	-1%
Curfew - General	1,676	1,807	8%	1,830	1%	1,977	8%	1,786	-10%
Possession of Marijuana	1,039	1,083	4%	1,166	8%	1,216	4%	1,350	11%
Possession or Consumption of Alcohol	870	958	10%	1,301	36%	1,202	-8%	1,269	6%
Burglary	977	1,121	15%	1,259	12%	1,195	-5%	976	-18%
Trespass	550	608	11%	811	33%	752	-7%	793	5%
Violation of Probation	813	753	-7%	769	2%	822	7%	755	-8%
Judicial Order	722	540	-25%	631	17%	718	14%	706	-2%

2. Top Ten Most Common Charges (All Charges) by Ethnicity/Race

For each charge, the first chart will illustrate 2009 statistics and the second chart will illustrate historical statistics for the maximum charges from 2005 to 2009.


a) Habitual Truancy (2009 Referrals – 2,413)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	232	128	-45%	426	233%	349	-18%	421	21%
Asian/Pacific Islander	42	13	-69%	52	300%	62	19%	47	-24%
Hispanic	764	502	-34%	1,372	173%	1,132	-17%	1,297	15%
Other	23	8	-65%	83	938%	74	-11%	97	31%
White	571	277	-51%	704	154%	588	-16%	551	-6%
Total	1,632	928	-43%	2,637	184%	2,205	-16%	2,413	9%


b) Petty Larceny (2009 Referrals – 2,083)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	641	408	-36%	441	8%	634	44%	578	-9%
Asian/Pacific Islander	100	67	-33%	66	-1%	69	5%	102	48%
Hispanic	625	374	-40%	413	10%	543	31%	741	36%
Other	30	25	-17%	41	64%	30	-27%	48	60%
White	861	510	-41%	480	-6%	494	3%	614	24%
Total	2,257	1,384	-39%	1,441	4%	1,770	23%	2,083	18%


c) Battery (2009 Referrals – 1,906)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	657	595	-9%	575	-3%	539	-6%	541	0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	59	48	-19%	48	0%	59	23%	32	-46%
Hispanic	530	524	-1%	575	10%	608	6%	606	0%
Other	56	59	5%	66	12%	59	-11%	68	15%
White	811	710	-12%	708	0%	665	-6%	659	-1%
Total	2,113	1,936	-8%	1,972	2%	1,930	-2%	1,906	-1%


d) Curfew- General (2009 Referrals – 1,786)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	344	444	29%	431	-3%	511	19%	476	-7%
Asian/Pacific Islander	54	59	9%	61	3%	60	-2%	58	-3%
Hispanic	592	741	25%	681	-8%	670	-2%	666	-1%
Other	22	28	27%	26	-7%	28	8%	27	-4%
White	664	535	-19%	631	18%	708	12%	559	-21%
Total	1,676	1,807	8%	1,830	1%	1,977	8%	1,786	-10%


e) Possession of Marijuana (2009 Referrals – 1,350)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	244	254	4%	243	-4%	271	12%	278	3%
Asian/Pacific Islander	34	31	-9%	24	-23%	28	17%	41	46%
Hispanic	303	358	18%	435	22%	427	-2%	550	29%
Other	19	19	0%	17	-11%	20	18%	28	40%
White	439	421	-4%	447	6%	470	5%	453	-4%
Total	1,039	1,083	4%	1,166	8%	1,216	4%	1,350	11%


f) Possession or Consumption of Alcohol (2009 Referrals – 1,269)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	69	69	0%	100	45%	131	31%	106	-19%
Asian/Pacific Islander	37	29	-22%	49	69%	27	-45%	62	130%
Hispanic	294	350	19%	474	35%	460	-3%	566	23%
Other	12	26	117%	24	-8%	20	-17%	22	10%
White	458	484	6%	654	35%	564	-14%	513	-9%
Total	870	958	10%	1,301	36%	1,202	-8%	1,269	6%


g) Burglary (2009 Referrals - 976)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	313	362	16%	402	11%	371	-8%	347	-6%
Asian/Pacific Islander	18	31	72%	43	39%	29	-33%	26	-10%
Hispanic	331	368	11%	441	20%	446	1%	332	-26%
Other	19	24	26%	30	25%	39	30%	26	-33%
White	296	336	14%	343	2%	310	-10%	245	-21%
Total	977	1,121	15%	1,259	12%	1,195	-5%	976	-18%


h) Trespass (2009 Referrals - 793)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	181	222	23%	277	25%	270	-3%	337	25%
Asian/Pacific Islander	14	7	-50%	13	86%	7	-46%	10	43%
Hispanic	149	164	10%	236	44%	300	27%	281	-6%
Other	19	7	-63%	15	114%	24	60%	13	-46%
White	187	208	11%	270	30%	151	-44%	152	1%
Total	550	608	11%	811	33%	752	-7%	793	5%


i) Violation of Probation (2009 Referrals - 755)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	258	243	-6%	298	23%	306	3%	247	-19%
Asian/Pacific Islander	13	7	-46%	12	71%	8	-33%	10	25%
Hispanic	267	220	-18%	230	5%	285	24%	282	-1%
Other	23	19	-17%	25	32%	29	16%	23	-21%
White	252	264	5%	204	-23%	194	-5%	193	-1%
Total	813	753	-7%	769	2%	822	7%	755	-8%

j) Judicial Order (2009 Referrals - 706)




1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	134	115	-14%	140	22%	156	11%	172	10%
Asian/Pacific Islander	15	8	-47%	9	13%	10	11%	10	0%
Hispanic	287	183	-36%	268	46%	339	26%	326	-4%
Other	16	21	31%	23	10%	25	9%	18	-28%
White	270	213	-21%	191	-10%	188	-2%	180	-4%
Total	722	540	-25%	631	17%	718	14%	706	-2%

G. Top Ten Most Common Felony/Gross Misdemeanor Charges

The following charge statistics only reflect the most serious charge at the time of referral.

1. Percentage of Overall Felony/Gross Misdemeanor Referrals




a) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Burglary	977	1,121	15%	1,259	12%	1,195	-5%	976	-18%
Under the Influence or Use of a Controlled Substance	365	356	-2%	392	10%	330	-16%	360	9%
Graffiti	70	96	37%	145	51%	223	54%	319	43%
Malicious Destruction of Property	219	277	26%	284	3%	328	15%	283	-14%
Robbery	99	172	74%	232	35%	249	7%	218	-12%
Assault with a Deadly Weapon	238	224	-6%	231	3%	200	-13%	203	2%
Grand Larceny	198	192	-3%	248	29%	207	-17%	196	-5%
Home Invasion	103	122	18%	185	52%	189	2%	187	-1%
Battery w/Intent to Commit Mayhem/Robbery/Grand Larceny	115	144	25%	132	-8%	107	-19%	184	72%
Possession of Controlled Substance with Intent to Sell	122	140	15%	149	6%	135	-9%	120	-11%

2. Top Ten Most Common Charges Felony/Gross Misdemeanor Charges by Ethnicity/Race

For each charge, the first chart will illustrate 2009 statistics and the second chart will illustrate historical statistics for the maximum felony/gross misdemeanor charges from 2005 to 2009.


a) Burglary (2009 Referrals - 976)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	313	362	16%	402	11%	371	-8%	347	-6%
Asian/Pacific Islander	18	31	72%	43	39%	29	-33%	26	-10%
Hispanic	331	368	11%	441	20%	446	1%	332	-26%
Other	19	24	26%	30	25%	39	30%	26	-33%
White	296	336	14%	343	2%	310	-10%	245	-21%
Total	977	1,121	15%	1,259	12%	1,195	-5%	976	-18%


b) Under the Influence of a Controlled Substance (2009 Referrals - 360)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	66	67	2%	76	13%	63	-17%	93	48%
Asian/Pacific Islander	4	3	-25%	6	100%	4	-33%	3	-25%
Hispanic	131	126	-4%	156	24%	142	-9%	128	-10%
Other	4	7	75%	11	57%	12	9%	13	8%
White	160	153	-4%	143	-7%	109	-24%	123	13%
Total	365	356	-2%	392	10%	330	-16%	360	9%


d) Graffiti (2009 Referrals - 319)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	3	4	33%	6	50%	12	100%	21	75%
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	3	200%	3	0%	7	133%	9	29%
Hispanic	52	67	29%	107	60%	163	52%	236	45%
Other	2	3	50%	2	-33%	3	50%	6	100%
White	12	19	58%	27	42%	38	41%	47	24%
Total	70	96	37%	145	51%	223	54%	319	43%


d) Malicious Destruction of Property (2009 Referrals - 283)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	29	46	59%	40	-13%	56	40%	42	-25%
Asian/Pacific Islander	4	7	75%	8	14%	9	13%	10	11%
Hispanic	111	140	26%	147	5%	160	9%	162	1%
Other	1	5	400%	2	-60%	14	600%	9	-36%
White	74	79	7%	87	10%	89	2%	60	-33%
Total	219	277	26%	284	3%	328	15%	283	-14%


e) Robbery (2009 Referrals - 218)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	45	88	96%	130	48%	142	9%	127	-11%
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	0	-100%	1	-	0	-100%	4	-
Hispanic	29	47	62%	52	11%	57	10%	51	-11%
Other	3	10	233%	7	-30%	10	43%	9	-10%
White	20	27	35%	42	56%	40	-5%	27	-33%
Total	99	172	74%	232	35%	249	7%	218	-12%


f) Assault with a Deadly Weapon (2009 Referrals - 203)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	89	81	-	74	-9%	79	7%	76	-4%
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	7	133%	3	-57%	3	0%	4	33%
Hispanic	74	73	-1%	67	-8%	56	-16%	59	5%
Other	4	2	-50%	7	250%	4	-43%	8	100%
White	68	61	-10%	80	31%	58	-28%	56	-3%
Total	238	224	-6%	231	3%	200	-13%	203	2%


g) Grand Larceny (2009 Referrals - 196)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	45	41	-9%	72	76%	63	-13%	57	-10%
Asian/Pacific Islander	4	5	25%	9	80%	7	-22%	11	57%
Hispanic	68	63	-7%	77	22%	81	5%	61	-25%
Other	2	2	0%	7	250%	3	-57%	7	133%
White	79	81	3%	83	2%	53	-36%	60	13%
Total	198	192	-3%	248	29%	207	-17%	196	-5%


h) Home Invasion (2009 Referrals - 187)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	64	69	8%	88	28%	92	5%	79	-14%
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	5	400%	3	-40%	3	0%	3	0%
Hispanic	22	12	-45%	41	242%	58	41%	54	-7%
Other	2	2	0%	3	50%	7	133%	9	29%
White	14	34	143%	50	47%	29	-42%	42	45%
Total	103	122	18%	185	52%	189	2%	187	-1%


i) Battery with Intent to Commit Mayhem, Robbery, or Grand Larceny (2009 Referrals - 184)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	71	86	21%	96	12%	61	-36%	123	102%
Asian/Pacific Islander	4	3	-25%	1	-67%	3	200%	3	0%
Hispanic	18	30	67%	25	-17%	23	-8%	39	70%
Other	6	3	-50%	3	0%	2	-33%	1	-50%
White	16	22	38%	7	-68%	18	157%	18	0%
Total	115	144	25%	132	-8%	107	-19%	184	72%

j) Possession of Controlled Substance with Intent to Sell (2009 Referrals - 120)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)


	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	52	67	29%	67	0%	46	-31%	48	4%
Asian/Pacific Islander	4	2	-50%	2	0%	3	50%	4	33%
Hispanic	45	36	-20%	40	11%	53	33%	37	-30%
Other	2	2	-	2	0%	4	100%	6	50%
White	19	33	74%	38	15%	29	-24%	25	-14%
Total	122	140	15%	149	6%	135	-9%	120	-11%

H. Prior Referral Statistics

1. Number of Prior Referrals

The following statistics illustrate the number of prior referrals that a youth had when they were referred in 2009 to the DJJS. After providing an overview for all referrals, specific details will be provided for each ethnicity/race category.


a) Summary of Prior Referrals (2009 Referrals – 25,273)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
1	4,244	3,960	-7%	4,723	19%	4,379	-7%	4,242	-3%
2	2,511	2,443	-3%	2,963	21%	2,819	-5%	2,651	-6%
3	1,662	1,667	0%	2,068	24%	1,967	-5%	1,911	-3%
4	1,215	1,217	0%	1,502	23%	1,480	-1%	1,498	1%
5	947	927	-2%	1,112	20%	1,163	5%	1,160	0%
6+	4,246	3,891	-8%	4,338	11%	4,748	9%	4,902	3%
None	9,368	8,651	-8%	10,005	16%	9,306	-7%	8,909	-4%
Total	24,193	22,756	-6%	26,711	17%	25,862	-3%	25,273	-2%


b) Prior Referral Statistics for African American/Black Youth (2009 Referrals - 7,016)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
1	1,055	1,010	-4%	1,157	15%	1,090	-6%	1,065	-2%
2	712	650	-9%	807	24%	778	-4%	705	-9%
3	458	517	13%	592	15%	586	-1%	536	-9%
4	356	382	7%	454	19%	455	0%	442	-3%
5	284	308	8%	344	12%	363	6%	364	0%
6+	1,428	1,358	-5%	1,579	16%	1,664	5%	1,690	2%
None	2,059	1,958	-5%	2,118	8%	2,055	-3%	1,890	-8%
Total	6,352	6,183	-3%	7,051	14%	6,991	-1%	6,692	-4%


c) Prior Referral Statistics for Asian/Pacific Islander Youth (2009 Referrals - 639)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
1	119	123	3%	144	17%	126	-13%	106	-16%
2	68	51	-25%	67	31%	65	-3%	62	-5%
3	30	34	13%	34	0%	26	-24%	43	65%
4	22	20	-9%	22	10%	21	-5%	21	0%
5	9	8	-11%	22	175%	13	-41%	15	15%
6+	49	22	-55%	46	109%	37	-20%	46	24%
None	361	330	-9%	354	7%	319	-10%	346	8%
Total	658	588	-11%	689	17%	607	-12%	639	5%


d) Prior Referral Statistics for Hispanic Youth (2009 Referrals – 10,214)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
1	1,439	1,455	1%	1,846	27%	1,771	-4%	1,713	-3%
2	832	915	10%	1,164	27%	1,109	-5%	1,088	-2%
3	574	569	-1%	820	44%	791	-4%	772	-2%
4	430	422	-2%	570	35%	620	9%	622	0%
5	334	308	-8%	415	35%	472	14%	480	2%
6+	1,358	1,220	-10%	1,369	12%	1,766	29%	1,983	12%
None	3,316	3,270	-1%	3,935	20%	3,587	-9%	3,556	-1%
Total	8,283	8,159	-1%	10,119	24%	10,116	0%	10,214	1%


e) Prior Referral Statistics for White Youth (2009 Referrals –7,060)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
1	1,549	1,286	-17%	1,489	16%	1,312	-12%	1,263	-4%
2	842	769	-9%	862	12%	801	-7%	738	-8%
3	569	498	-12%	571	15%	524	-8%	501	-4%
4	378	355	-6%	420	18%	352	-16%	372	6%
5	302	276	-9%	291	5%	293	1%	267	-9%
6+	1,347	1,183	-12%	1,213	3%	1,091	-10%	1,049	-4%
None	3,474	2,965	-15%	3,378	14%	3,140	-7%	2,870	-9%
Total	8,461	7,332	-13%	8,224	12%	7,513	-9%	7,060	-6%


f) Summary of the Number of Prior Referrals by Ethnicity and the Percentage of Overall Referrals in 2009



2. Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals

The following statistics illustrate the number of prior adjudicated referrals that a youth had when they were referred in 2009 to the DJJS. Unlike the previous section, the prior referrals must be adjudicated. After providing an overview for all referrals, specific details will be provided for each ethnicity/race category.


a) Summary of the Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals (2009 Referrals - 25,273)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
1	2,572	2,648	3%	3,312	25%	3,186	-4%	3,147	-1%
2	1,720	1,685	-2%	1,999	19%	2,162	8%	1,987	-8%
3	1,131	1,050	-7%	1,223	16%	1,311	7%	1,262	-4%
4	717	609	-15%	655	8%	754	15%	788	5%
5	431	389	-10%	428	10%	483	13%	535	11%
6+	613	576	-6%	596	3%	625	5%	713	14%
None	17,009	15,799	-7%	18,498	17%	17,341	-6%	16,841	-3%
Total	24,193	22,756	-6%	26,711	17%	25,862	-3%	25,273	-2%


b) Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals for each Referral of African American/Black Youth (2009 Referrals – 6,692)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
1	746	846	13%	1,096	30%	1,054	-4%	950	-10%
2	539	553	3%	607	10%	701	15%	639	-9%
3	370	366	-1%	410	12%	426	4%	404	-5%
4	243	215	-12%	244	13%	252	3%	289	15%
5	146	143	-2%	180	26%	204	13%	191	-6%
6+	243	218	-10%	246	13%	261	6%	276	6%
None	4,065	3,842	-5%	4,268	11%	4,093	-4%	3,943	-4%
Total	6,352	6,183	-3%	7,051	14%	6,991	-1%	6,692	-4%


c) Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals for each Referral of Asian/Pacific Islander Youth (2009 Referrals - 639)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
1	60	37	-38%	50	35%	44	-12%	58	32%
2	27	22	-19%	39	77%	24	-38%	23	-4%
3	19	8	-58%	11	38%	9	-18%	22	144%
4	6	3	-50%	6	100%	9	50%	5	-44%
5	1	2	100%	7	250%	6	-14%	5	-17%
6+	3	4	33%	4	0%	2	-50%	7	250%
None	542	512	-6%	572	12%	513	-10%	519	1%
Total	658	588	-11%	689	17%	607	-12%	639	5%


d) Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals for each Referral of Hispanic Youth (2009 Referrals – 10,214)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
1	843	844	0%	1,235	46%	1,222	-1%	1,228	0%
2	567	535	-6%	736	38%	861	17%	801	-7%
3	413	325	-21%	402	24%	535	33%	524	-2%
4	242	207	-14%	205	-1%	272	33%	306	13%
5	140	126	-10%	99	-21%	168	70%	207	23%
6+	163	171	5%	159	-7%	206	30%	258	25%
None	5,915	5,951	1%	7,283	22%	6,852	-6%	6,890	1%
Total	8,283	8,159	-1%	10,119	24%	10,116	0%	10,214	1%


d) Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals for each Referral of White Youth (2009 Referrals – 7,060)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
1	862	824	-4%	860	4%	786	-9%	829	5%
2	547	531	-3%	546	3%	517	-5%	471	-9%
3	315	317	1%	358	13%	299	-16%	268	-10%
4	220	166	-25%	179	8%	184	3%	159	-14%
5	136	114	-16%	129	13%	86	-33%	114	33%
6+	194	167	-14%	175	5%	133	-24%	155	17%
None	6,187	5,213	-16%	5,977	15%	5,508	-8%	5,064	-8%
Total	8,461	7,332	-13%	8,224	12%	7,513	-9%	7,060	-6%

f) Summary of the Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals by Ethnicity and the Percentage of Overall Referrals in 2009




I. Warrant Statistics

The following statistics are based on the date the warrant was issued, served, or quashed. There are three types of warrants: AW- Arrest Warrant, BW- Bench Warrant, TW- Traffic Warrant.

1. Issued Warrant Statistics


a) Issued Arrest Warrant Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2009 Warrants - 733)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	233	247	6%	322	30%	336	4%	269	-20%
Asian/Pacific Islander	10	11	10%	12	9%	11	-8%	8	-27%
Hispanic	212	219	3%	218	0%	273	25%	247	-10%
Other	23	25	9%	32	28%	32	0%	31	-3%
White	222	266	20%	230	-14%	231	0%	178	-23%
Total	700	768	10%	814	6%	883	8%	733	-17%


b) Issued Bench Warrant Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2009 Warrants – 1,408)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	423	474	12%	488	3%	439	-10%	449	2%
Asian/Pacific Islander	17	12	-29%	18	50%	10	-44%	27	170%
Hispanic	411	373	-9%	496	33%	475	-4%	533	12%
Other	18	30	67%	52	73%	58	12%	74	28%
White	354	336	-5%	311	-7%	281	-10%	325	16%
Total	1,223	1,225	0%	1,365	11%	1,263	-7%	1,408	11%

c) Issued Traffic Warrant Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2009 Warrants - 21)




1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	93	40	-57%	43	8%	35	-19%	3	-91%
Asian/Pacific Islander	4	6	50%	6	0%	0	-100%	0	-
Hispanic	164	102	-38%	152	49%	108	-29%	16	-85%
Other	3	0	-100%	4	-	3	-25%	0	-100%
White	86	33	-62%	47	42%	24	-49%	2	-92%
Total	350	181	-48%	252	39%	170	-33%	21	-88%

2. Served Warrant Statistics


a) Served Arrest Warrant Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2009 Warrants - 624)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	192	187	-3%	265	42%	285	8%	234	-18%
Asian/Pacific Islander	10	11	10%	8	-27%	6	-25%	10	67%
Hispanic	168	179	7%	166	-7%	220	33%	200	-9%
Other	13	22	69%	20	-9%	23	15%	23	0%
White	179	200	12%	204	2%	174	-15%	157	-10%
Total	562	599	7%	663	11%	708	7%	624	-12%


b) Served Bench Warrant Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2009 Warrants - 833)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	291	346	19%	340	-2%	300	-12%	275	-8%
Asian/Pacific Islander	12	5	-58%	12	140%	8	-33%	13	63%
Hispanic	282	281	0%	300	7%	303	1%	320	6%
Other	12	20	67%	28	40%	29	4%	31	7%
White	244	198	-19%	241	22%	172	-29%	194	13%
Total	841	850	1%	921	8%	812	-12%	833	3%

c) Served Traffic Warrant Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2009 Warrants - 29)




1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	26	28	8%	19	-32%	25	32%	7	-72%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	4	-	1	-75%	0	-100%	1	-
Hispanic	36	46	28%	44	-4%	47	7%	18	-62%
Other	0	0	-	2	-	1	-50%	1	0%
White	18	15	-17%	7	-53%	11	57%	2	-82%
Total	80	93	16%	73	-22%	84	15%	29	-65%

3. Quashed Warrant Statistics


a) Quashed Arrest Warrant Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2009 Warrants - 106)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	16	31	94%	39	26%	43	10%	27	-37%
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	3	200%	0	-100%	1	-	3	200%
Hispanic	25	29	16%	44	52%	28	-36%	35	25%
Other	2	8	300%	5	-38%	2	-60%	6	200%
White	14	46	229%	46	0%	44	-4%	35	-20%
Total	58	117	102%	134	15%	118	-12%	106	-10%


b) Quashed Bench Warrant Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2009 Warrants - 360)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	72	81	13%	75	-7%	95	27%	85	-11%
Asian/Pacific Islander	7	2	-71%	3	50%	5	67%	8	60%
Hispanic	115	79	-31%	92	16%	87	-5%	139	60%
Other	8	3	-63%	4	33%	6	50%	15	150%
White	123	98	-20%	65	-34%	83	28%	113	36%
Total	325	263	-19%	239	-9%	276	15%	360	30%

c) Quashed Traffic Warrant Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2009 Warrants - 17)



1) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	47	35	-26%	19	-46%	5	-74%	2	-60%
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	5	150%	2	-60%	0	-100%	0	-
Hispanic	135	83	-39%	78	-6%	40	-49%	11	-73%
Other	4	1	-75%	1	0%	2	100%	0	-100%
White	76	40	-47%	29	-28%	8	-72%	4	-50%
Total	264	164	-38%	129	-21%	55	-57%	17	-69%

VI. Intake Statistics

This section represents two main areas of statistics generated by the intake division, which includes the number of cases diverted and the number of cases referred to the district attorney’s office. The dates reflected represent the date they were diverted or referred to the district attorney.

A. Diversion Statistics


For the purpose of this report, the diversion category represents actions taken by the intake division to deter the referral from moving forward through the court system, which includes the following:

- Case Closed- After a review of the referral, a decision is made to prevent the case from moving forward to the court system.
- Community Service- As a consequence, the youth is tasked with completing a certain number of community service hours.
- Fines and Fees- Monetary payments imposed as part of a resolution for the referral.
- Informal Supervision- The assessment and disposition of a referral is handled informally, without a court petition, but involves ongoing supervision by the DJJS for a period of time.
- Penalties/Consequences- An action taken in resolution of a referral through which the youth is required to make amends for their behavior.

- Referred to other agency- After a review of the referral, it is decided that the youth could be better served through the services of a different agency.
- Service Programs- As a resolution for a referral, the youth is required to attend programs and services of a therapeutic nature.
- Trial by Peers- A jury of the youth’s peers decides on an informal resolution.
- Victim Offender Mediation- As a resolution, the youth is required to participate in a mediation with the victim of their offense.
- Warn and Release- In contrast to informal supervision, the youth is given a warning for their offense and they are released without further supervision by DJJS staff.

1. Diversion Types (2009 Diversions – 13,626)

Through the informal process, the categories below are assigned to a particular charge. As a referral may have more than one charge, one referral may generate multiple diversion categories. Therefore, the subcategories will not add up to the grand total.




a. Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Case Closed	5,629	4,598	-18%	5,386	17%	5,261	-2%	4,826	-8%
Community Service	771	985	28%	1,016	3%	1,001	-1%	1,024	2%
Fines and Fees	564	845	50%	1,104	31%	1,077	-2%	763	-29%
Informal Supervision	4,479	3,817	-15%	4,122	8%	4,493	9%	5,503	22%
Penalties/Consequences	949	1,000	5%	1,067	7%	1,166	9%	1,110	-5%
Referred to Other Agency	165	168	2%	179	7%	152	-15%	104	-32%
Service Programs	2,188	2,151	-2%	2,501	16%	2,970	19%	3,221	8%
Trial By Peers	99	84	-15%	142	69%	89	-37%	176	98%
VOM	106	109	3%	98	-10%	134	37%	172	28%
Warn and Release	2,101	2,350	12%	2,371	1%	1,695	-29%	1,873	11%
Total	12,978	11,966	-8%	13,695	14%	13,609	-1%	13,626	0%

2. Diversions by Ethnicity/Race (2009 Diversions – 13,626)

The statistics below are based on total referrals that were diverted in 2009.




a. Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	2,919	2,688	-8%	2,894	8%	3,141	9%	3,148	0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	461	372	-19%	439	18%	405	-8%	429	6%
Hispanic	4,577	4,691	2%	5,537	18%	5,465	-1%	5,751	5%
Other	216	189	-13%	253	34%	246	-3%	245	0%
White	4,805	4,026	-16%	4,572	14%	4,352	-5%	4,054	-7%
Total	12,978	11,966	-8%	13,695	14%	13,609	-1%	13,626	0%

B. Cases Referred to the District Attorney by Ethnicity (2009 Referrals – 9,397)

These statistics reflect intake referrals to the district attorney’s office. The date represents the date of referral and not the offense date.




1. Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	2,702	2,813	4%	3,200	14%	2,787	-13%	2,766	-1%
Asian/Pacific Islander	186	166	-11%	213	28%	193	-9%	198	3%
Hispanic	2,747	2,840	3%	3,574	26%	3,512	-2%	3,648	4%
Other	156	219	40%	236	8%	262	11%	300	15%
White	2,830	2,649	-6%	2,684	1%	2,495	-7%	2,485	0%
Total	8,621	8,687	1%	9,907	14%	9,249	-7%	9,397	2%

VII. District Attorney Statistics

This section illustrates statistics that are generated by the district attorney's office. In particular, the following statistics include: the number of cases filed, the most serious charge category of a filed referral, and the number of youth filed directly with the adult court system.

A. Cases Filed by Ethnicity/Race (2009 Referrals Filed – 8,086)




1. Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	2,259	2,433	8%	2,777	14%	2,455	-12%	2,380	-3%
Asian/Pacific Islander	149	138	-7%	179	30%	158	-12%	170	8%
Hispanic	2,324	2,446	5%	3,087	26%	3,057	-1%	3,153	3%
Other	140	181	29%	217	20%	236	9%	275	17%
White	2,399	2,254	-6%	2,286	1%	2,079	-9%	2,108	1%
Total	7,271	7,452	2%	8,546	15%	7,985	-7%	8,086	1%

B. Most Serious Charge Category of Filed Referral (2005-2009) (See descriptions on page 26-28)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Administrative Actions	0	0	-	1	-	1	0%	0	-100%
Crimes Against Persons	1,364	1,358	0%	1,553	14%	1,353	-13%	1,332	-2%
Crimes Against Property	2,149	2,139	0%	2,448	14%	2,307	-6%	1,952	-15%
Crimes Against Public Health and Safety	643	777	21%	788	1%	722	-8%	663	-8%
Crimes Against the Public	775	648	-16%	727	12%	712	-2%	727	2%
Drug and Controlled Substances Crimes	1,107	1,076	-3%	1,227	14%	1,050	-14%	1,183	13%
Local Code Crimes	28	81	189%	112	38%	89	-21%	104	17%
Malicious Mischief Crimes	377	436	16%	582	33%	687	18%	690	0%
Miscellaneous Crimes	249	302	21%	393	30%	371	-6%	395	6%
Sexual Crimes	157	150	-4%	121	-19%	121	0%	117	-3%
Status Offenses	313	366	17%	388	6%	374	-4%	769	106%
Traffic Crimes	109	119	9%	206	73%	198	-4%	154	-22%
Total	7,271	7,452	2%	8,546	15%	7,985	-7%	8,086	1%

C. Youth Filed Directly with the Adult Court System by Ethnicity/Race (2009 Youth Filed - 51)



1. Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	22	26	18%	20	-23%	25	25%	32	28%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0	-	0	-	1	-	1	0%
Hispanic	13	16	23%	19	19%	21	11%	14	-33%
Other	0	2	-	0	-100%	0	-	2	-
White	2	3	50%	6	100%	5	-17%	2	-60%
Total	37	47	27%	45	-4%	52	16%	51	-2%

VIII. Court Actions

The following statistics represent decisions made through the formal court process. To reach this level, the District Attorney has filed the charges of the referral. With the charges filed in court, each case is placed under the court’s consideration. The statistics of this section are divided into the following: the different types of court actions, probation dispositions, SMYC dispositions, DCFS dispositions, and the number of youth certified.

A. Court Action Types by Referrals

Through the court process, as a result of a filed charge, a youth may receive the following types of formal court actions:

- Certification to Adult Status- As guided by Nevada Statutes, this is an action that sends a referral from the juvenile court system to be determined through the adult court system.
- Community Service- As a consequence, the youth is ordered to complete a certain number of community service hours.
- Counseling- As part of a court order, the youth is required to receive counseling services.

- Diversion- As a form of a graduated sanction, this action is taken in lieu of a more serious court action.
- Drug Court- As an option for drug related referrals, this action is taken to encourage and monitor the youth's ability to rehabilitate from drug use. Specifically, the youth will be required to take random drug testing.
- Fines and Fees- Monetary payments imposed as part of a resolution for the referral.
- Formal Parole- This action occurs for referred youth that have previously been committed to a State Correctional Facility. Upon release from the correctional facility, State Parole is to provide formal supervision of the youth.
- Formal Supervision- After consideration of the referral, the court decides to place the youth under formal supervision of the DJJS. This action would include the following: formal probation, electronic monitoring, and intensive supervision programs.
- Interstate Compact (ICOJ)- As a result of the court process, a formal agreement is made between states where a youth is placed under supervision in one state and supervised in another.
- Other – This option includes actions such as dismissing charges, no further action, or ordering the youth to follow conditions the Probation Department may deem appropriate. Finally, it includes any specific orders that are not covered in any of the other categories that the judge may impose as being uniquely suited to promoting the successful resolution of individual cases.
- Penalties/Consequences- An action taken in resolution of a referral through which the youth is required to make amends for their behavior.
- Commitment DCFS – As a result of the court process, an order is made to commit the youth to a State Correctional Facility.
- Placed OTHER- This action is used when the youth is ordered to live with relatives, in group homes, or alternative placements rather than DCFS or DJJS facilities.
- Placed SMYC- As a result of the court process, an order is made to place the youth into the Spring Mountain Youth Camp.
- Referred to DJJS- After a review of the referral, it is decided that the resolution can be determined by the DJJS. This would include referrals to probation or traffic.
- Referred to State Parole- After examining of the referral, it is decided that State Parole can determine the resolution. This would include youth that are currently under the formal supervision of State Parole.

- Residential Treatment- For rehabilitation purposes, the youth is ordered to receive residential treatment.
- Restitution- The youth is ordered to make payments to the victims of their offenses. The amount is to be determined by the Victim Assistance Program (VAP).
- Service Programs- As a resolution for a referral, the youth is required to attend programs and services of a therapeutic nature.
- Suspended Commitment- As a graduated sanction, the youth is given an opportunity to rehabilitate without being sent to a State Correctional Facility. However, should any other infractions occur, the youth will be committed to DCFS.
- Suspended Commitment/Formal Probation- In lieu of being committed to a State Correctional Facility, the youth is given formal probation. However, should any infractions occur under probation, the youth would be committed to DCFS.
- Suspended Placement SMYC- As a graduated sanction, the youth is given an opportunity to rehabilitate without being sent to the SMYC, should any other infractions occur, the youth would be placed at the SMYC.


As each individual charge on a referral may be tied to a specific court action, a single referral may generate statistics for multiple categories. As such, the categories below will not add up to the total number of referrals. Additionally, the dates represent the date of the action.

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Certified to Adult Status	74	122	65%	107	-12%	75	-30%	77	3%
Community Service	2,467	2,531	3%	3,142	24%	3,420	9%	3,236	-5%
Commitment DCFS	480	557	16%	473	-15%	410	-13%	484	18%
Counseling	1,430	1,350	-6%	1,299	-4%	1,210	-7%	1,200	-1%
Diversions	27	47	74%	69	47%	79	14%	72	-9%
Drug Court	221	162	-27%	212	31%	189	-11%	181	-4%
Fines and Fees	332	309	-7%	256	-17%	348	36%	480	38%
Formal Parole	465	603	30%	596	-1%	514	-14%	436	-15%
Formal Supervision	3,286	3,111	-5%	3,473	12%	3,420	-2%	3,159	-8%
Interstate Compact (ICOJ)	224	197	-12%	242	23%	222	-8%	219	-1%
Other	7,755	7,608	-2%	8,848	16%	8,526	-4%	8,123	-5%
Penalties/Consequences	3,118	2,865	-8%	3,187	11%	3,225	1%	3,473	8%
Placed Other	468	491	5%	582	19%	600	3%	599	0%
Placed SMYC	320	312	-3%	322	3%	296	-8%	305	3%
Referred to DJJS	48	58	21%	83	43%	97	17%	62	-36%
Referred to State Parole	35	34	-3%	50	47%	30	-40%	21	-30%
Residential Treatment	41	43	5%	44	2%	18	-59%	13	-28%
Restitution	1,891	2,020	7%	2,244	11%	2,207	-2%	2,088	-5%
Services/Programs	1,960	1,831	-7%	2,246	23%	2,079	-7%	1,993	-4%
Suspended Commitment	158	278	76%	285	3%	265	-7%	251	-5%
Suspended Commitment / Formal Probation	447	557	25%	895	61%	958	7%	968	1%
Suspended Placement SMYC	162	235	45%	357	52%	336	-6%	287	-15%
Total	8,722	8,657	-1%	9,957	15%	9,797	-2%	9,253	-6%

B. Court Dispositions by Distinct Youth Count

This section details the distinct number of youth involved with each of the following court actions: formal probation, SMYC placement, DCFS commitments, and certifications.


1. Formal Probation Disposition by Ethnicity/Race (2009 Dispositions – 2,986)



a. Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	751	801	7%	1,005	25%	945	-6%	848	-10%
Asian/Pacific Islander	59	55	-7%	68	24%	65	-4%	62	-5%
Hispanic	780	879	13%	1,057	20%	1,150	9%	1,176	2%
Other	51	72	41%	74	3%	89	20%	86	-3%
White	799	876	10%	894	2%	817	-9%	814	0%
Total	2,440	2,683	10%	3,098	15%	3,066	-1%	2,986	-3%


2. SMYC Dispositions by Ethnicity/Race (2009 Dispositions - 215)



a. Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	93	92	-1%	82	-11%	76	-7%	79	4%
Asian/Pacific Islander	5	2	-60%	2	0%	4	100%	5	25%
Hispanic	77	72	-6%	84	17%	80	-5%	89	11%
Other	2	7	250%	7	0%	4	-43%	8	100%
White	51	60	18%	60	0%	55	-8%	34	-38%
Total	228	233	2%	235	1%	219	-7%	215	-2%


3. DCFS Commitments by Ethnicity/Race (2009 Commitments - 373)



a. Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	134	184	37%	153	-17%	124	-19%	164	32%
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	7	250%	2	-71%	2	0%	4	100%
Hispanic	119	126	6%	123	-2%	110	-11%	121	10%
Other	10	6	-40%	13	117%	9	-31%	10	11%
White	119	105	-12%	77	-27%	59	-23%	74	25%
Total	384	428	11%	368	-14%	304	-17%	373	23%

4. Certifications by Ethnicity/Race (2009 Certifications - 61)



a. Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
African American/Black	25	52	108%	45	-13%	23	-49%	27	17%
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	1	0%	0	-100%	2	-	0	-100%
Hispanic	26	30	15%	25	-17%	23	-8%	25	9%
Other	1	2	100%	1	-50%	2	100%	2	0%
White	11	10	-9%	9	-10%	13	44%	7	-46%
Total	64	95	48%	80	-16%	63	-21%	61	-3%

IX. Booking & Detention Statistics


This section combines statistics that are generated by the booking and detention divisions. Specifically, the following sections are covered: detention screening, utilization, average daily population, and average length of stay.

A. Detention Screening

The following statistics reflect the status of those referrals that have been screened by the booking division. As a procedure to booking a youth, the Risk Assessment Instrument (RAI) is utilized by the DJJS. The RAI is a guideline for determining whether or not a youth is placed into secure detention. To be more specific, the RAI utilizes a scoring system that weighs specified risk factors associated with public safety. The instrument was developed with the intent of finding an objective means to detaining a referred youth. The RAI was implemented into FamilyTRACS in 2005. The following is a description of the categories that are used:

- Detention Requirements Were Not Met- When a youth scores below 15 points and does not meet the mandatory detainment or special detainment circumstances and a discretionary override is not utilized.
- Discretionary Override- Occurs when a youth is detained because of a special circumstance that is not listed as a category in the RAI. To receive an override, approval must be granted by the detention manager and the assistant director of the DJJS.
- Met Scoring Requirements- Occurs when a youth has scored 15 points or more on the RAI.
- Met Requirements of Mandatory Detainment- Occurs when a youth has scored below 15 points on the RAI but meets the standards listed in the mandatory detainment category. Some examples listed in the mandatory detainment category include: judicial orders, previous escapee, and firearm use.
- Met Requirements of a Special Detainment Circumstance- Occurs when a youth has scored below 15 points on the RAI but meets the standards listed in the special detainment circumstance category. Some examples listed in the special detainment category include: warrants, institutional holds, and when the youth is from another jurisdiction,

1. Overall Referral Screening (2009 Referrals – 9,211)




a. Historical Data with Ethnicity/Race (2005-2009)

		2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Detainment Requirements Were Not Met	African American/Black	1,453	1,342	-8%	1,597	19%	1,549	-3%	1,443	-7%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	133	106	-20%	138	30%	127	-8%	97	-24%
	Hispanic	1,659	1,656	0%	2,105	27%	2,125	1%	2,126	0%
	Other	116	127	9%	129	2%	166	29%	156	-6%
	White	1,692	1,586	-6%	1,581	0%	1,390	-12%	1,331	-4%
	Total	5,053	4,817	-5%	5,550	15%	5,357	-3%	5,153	-4%
Discretionary Override	African American/Black	61	85	39%	38	-55%	54	42%	49	-9%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	4	1	-75%	1	0%	1	0%	4	300%
	Hispanic	59	51	-14%	24	-53%	26	8%	47	81%
	Other	6	9	50%	2	-78%	5	150%	2	-60%
	White	68	66	-3%	37	-44%	23	-38%	33	43%
	Total	198	212	7%	102	-52%	109	7%	135	24%
Met RAI Scoring Requirements	African American/Black	575	580	1%	649	12%	583	-10%	583	0%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	22	32	45%	19	-41%	21	11%	35	67%
	Hispanic	415	453	9%	405	-11%	479	18%	423	-12%
	Other	27	34	26%	37	9%	40	8%	36	-10%
	White	376	347	-8%	324	-7%	355	10%	272	-23%
	Total	1,415	1,446	2%	1,434	-1%	1,478	3%	1,349	-9%
Met Requirements of Mandatory Detainment	African American/Black	307	277	-10%	340	23%	297	-13%	318	7%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	17	17	0%	15	-12%	12	-20%	18	50%
	Hispanic	422	344	-18%	414	20%	449	8%	470	5%
	Other	19	38	100%	39	3%	36	-8%	30	-17%
	White	407	357	-12%	349	-2%	276	-21%	288	4%
	Total	1,172	1,033	-12%	1,157	12%	1,070	-8%	1,124	5%
Met Requirements of Special Detainment Circumstances	African American/Black	424	524	24%	609	16%	561	-8%	471	-16%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	19	18	-5%	17	-6%	14	-18%	16	14%
	Hispanic	414	467	13%	533	14%	556	4%	503	-10%
	Other	23	32	39%	45	41%	48	7%	49	2%
	White	429	391	-9%	420	7%	362	-14%	379	5%
	Total	1,309	1,432	9%	1,624	13%	1,541	-5%	1,418	-8%
Other	African American/Black	17	9	-47%	12	33%	9	-25%	6	-33%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	1	0	-100%	0	-	0	-	0	-
	Hispanic	14	19	36%	13	-32%	15	15%	16	7%
	Other	0	0	-	0	-	1	-	1	0%
	White	17	10	-41%	9	-10%	7	-22%	9	29%
	Total	49	38	-22%	34	-11%	32	-6%	32	0%
Grand Total		9,196	8,978	-2%	9,901	10%	9,587	-3%	9,211	-4%

2. Detained RAI Result Categories (2009 RAI Total – 3,700)

The following statistics represent only those referrals that resulted in a detention.



a. Historical Statistics with Ethnicity/Race (2005-2009)

		2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Discretionary Override	African American/Black	60	85	42%	38	-55%	54	42%	48	-11%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	4	1	-75%	1	0%	1	0%	4	300%
	Hispanic	59	51	-14%	24	-53%	26	8%	46	77%
	Other	6	9	50%	2	-78%	5	150%	2	-60%
	White	68	66	-3%	37	-44%	22	-41%	32	45%
	Total	197	212	8%	102	-52%	108	6%	132	22%
Met RAI Scoring Requirements	African American/Black	548	553	1%	625	13%	567	-9%	572	1%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	21	29	38%	17	-41%	19	12%	34	79%
	Hispanic	399	434	9%	388	-11%	462	19%	417	-10%
	Other	26	32	23%	35	9%	38	9%	35	-8%
	White	348	328	-6%	306	-7%	347	13%	266	-23%
	Total	1,342	1,376	3%	1,371	0%	1,433	5%	1,324	-8%
Met Requirements of Mandatory Detainment	African American/Black	289	251	-13%	311	24%	279	-10%	303	9%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	17	15	-12%	14	-7%	11	-21%	17	55%
	Hispanic	395	324	-18%	382	18%	425	11%	460	8%
	Other	18	37	106%	34	-8%	36	6%	28	-22%
	White	381	332	-13%	323	-3%	263	-19%	279	6%
	Total	1,100	959	-13%	1,064	11%	1,014	-5%	1,087	7%
Met Requirements of Special Detainment Circumstances	African American/Black	359	400	11%	501	25%	445	-11%	400	-10%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	16	13	-19%	10	-23%	8	-20%	11	38%
	Hispanic	320	332	4%	365	10%	394	8%	370	-6%
	Other	20	31	55%	36	16%	34	-6%	43	26%
	White	367	335	-9%	325	-3%	275	-15%	299	9%
	Total	1,082	1,111	3%	1,237	11%	1,156	-7%	1,123	-3%
Other	African American/Black	53	6	-89%	3	-50%	7	133%	15	114%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	9	0	-100%	0	-	0	-	0	-
	Hispanic	75	4	-95%	10	150%	6	-40%	11	83%
	Other	3	0	-100%	1	-	1	0%	1	0%
	White	72	4	-94%	5	25%	5	0%	7	40%
	Total	212	14	-93%	19	36%	19	0%	34	79%
Grand Total	3,933	3,672	-7%	3,793	3%	3,730	-2%	3,700	-1%	

3. Detained RAI Result Category Detail (without overrides) (2005-2009)

The statistics of this section represents only those referrals that resulted in a detention. The following is a description of the categories used:

- Court Commitment DCFS- When a detention occurs for those youth who are committed to a State Correctional Facility.
- Court Placement SMYC- When a detention occurs for those youth who are being placed at Spring Mountain Youth Camp.
- Courtesy Hold- Occurs when another jurisdiction or public agency requests that a youth be detained.
- Escapee- When a detention occurs because the youth has previously escaped from the DJJS facility.
- Felony/GM Warrant- When a detention occurs because a felony or gross misdemeanor warrant has been issued for the youth to appear in court.
- Fugitive Hold- When a youth is detained because they have been categorized as a fugitive in another jurisdiction.
- Hospitalization of Victim- A detention that occurs when the victim of the offender is hospitalized.
- Immigration Hold - Occurs when federal authorities request that a youth be detained for immigration proceedings.
- JO- When a detention occurs due to a judicial order.
- JSO Runaway/No placement- When a detention occurs because of the difficulty in finding a placement for a juvenile sexual offender or a runaway.
- Material Witness Order- When a detention occurs at the request of another jurisdiction or public agency for the purposes of ensuring that the youth provides testimony in an upcoming court proceeding.
- Misdemeanor Warrant- When a detention occurs because misdemeanor warrant has been issued for the youth to appear in court. The following initials are used: "A" – Arrest, "B" – Bench, and "T" – Traffic.
- Offense Committed in Det Alt – When a detention occurs because the new offense was committed while the youth was in a detention alternative.

- Offense Committed on Post-Adj CCP – When a detainment occurs because the new offense was committed while the youth was under a post adjudication continuum of care program (CCP). CCP is an intensive supervision program that is administered by the DJJS probation officers.
- Offense Committed on SMYC Aftercare – When a detainment occurs because the new offense was committed while the youth was under the Aftercare program.
- OJ with Charge- This occurs when a youth is charged with an offense and the youth is residing from another jurisdiction.
- Recommended Commitment- When a probation officer is recommending commitment, the youth is detained in order to prevent the youth from being a flight risk.
- Scored 15 Points of More- At 15 points, a youth becomes eligible to be detained.
- SMYC – Institutional Hold – This detainment occurs when a youth from SMYC is being held at Detention. This may occur if a youth was on weekend leave and committed a minor offense.
- SMYC- New Offense – This detainment occurs if the youth commits a new offense during their placement.
- Use/Possession of Firearm- A youth may be detained if they have been charged with the use or possession of a firearm.

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Court Commitment DCFS	3	4	33%	7	75%	1	-86%	2	100%
Court Commitment SMYC	2	6	200%	6	0%	8	33%	2	-75%
Courtesy Hold	111	88	-21%	101	15%	64	-37%	88	38%
Escapee	6	2	-67%	4	100%	3	-25%	7	133%
Federal Hold	0	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-
Felony/GM Arrest Warrant	74	72	-3%	79	10%	44	-44%	84	91%
Felony/GM Bench Warrant	222	231	4%	235	2%	188	-20%	195	4%
Felony/GM Traffic Warrant	2	2	0%	0	-100%	1	-	0	-100%
Fugitive Hold	37	35	-5%	39	11%	17	-56%	29	71%
Hospitalization of Victim	2	0	-100%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Immigration Hold	0	0	-	2	-	0	-100%	0	-
JO- Drug Court	163	96	-41%	94	-2%	90	-4%	75	-17%
JO- Municipal Court	4	1	-75%	0	-100%	0	-	0	-
JO- Outlying Areas	18	17	-6%	13	-24%	18	38%	11	-39%

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
JO- Truancy	1	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
JSO Runaway/No Placement	51	38	-25%	35	-8%	38	9%	38	0%
Judicial Order	498	435	-13%	548	26%	620	13%	659	6%
Material Witness Order	22	3	-86%	5	67%	6	20%	3	-50%
Misdemeanor A/W	296	351	19%	400	14%	442	11%	377	-15%
Misdemeanor B/W	261	264	1%	292	11%	280	-4%	270	-4%
Misdemeanor T/W	40	12	-70%	6	-50%	3	-50%	1	-67%
Offense Committed in Det Alt	49	50	2%	59	18%	50	-15%	57	14%
Offense Committed on Post-Adj CCP	89	95	7%	113	19%	68	-40%	106	56%
Offense Committed on SMYC Aftercare	0	0	-	0	-	12	-	49	308%
OJ with Charge	177	196	11%	212	8%	191	-10%	122	-36%
Other	212	14	-93%	19	36%	19	0%	34	79%
Recommended Commitment	2	2	0%	2	0%	0	-100%	0	-
Scored 15 Points or More	1,342	1,376	3%	1,371	0%	1,433	5%	1,324	-8%
SMYC - Institutional Hold	1	2	100%	0	-100%	1	-	1	0%
SMYC - New Offense	7	15	114%	19	27%	7	-63%	14	100%
Use/Possession of Firearm	44	53	20%	30	-43%	18	-40%	19	6%
Total	3,736	3,460	-7%	3,691	7%	3,622	-2%	3,568	-1%

a. Percentage of Overall Detained Referrals

The figure below illustrates the top four categories of RAI results for those referrals that went through booking and resulted in a detention placement. As illustrated, the top four categories account for 71% of the total amount of referrals that led to a detention placement.




B. Utilization (2009 Detention Placements – 3,702)

This section details the number of youth held in detention at the start of the year, placed during the year, released during the year, and held in detention at the end of the year.

		2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Start of Year	African American/Black	64	77	20%	65	-16%	66	2%	80	21%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	0	3	-	1	-67%	3	200%	2	-33%
	Hispanic	60	55	-8%	58	5%	44	-24%	56	27%
	Other	3	6	100%	5	-17%	2	-60%	4	100%
	White	51	68	33%	50	-26%	39	-22%	35	-10%
	Total	178	209	17%	179	-14%	154	-14%	177	15%
New Detentions	African American/Black	1,310	1,303	-1%	1,488	14%	1,357	-9%	1,340	-1%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	67	58	-13%	42	-28%	38	-10%	66	74%
	Hispanic	1,250	1,143	-9%	1,171	2%	1,312	12%	1,304	-1%
	Other	71	106	49%	102	-4%	109	7%	109	0%
	White	1,238	1,064	-14%	997	-6%	915	-8%	883	-3%
	Total	3,936	3,674	-7%	3,800	3%	3,731	-2%	3,702	-1%
Releases	African American/Black	1,297	1,315	1%	1,487	13%	1,343	-10%	1,355	1%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	64	60	-6%	40	-33%	39	-3%	66	69%
	Hispanic	1,255	1,140	-9%	1,185	4%	1,299	10%	1,312	1%
	Other	68	107	57%	105	-2%	107	2%	110	3%
	White	1,221	1,082	-11%	1,008	-7%	920	-9%	874	-5%
	Total	3,905	3,704	-5%	3,825	3%	3,708	-3%	3,717	0%
End of Year	African American/Black	77	65	-16%	66	2%	80	21%	65	-19%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	3	1	-67%	3	200%	2	-33%	2	0%
	Hispanic	55	58	5%	44	-24%	57	30%	48	-16%
	Other	6	5	-17%	2	-60%	4	100%	3	-25%
	White	68	50	-26%	39	-22%	34	-13%	44	29%
	Total	209	179	-14%	154	-14%	177	15%	162	-8%


C. Detention Average Length of Stay (2009 ALOS – 19 Days)



1. Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
JAN	20.16	20.76	3%	18.12	-13%	17.02	-6%	18.26	7%
FEB	18.94	30.5	61%	20.89	-32%	22.1	6%	18.62	-16%
MAR	18.65	23.06	24%	19.16	-17%	15.56	-19%	17.72	14%
APR	17.56	21.36	22%	16	-25%	18.02	13%	17.31	-4%
MAY	17.37	24.34	40%	19.13	-21%	19.63	3%	18.31	-7%
JUNE	19.78	23	16%	17.42	-24%	17.04	-2%	18.46	8%
JULY	20.78	20.72	0%	19.03	-8%	18.48	-3%	22.56	22%
AUG	23.88	17.38	-27%	20.6	19%	17.4	-16%	21.84	26%
SEP	22.3	20.99	-6%	20.67	-2%	15.6	-25%	20.07	29%
OCT	21.04	17.81	-15%	19.1	7%	16.78	-12%	15.86	-5%
NOV	20.92	20.27	-3%	19.74	-3%	19.22	-3%	19.99	4%
DEC	21.79	19.81	-9%	20.16	2%	20.57	2%	21.71	6%
Total	20.19	21.7	7%	19.16	-12%	18.11	-5%	19.2	6%

D. Detention Average Daily Population (2009 ADP - 195)



1. Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
JAN	200.7	233.97	17%	199.84	-15%	177.71	-11%	200.03	13%
FEB	201.5	243.46	21%	219.57	-10%	187.66	-15%	192.11	2%
MAR	199.5	233.68	17%	197.32	-16%	196.39	0%	189.26	-4%
APR	223.7	233.27	4%	191.80	-18%	202.97	6%	196.70	-3%
MAY	233.7	247.16	6%	204.77	-17%	195.10	-5%	217.52	11%
JUNE	243.4	218.07	-10%	200.30	-8%	199.17	-1%	208.43	5%
JULY	224.7	189.97	-15%	205.00	8%	182.55	-11%	200.71	10%
AUG	229.7	199.19	-13%	192.55	-3%	165.32	-14%	176.32	7%
SEP	212.7	190.57	-10%	201.60	6%	166.80	-17%	170.37	2%
OCT	224.2	186.55	-17%	214.00	15%	187.09	-13%	195.23	4%
NOV	230	184.33	-20%	210.80	14%	173.70	-18%	211.77	22%
DEC	221.9	189.29	-15%	188.61	0%	172.00	-9%	184.10	7%
Total	220.6	212.27	-4%	202.05	-5%	183.83	-9%	195.22	6%

X. Alternatives to Detention

This section illustrates statistics that are related to two alternatives to detention: Electronic Management and Home Management. Electronic Monitoring is an alternative to detention that includes numerous rules and conditions as well as requiring that a youth wear an electronic tracking device. Home Management is a program designed to supervise youth in their homes rather than in the secure Detention Facility


A. Electronic Monitoring

1. Utilization (2009 Placements - 153)

This section details the number of youth being monitored at the start of the year, placed during the year, released during the year, and being monitored at the end of the year.

		2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Start of Year	African American/Black	9	6	-33%	6	0%	9	50%	1	-89%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0	-	1	-	1	0%	1	0%
	Hispanic	6	1	-83%	5	400%	3	-40%	0	-100%
	Other	3	0	-100%	0	-	2	-	1	-50%
	White	15	6	-60%	7	17%	6	-14%	2	-67%
	Total	33	13	-61%	19	46%	21	11%	5	-76%
New Placement	African American/Black	63	49	-22%	82	67%	43	-48%	60	40%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	8	6	-25%	5	-17%	4	-20%	1	-75%
	Hispanic	62	54	-13%	60	11%	31	-48%	39	26%
	Other	3	7	133%	8	14%	5	-38%	5	0%
	White	75	58	-23%	65	12%	47	-28%	48	2%
	Total	211	174	-18%	220	26%	130	-41%	153	18%
Releases	African American/Black	66	49	-26%	79	61%	51	-35%	54	6%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	8	5	-38%	5	0%	4	-20%	2	-50%
	Hispanic	67	50	-25%	62	24%	34	-45%	37	9%
	Other	6	7	17%	6	-14%	6	0%	6	0%
	White	84	57	-32%	66	16%	51	-23%	43	-16%
	Total	231	168	-27%	218	30%	146	-33%	142	-3%
End of Year	African American/Black	6	6	0%	9	50%	1	-89%	7	600%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	0	1	-	1	0%	1	0%	0	-100%
	Hispanic	1	5	400%	3	-40%	0	-100%	2	-
	Other	0	0	-	2	-	1	-50%	0	-100%
	White	6	7	17%	6	-14%	2	-67%	7	250%
	Total	13	19	46%	21	11%	5	-76%	16	220%


2. Average Length of Stay (2009 ALOS – 25 Days)



a) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
JAN	31.36	57.55	83%	32.26	-44%	41.05	27%	22.33	-46%
FEB	24.47	41.46	69%	48.41	17%	31.94	-34%	16.50	-48%
MAR	24.18	29.89	24%	24.90	-17%	40.27	62%	16.83	-58%
APR	21.67	38.87	79%	27.15	-30%	40.25	48%	39.73	-1%
MAY	33.67	22.56	-33%	31.27	39%	44.07	41%	18.36	-58%
JUNE	26.78	29.42	10%	23.53	-20%	36.08	53%	24.46	-32%
JULY	28.40	34.15	20%	31.94	-6%	36.86	15%	16.15	-56%
AUG	25.54	43.14	69%	38.53	-11%	50.27	30%	24.29	-52%
SEP	32.82	41.71	27%	26.75	-36%	34.00	27%	42.70	26%
OCT	53.18	36.55	-31%	36.50	0%	23.91	-34%	22.25	-7%
NOV	27.54	11.00	-60%	35.00	218%	34.00	-3%	43.10	27%
DEC	57.23	59.43	4%	47.56	-20%	32.70	-31%	18.13	-45%
Total	30.09	38.23	27%	32.49	-15%	37.55	16%	24.76	-34%

3. Average Daily Population (2009 ADP - 11)



a) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
JAN	27.97	16.45	-41%	19.65	19%	18.77	-4%	5.32	-72%
FEB	29.46	19.18	-35%	15.00	-22%	16.21	8%	6.54	-60%
MAR	22.03	16.32	-26%	21.00	29%	20.32	-3%	8.71	-57%
APR	20.70	15.27	-26%	17.27	13%	19.67	14%	7.13	-64%
MAY	19.84	14.45	-27%	13.71	-5%	16.39	20%	12.13	-26%
JUNE	19.27	18.90	-2%	18.67	-1%	15.40	-18%	8.87	-42%
JULY	17.00	21.23	25%	22.10	4%	13.74	-38%	12.10	-12%
AUG	13.39	20.32	52%	19.10	-6%	9.52	-50%	13.03	37%
SEP	15.00	20.87	39%	19.20	-8%	7.77	-60%	15.40	98%
OCT	15.26	17.16	12%	22.77	33%	8.71	-62%	10.77	24%
NOV	14.33	19.33	35%	17.83	-8%	8.60	-52%	10.30	20%
DEC	13.61	22.68	67%	15.90	-30%	5.13	-68%	16.97	231%
Total	18.92	18.51	-2%	18.55	0%	13.34	-28%	10.64	-20%


B. Home Management

1. Utilization (2009 Placements - 436)

This section details the number of youth on the program at the start of the year, placed during the year, released during the year, and on the program at the end of the year.

		2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Start of Year	African American/Black	23	17	-26%	23	35%	13	-43%	8	-38%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	2	0	-100%	1	-	0	-	1	-
	Hispanic	8	22	175%	14	-36%	13	-7%	5	-62%
	Other	0	1	-	1	-	3	-	1	-67%
	White	10	14	40%	21	50%	19	-10%	11	-42%
	Total	43	54	26%	60	11%	48	-20%	26	-46%
New Placements	African American/Black	167	164	-2%	177	8%	119	-33%	134	13%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	4	14	250%	6	-57%	11	83%	13	18%
	Hispanic	174	147	-16%	172	17%	169	-2%	157	-7%
	Other	8	14	75%	11	-21%	11	0%	11	0%
	White	159	141	-11%	131	-7%	110	-16%	121	10%
	Total	512	480	-6%	497	4%	420	-15%	436	4%
Releases	African American/Black	173	158	-9%	187	18%	124	-34%	129	4%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	6	13	117%	7	-46%	10	43%	10	0%
	Hispanic	160	155	-3%	173	12%	177	2%	147	-17%
	Other	7	14	100%	9	-36%	13	44%	12	-8%
	White	155	134	-14%	133	-1%	118	-11%	118	0%
	Total	501	474	-5%	509	7%	442	-13%	416	-6%
End of Year	African American/Black	17	23	35%	13	-43%	8	-38%	13	63%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	0	1	-	0	-	1	-	4	-
	Hispanic	22	14	-36%	13	-7%	5	-62%	15	200%
	Other	1	1	-	3	-	1	-67%	0	-100%
	White	14	21	50%	19	-10%	11	-42%	14	27%
	Total	54	60	11%	48	-20%	26	-46%	46	77%


2. Average Length of Stay (2009 ALOS –28 Days)



a) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
JAN	38.69	35.70	-8%	33.49	-6%	40.33	20%	24.13	-40%
FEB	33.69	33.37	-1%	37.61	13%	32.83	-13%	30.80	-6%
MAR	28.63	33.32	16%	41.30	24%	30.55	-26%	31.41	3%
APR	29.52	40.21	36%	21.68	-46%	37.53	73%	34.65	-8%
MAY	23.52	46.71	99%	38.69	-17%	25.28	-35%	26.03	3%
JUNE	31.13	32.63	5%	44.58	37%	33.60	-25%	25.45	-24%
JULY	37.00	37.79	2%	63.85	69%	42.16	-34%	23.00	-45%
AUG	32.26	31.00	-4%	33.59	8%	43.93	31%	31.76	-28%
SEP	25.90	24.85	-4%	40.00	61%	34.66	-13%	34.28	-1%
OCT	27.32	34.13	25%	35.54	4%	26.53	-25%	33.24	25%
NOV	40.82	50.58	24%	50.37	0%	27.09	-46%	21.35	-21%
DEC	34.32	31.61	-8%	30.13	-5%	27.57	-9%	27.35	-1%
Total	31.90	36.14	13%	39.50	9%	33.97	-14%	28.26	-17%

3. Average Daily Population (2009 ADP - 35)



a) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
JAN	40.16	57.23	42%	55.58	-3%	53.55	-4%	26.74	-50%
FEB	43.68	62.43	43%	59.68	-4%	41.03	-31%	29.61	-28%
MAR	46.65	59.00	26%	57.87	-2%	29.16	-50%	34.10	17%
APR	47.40	46.57	-2%	63.27	36%	48.23	-24%	31.50	-35%
MAY	53.68	45.19	-16%	62.26	38%	48.10	-23%	33.90	-30%
JUNE	38.77	40.87	5%	60.47	48%	50.80	-16%	38.27	-25%
JULY	44.61	36.39	-18%	48.35	33%	46.45	-4%	32.06	-31%
AUG	36.71	33.68	-8%	46.13	37%	31.06	-33%	31.00	0%
SEP	42.67	36.07	-15%	57.73	60%	30.27	-48%	37.03	22%
OCT	47.10	52.55	12%	48.03	-9%	25.87	-46%	37.87	46%
NOV	57.43	46.83	-18%	39.27	-16%	23.67	-40%	41.17	74%
DEC	53.16	60.00	13%	41.84	-30%	24.84	-41%	45.87	85%
Total	46.01	47.70	4%	53.30	12%	37.73	-29%	35.03	-7%

XI. Spring Mountain Youth Camp Statistics

This section includes statistics generated from the Spring Mountain Youth Camp and the Transition and Aftercare program. The Spring Mountain Youth Camp is a staff-secure facility, which houses male youth offenders, who have been adjudicated for serious or repeat delinquent acts by the Juvenile Court Judge. The Aftercare program is designed to transition the youth, who were placed at the camp, back into the community.

A. Spring Mountain Youth Camp


1. Utilization (2009 Placements - 236)

This section details the number of youth at the camp at the start of the year, placed during the year, released during the year, and still at the camp at the end of the year.

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Start of Year									
African American/Black	31	43	39%	40	-7%	40	0%	30	-25%
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	0	-100%	1	-	0	-	3	-
Hispanic	39	32	-18%	28	-13%	35	25%	25	-29%
Other	0	0	-	5	-	3	-	1	-67%
White	26	24	-8%	18	-25%	20	11%	26	30%
Total	99	99	0%	92	-7%	98	7%	85	-13%
New Placements									
African American/Black	90	93	3%	85	-9%	74	-13%	89	20%
Asian/Pacific Islander	5	3	-40%	3	0%	3	0%	4	33%
Hispanic	79	71	-10%	85	20%	86	1%	95	10%
Other	2	8	300%	7	-13%	4	-43%	8	100%
White	45	55	22%	67	22%	59	-12%	40	-32%
Total	221	230	4%	247	7%	226	-9%	236	4%

		2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Releases	African American/Black	78	96	23%	85	-11%	84	-1%	84	0%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	8	2	-75%	4	100%	0	-100%	3	-
	Hispanic	86	75	-13%	78	4%	96	23%	82	-15%
	Other	2	3	50%	9	200%	6	-33%	4	-33%
	White	47	61	30%	65	7%	53	-18%	48	-9%
	Total	221	237	7%	241	2%	239	-1%	221	-8%
End of Year	African American/Black	43	40	-7%	40	0%	30	-25%	35	17%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	0	1	-	0	-	3	-	4	33%
	Hispanic	32	28	-13%	35	25%	25	-29%	38	52%
	Other	0	5	-	3	-	1	-67%	5	400%
	White	24	18	-25%	20	11%	26	30%	18	-31%
	Total	99	92	-7%	98	7%	85	-13%	100	18%


2. Average Length of Stay (2009 ALOS – 157 Days)



a. Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
JAN	142.15	179.47	26%	135.15	-25%	125.94	-7%	168.17	34%
FEB	175.32	163.46	-7%	181.53	11%	157.62	-13%	172.61	10%
MAR	153.41	144.50	-6%	159.57	10%	148.23	-7%	164.62	11%
APR	164.05	150.14	-8%	144.64	-4%	141.65	-2%	144.19	2%
MAY	164.15	157.77	-4%	146.25	-7%	154.88	6%	139.11	-10%
JUNE	161.82	120.00	-26%	144.94	21%	160.55	11%	155.18	-3%
JULY	165.88	111.80	-33%	155.91	39%	152.27	-2%	128.50	-16%
AUG	162.17	164.45	1%	159.62	-3%	138.10	-13%	171.81	24%
SEP	160.67	152.80	-5%	154.72	1%	144.89	-6%	157.91	9%
OCT	158.30	180.62	14%	153.00	-15%	129.33	-15%	173.82	34%
NOV	149.63	155.44	4%	134.64	-13%	155.93	16%	171.97	10%
DEC	170.50	164.95	-3%	119.04	-28%	132.87	12%	139.69	5%
Total	160.72	154.38	-4%	148.86	-4%	146.28	-2%	156.89	7%

3. Average Daily Population (2009 ADP – 97)



a. Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
JAN	97.68	98.61	1%	98.71	0%	97.94	-1%	91.52	-7%
FEB	97.89	98.00	0%	98.26	0%	97.76	-1%	95.54	-2%
MAR	96.97	98.94	2%	96.94	-2%	98.23	1%	95.06	-3%
APR	98.27	97.73	-1%	98.20	0%	98.47	0%	95.20	-3%
MAY	97.81	96.61	-1%	97.81	1%	100.03	2%	98.81	-1%
JUNE	98.07	95.60	-3%	98.80	3%	98.50	0%	97.57	-1%
JULY	99.19	95.23	-4%	99.23	4%	96.45	-3%	100.19	4%
AUG	99.16	97.29	-2%	99.55	2%	99.13	0%	99.58	0%
SEP	100.07	96.20	-4%	92.73	-4%	92.90	0%	98.60	6%
OCT	98.87	98.19	-1%	97.87	0%	96.81	-1%	100.35	4%
NOV	97.57	97.03	-1%	98.37	1%	96.23	-2%	99.83	4%
DEC	97.45	97.52	0%	98.55	1%	84.19	-15%	96.06	14%
Total	98.25	97.25	-1%	97.92	1%	96.38	-2%	97.37	1%

B. Aftercare Statistics


This section details the utilization, average assignment length, and the average caseload of the “Transition and Aftercare” program. As this program was introduced in 2008, the statistics for that year will be skewed.

1. Utilization (2009 Assignments – 229)

	2008	2009	% Diff
Start of Year African American/Black	0	85	-
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	3	-
Hispanic	0	78	-
Other	0	4	-
White	0	51	-
Total	0	221	-

		2008	2009	% Diff
New Assignments	African American/Black	143	80	-44%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	5	4	-20%
	Hispanic	136	96	-29%
	Other	8	9	13%
	White	104	40	-62%
	Total	396	229	-42%
Assignments Ending	African American/Black	58	74	28%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	2	2	0%
	Hispanic	58	74	28%
	Other	4	4	0%
	White	53	43	-19%
	Total	175	197	13%
End of Year	African American/Black	85	91	7%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	3	5	67%
	Hispanic	78	100	28%
	Other	4	9	125%
	White	51	48	-6%
	Total	221	253	14%

2. Average Assignment Length (2009 Average – 292 Days)




a. Historical Statistics (2008-2009)

	2008	2009	% Diff
JAN	5.69	203.43	3474%
FEB	43.67	243.86	458%
MAR	73.60	217.18	195%
APR	94.38	243.90	158%
MAY	124.13	334.08	169%
JUNE	164.00	267.00	63%
JULY	147.36	322.23	119%

	2008	2009	% Diff
AUG	224.56	301.64	34%
SEP	218.65	346.30	58%
OCT	236.25	348.89	48%
NOV	261.00	323.25	24%
DEC	282.79	350.67	24%
Total	171.65	292.20	70%

3. Average Daily Caseload (2009 Average - 240)



a. Historical Statistics (2008-2009)

	2008	2009	% Diff
JAN	164.90	220.42	34%
FEB	184.62	227.75	23%
MAR	196.77	228.03	16%
APR	202.73	230.27	14%
MAY	205.48	234.90	14%
JUNE	205.33	240.03	17%
JULY	207.42	246.74	19%
AUG	218.71	251.74	15%
SEP	223.43	250.43	12%
OCT	222.42	245.97	11%
NOV	220.20	249.70	13%
DEC	213.87	250.87	17%
Total	205.52	239.81	17%


XII. Probation Statistics

This section details the statistics generated by the Probation division of the DJJS. The following areas will be illustrated: utilization, average length of stay, and the average daily caseload.

A. Utilization (2009 Assignments – 2,983)

		2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Start of Year	African American/Black	572	621	9%	690	11%	907	31%	857	572
	Asian/Pacific Islander	50	41	-18%	42	2%	52	24%	43	50
	Hispanic	587	629	7%	737	17%	898	22%	988	587
	Other	41	45	10%	58	29%	60	3%	75	41
	White	643	651	1%	762	17%	787	3%	747	643
	Total	1,893	1,987	5%	2,289	15%	2,704	18%	2,710	1,893
Probation Placements	African American/Black	750	804	7%	1,010	26%	948	-6%	847	750
	Asian/Pacific Islander	59	55	-7%	68	24%	65	-4%	62	59
	Hispanic	780	879	13%	1,057	20%	1,146	8%	1,174	780
	Other	50	70	40%	69	-1%	87	26%	86	50
	White	799	874	9%	894	2%	818	-9%	814	799
	Total	2,438	2,682	10%	3,098	16%	3,064	-1%	2,983	2,438
Probations Ending	African American/Black	585	607	4%	626	3%	783	25%	669	585
	Asian/Pacific Islander	62	50	-19%	53	6%	67	26%	42	62
	Hispanic	619	645	4%	770	19%	846	10%	839	619
	Other	37	48	30%	55	15%	50	-9%	62	37
	White	681	644	-5%	751	17%	710	-5%	649	681
	Total	1,984	1,994	1%	2,255	13%	2,456	9%	2,261	1,984
End of Year	African American/Black	621	690	11%	907	31%	881	-3%	873	621
	Asian/Pacific Islander	41	42	2%	52	24%	45	-13%	62	41
	Hispanic	629	737	17%	898	22%	1,009	12%	1,110	629
	Other	45	58	29%	60	3%	82	37%	81	45
	White	651	762	17%	787	3%	774	-2%	789	651
	Total	1,987	2,289	15%	2,704	18%	2,791	3%	2,915	1,987


B. Average Assignment Length (2009 Average – 390 Days)



1. Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
JAN	337.15	312.35	-7%	327.57	5%	344.07	5%	361.50	5%
FEB	373.50	370.27	-1%	304.31	-18%	379.25	25%	553.37	46%
MAR	320.59	344.44	7%	343.37	0%	340.16	-1%	385.26	13%
APR	356.11	514.35	44%	328.02	-36%	318.85	-3%	367.85	15%
MAY	373.56	367.70	-2%	289.52	-21%	312.70	8%	412.11	32%
JUNE	351.45	334.24	-5%	333.34	0%	496.21	49%	377.58	-24%
JULY	326.17	335.27	3%	384.85	15%	331.45	-14%	367.14	11%
AUG	348.77	339.19	-3%	499.16	47%	303.85	-39%	389.51	28%
SEP	371.34	481.71	30%	302.49	-37%	351.24	16%	384.15	9%
OCT	493.12	314.42	-36%	311.75	-1%	378.17	21%	373.14	-1%
NOV	373.56	348.99	-7%	298.37	-15%	353.03	18%	344.90	-2%
DEC	315.39	323.47	3%	323.40	0%	340.95	5%	372.10	9%
Total	363.11	367.34	1%	340.63	-7%	354.08	4%	390.29	10%

C. Average Probation Caseload (2009 Average – 2,851)



1. Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
JAN	1,913.45	2,009.42	5%	2,328.48	16%	2,741.29	18%	2,719.61	-1%
FEB	1,952.00	2,065.89	6%	2,379.11	15%	2,810.90	18%	2,738.71	-3%
MAR	1,998.03	2,139.52	7%	2,427.71	13%	2,884.81	19%	2,760.29	-4%
APR	2,050.50	2,158.07	5%	2,475.17	15%	2,898.10	17%	2,795.27	-4%
MAY	2,096.90	2,216.84	6%	2,526.68	14%	2,912.90	15%	2,848.55	-2%
JUNE	2,161.40	2,267.67	5%	2,591.07	14%	2,895.87	12%	2,883.37	0%
JULY	2,209.65	2,295.23	4%	2,627.35	14%	2,887.00	10%	2,911.06	1%
AUG	2,190.10	2,314.81	6%	2,624.71	13%	2,890.68	10%	2,926.58	1%
SEP	2,170.63	2,344.97	8%	2,626.67	12%	2,854.03	9%	2,919.00	2%
OCT	2,103.65	2,352.94	12%	2,669.81	13%	2,844.84	7%	2,904.87	2%
NOV	2,080.13	2,329.27	12%	2,709.47	16%	2,829.70	4%	2,899.30	2%
DEC	2,033.10	2,314.06	14%	2,721.61	18%	2,806.81	3%	2,901.97	3%
Total	2,080.62	2,234.49	7%	2,560.01	15%	2,854.82	12%	2,850.71	0%

XIII. Intensive Supervision

This section provides more detail into intensive probation services, which involve increased contact and programming for higher risk youth. In particular, this section will cover the Continuum of Care Program (CCP), Drug Court, and the Juvenile Sex Offense (JSO) units. The statistics in this section reflect both primary and secondary assignments.


A. CCP Statistics

The Continuum of Care Program (CCP) is a community based intensive supervision program for youth that would otherwise be committed to or placed in a juvenile institution. These programs, for adjudicated youth, include frequent probation officer contact and reporting requirements for daily programming.

1. Utilization (2009 Assignments - 366)

		2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Start of Year	African American/Black	120	172	43%	95	-45%	103	8%	31	-70%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	8	12	50%	1	-92%	4	300%	2	-50%
	Hispanic	99	134	35%	74	-45%	89	20%	26	-71%
	Other	6	7	17%	3	-57%	8	167%	5	-38%
	White	85	115	35%	55	-52%	56	2%	19	-66%
	Total	318	440	38%	228	-48%	260	14%	83	-68%
Assignments Starting	African American/Black	347	332	-4%	378	14%	214	-43%	116	-46%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	17	23	35%	14	-39%	14	0%	6	-57%
	Hispanic	331	294	-11%	332	13%	248	-25%	134	-46%
	Other	14	26	86%	27	4%	20	-26%	18	-10%
	White	282	253	-10%	237	-6%	163	-31%	92	-44%
	Total	991	928	-6%	988	6%	659	-33%	366	-44%
Assignments Ending	African American/Black	292	411	41%	374	-9%	286	-24%	103	-64%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	13	34	162%	11	-68%	16	45%	6	-63%
	Hispanic	298	356	19%	318	-11%	309	-3%	120	-61%
	Other	13	30	131%	22	-27%	23	5%	15	-35%
	White	252	309	23%	236	-24%	199	-16%	85	-57%
	Total	868	1,140	31%	961	-16%	833	-13%	329	-61%
End of Year	African American/Black	172	95	-45%	103	8%	31	-70%	43	39%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	12	1	-92%	4	300%	2	-50%	2	0%
	Hispanic	134	74	-45%	89	20%	26	-71%	38	46%
	Other	7	3	-57%	8	167%	5	-38%	7	40%
	White	115	55	-52%	56	2%	19	-66%	26	37%
	Total	440	228	-48%	260	14%	83	-68%	116	40%


2. Average Assignment Length (2009 Average – 96 Days)



a) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
JAN	69.81	110.69	37%	160.68	45%	65.90	-59%	37.91	-42%
FEB	64.81	143.04	55%	89.37	-38%	51.98	-42%	59.04	14%
MAR	65.53	141.05	54%	60.62	-57%	84.20	39%	44.38	-47%
APR	98.06	128.42	24%	41.31	-68%	63.49	54%	43.79	-31%
MAY	75.81	131.84	42%	63.57	-52%	56.00	-12%	65.89	18%
JUNE	90.95	141.81	36%	89.56	-37%	100.39	12%	124.94	24%
JULY	107.94	129.54	17%	78.65	-39%	137.46	75%	137.63	0%
AUG	124.59	179.50	31%	89.69	-50%	78.80	-12%	220.23	179%
SEP	124.94	177.40	30%	60.88	-66%	62.33	2%	146.57	135%
OCT	163.06	152.06	-7%	83.80	-45%	72.98	-13%	148.37	103%
NOV	111.30	126.32	12%	72.01	-43%	46.15	-36%	193.98	320%
DEC	154.32	213.09	28%	99.12	-53%	86.69	-13%	285.45	229%
Total	107.63	149.65	28%	84.51	-44%	81.44	-4%	96.19	18%

3. Average Caseload (2009 Average - 124)



a) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
JAN	339.41	456.05	26%	223.32	-51%	227.28	2%	88.97	-61%
FEB	360.83	475.09	24%	224.65	-53%	215.25	-4%	106.72	-50%
MAR	378.84	465.58	19%	224.65	-52%	207.26	-8%	132.37	-36%
APR	421.39	433.07	3%	254.97	-41%	231.57	-9%	133.87	-42%
MAY	463.60	410.98	-13%	263.68	-36%	226.72	-14%	125.58	-45%
JUNE	451.81	401.95	-12%	273.10	-32%	211.43	-23%	126.13	-40%
JULY	461.02	380.05	-21%	255.90	-33%	189.94	-26%	118.06	-38%
AUG	462.11	366.16	-26%	257.60	-30%	111.90	-57%	126.20	13%
SEP	470.55	328.61	-43%	280.70	-15%	103.57	-63%	130.81	26%
OCT	464.62	309.86	-50%	290.49	-6%	90.56	-69%	146.40	62%
NOV	462.69	273.33	-69%	282.36	3%	86.74	-69%	133.15	54%
DEC	451.47	244.52	-85%	268.56	10%	84.85	-68%	122.51	44%
Total	432.74	378.19	-14%	259.73	-31%	164.89	-37%	124.17	-25%


B. Drug Court Statistics

The Drug Court Program is geared toward rehabilitating those youth who were adjudicated on a drug-related offense. As part of the program, the assigned youth are required to submit to drug testing.

1. Utilization (2009 Assignments - 129)

		2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Start of Year	African American/Black	22	22	0%	7	-68%	14	100%	6	-57%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	5	4	-20%	1	-75%	3	200%	3	0%
	Hispanic	60	50	-17%	34	-32%	54	59%	72	33%
	Other	4	0	-100%	0	-	1	-	4	300%
	White	75	61	-19%	51	-16%	43	-16%	45	5%
	Total	166	137	-17%	93	-32%	115	24%	130	13%
New Assignment	African American/Black	18	10	-44%	20	100%	8	-60%	17	113%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	3	1	-67%	3	200%	2	-33%	2	0%
	Hispanic	59	38	-36%	66	74%	55	-17%	58	5%
	Other	0	0	-	2	-	6	200%	2	-67%
	White	61	53	-13%	60	13%	49	-18%	50	2%
	Total	141	102	-28%	151	48%	120	-21%	129	8%
Assignment Ending	African American/Black	18	25	39%	13	-48%	16	23%	10	-38%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	4	4	0%	1	-75%	2	100%	2	0%
	Hispanic	69	54	-22%	46	-15%	37	-20%	71	92%
	Other	4	0	-100%	1	-	3	200%	5	67%
	White	75	63	-16%	68	8%	47	-31%	37	-21%
	Total	170	146	-14%	129	-12%	105	-19%	125	19%
End of Year	African American/Black	22	7	-68%	14	100%	6	-57%	13	117%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	4	1	-75%	3	200%	3	0%	3	0%
	Hispanic	50	34	-32%	54	59%	72	33%	59	-18%
	Other	0	0	-	1	-	4	300%	1	-75%
	White	61	51	-16%	43	-16%	45	5%	58	29%
	Total	137	93	-32%	115	24%	130	13%	134	3%


2. Average Assignment Length (2009 Average – 315 Days)



a) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
JAN	391.72	212.58	-84%	167.98	-21%	70.20	-58%	314.41	348%
FEB	493.90	321.65	-54%	179.87	-44%	91.68	-49%	340.15	271%
MAR	273.86	496.69	45%	184.72	-63%	105.75	-43%	311.52	195%
APR	426.12	504.34	16%	171.16	-66%	137.28	-20%	204.66	49%
MAY	382.76	413.02	7%	166.88	-60%	156.75	-6%	342.47	118%
JUNE	303.91	413.71	27%	185.46	-55%	168.22	-9%	271.45	61%
JULY	595.70	566.71	-5%	264.26	-53%	241.64	-9%	373.53	55%
AUG	351.63	539.72	35%	248.40	-54%	150.28	-40%	394.52	163%
SEP	537.43	425.50	-26%	201.87	-53%	291.24	44%	338.20	16%
OCT	450.46	434.46	-4%	194.35	-55%	229.41	18%	198.18	-14%
NOV	377.91	555.60	32%	290.35	-48%	254.47	-12%	25.33	-90%
DEC	375.56	468.11	20%	253.12	-46%	287.51	14%	363.37	26%
Total	418.04	447.55	7%	214.14	-52%	184.91	-14%	315.31	71%

3. Average Caseload (2009 Average - 139)



a) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
JAN	168.56	138.85	-21%	93.79	-32%	116.61	24%	128.36	10%
FEB	172.66	133.71	-29%	97.18	-27%	122.41	26%	134.70	10%
MAR	173.37	126.70	-37%	96.88	-24%	130.26	34%	139.35	7%
APR	177.41	118.69	-49%	100.91	-15%	132.33	31%	141.12	7%
MAY	177.42	110.64	-60%	102.53	-7%	132.33	29%	144.96	10%
JUNE	167.37	106.24	-58%	108.19	2%	137.45	27%	146.67	7%
JULY	165.43	106.25	-56%	108.31	2%	141.39	31%	146.79	4%
AUG	161.00	110.53	-46%	104.29	-6%	142.00	36%	137.72	-3%
SEP	153.89	111.04	-39%	107.88	-3%	145.48	35%	134.74	-7%
OCT	144.34	106.13	-36%	114.08	7%	138.53	21%	134.17	-3%
NOV	141.22	106.07	-33%	108.19	2%	136.33	26%	138.66	2%
DEC	141.15	104.37	-35%	112.00	7%	132.88	19%	138.34	4%
Total	161.92	114.83	-41%	104.56	-9%	134.02	28%	138.82	4%


C. JSO Statistics

The Juvenile Sex Offense Unit is a probation supervision program that is set up specifically for youth that have been adjudicated on a sexual-related offense.

1. Utilization (2009 Assignments - 256)

		2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
Start of Year	African American/Black	84	98	17%	90	-8%	100	11%	88	-12%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	6	1	-83%	4	300%	7	75%	7	0%
	Hispanic	82	90	10%	95	6%	103	8%	113	10%
	Other	7	10	43%	16	60%	13	-19%	15	15%
	White	189	181	-4%	171	-6%	158	-8%	168	6%
	Total	368	380	3%	376	-1%	381	1%	391	3%
New Assignment	African American/Black	76	64	-16%	67	5%	75	12%	66	-12%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	1	4	300%	6	50%	6	0%	7	17%
	Hispanic	58	69	19%	80	16%	80	0%	91	14%
	Other	9	12	33%	8	-33%	10	25%	3	-70%
	White	114	115	1%	91	-21%	104	14%	89	-14%
	Total	258	264	2%	252	-5%	275	9%	256	-7%
Assignment Ending	African American/Black	62	72	16%	57	-21%	87	53%	84	-3%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	6	1	-83%	3	200%	6	100%	9	50%
	Hispanic	50	64	28%	72	13%	70	-3%	119	70%
	Other	6	6	0%	11	83%	8	-27%	10	25%
	White	122	125	2%	104	-17%	94	-10%	126	34%
	Total	246	268	9%	247	-8%	265	7%	348	31%
End of Year	African American/Black	98	90	-8%	100	11%	88	-12%	70	-20%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	1	4	300%	7	75%	7	0%	5	-29%
	Hispanic	90	95	6%	103	8%	113	10%	85	-25%
	Other	10	16	60%	13	-19%	15	15%	8	-47%
	White	181	171	-6%	158	-8%	168	6%	131	-22%
	Total	380	376	-1%	381	1%	391	3%	299	-24%


2. Average Assignment Length (2009 Average – 348 Days)



a) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
JAN	679.35	678.25	0%	304.67	-55%	201.11	-34%	217.57	8%
FEB	474.41	567.65	16%	432.17	-24%	329.66	-24%	400.33	21%
MAR	310.59	661.87	53%	138.09	-79%	227.40	65%	313.58	38%
APR	432.89	637.75	32%	227.14	-64%	322.04	42%	236.25	-27%
MAY	553.11	376.47	-47%	244.99	-35%	245.00	0%	320.99	31%
JUNE	494.14	437.08	-13%	253.24	-42%	356.63	41%	380.38	7%
JULY	535.45	422.58	-27%	284.41	-33%	260.51	-8%	371.18	42%
AUG	912.03	359.65	-154%	234.44	-35%	325.90	39%	375.83	15%
SEP	608.07	794.42	23%	303.65	-62%	329.29	8%	343.81	4%
OCT	652.60	389.15	-68%	286.30	-26%	419.15	46%	396.98	-5%
NOV	680.21	494.93	-37%	331.30	-33%	265.97	-20%	430.39	62%
DEC	587.38	669.26	12%	370.62	-45%	461.61	25%	494.25	7%
Total	562.00	526.58	-7%	280.09	-47%	315.63	13%	348.48	10%

3. Average Caseload (2009 Average - 374)



a) Historical Statistics (2005-2009)

	2005	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff
JAN	375.35	383.69	2%	376.47	-2%	380.85	1%	389.26	2%
FEB	377.96	389.87	3%	377.29	-3%	375.93	0%	394.70	5%
MAR	379.57	394.69	4%	380.89	-3%	387.13	2%	390.49	1%
APR	387.37	399.75	3%	385.03	-4%	386.50	0%	401.69	4%
MAY	387.22	406.07	5%	385.50	-5%	399.48	4%	405.02	1%
JUNE	387.30	401.35	4%	382.78	-5%	402.95	5%	409.84	2%
JULY	387.71	398.95	3%	381.01	-4%	405.24	6%	415.29	2%
AUG	389.23	406.55	4%	379.38	-7%	403.07	6%	409.32	2%
SEP	392.01	410.49	5%	380.59	-7%	400.96	5%	373.26	-7%
OCT	384.08	397.25	3%	372.39	-6%	399.48	7%	305.47	-24%
NOV	378.87	388.49	2%	385.08	-1%	391.79	2%	302.86	-23%
DEC	383.76	381.03	-1%	385.68	1%	395.44	3%	298.54	-25%
Total	384.23	396.46	3%	381.01	-4%	394.15	3%	374.25	-5%

XIV. Workload Summary

Referrals	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Referrals Received	24,193	22,756	26,711	25,862	25,273
Number of Youth Involved	15,829	15,036	17,070	16,424	15,911
Average Prior Referral per Youth	1.94	1.98	1.89	2.02	2.11
Detention	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Youth Brought to Booking	9,196	8,978	9,901	9,587	9,211
New Detention Placements	3,933	3,672	3,793	3,730	3,700
Referrals Prior to Detainment (AVG)	5.60	5.40	5.37	5.56	5.80
Average Daily Population	221	212	202	184	195
Average Length of Stay in Detention (Days)	20	22	19	18	19
Alternatives to Detention	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Home Management					
Youth Placed On Home Management	512	480	497	436	436
Average Daily Population	46	48	53	38	35
Average Length of Stay in Detention (Days)	32	36	39	34	28
Referrals Prior to Placement (AVG)	3.91	3.85	4.01	4.40	4.08
Electronic Monitoring					
Youth Placed On Electronic Monitoring	211	174	220	130	153
Average Daily Population	19	19	19	13	11
Average Length of Stay in Detention (Days)	30	38	32	38	25
Referrals Prior to Placement (AVG)	4.67	3.66	4.19	4.57	4.09
District Attorney	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Number of Petitions Filed	7,271	7,452	8,546	7,985	8,086
Direct File	37	47	45	52	51
Referrals Prior to Direct File (AVG)	9.43	8.47	7.71	7.65	10.02
Formal Probation	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Youth Placed On Field Probation	2,440	2,683	3,098	3,066	2,986
Referrals Prior to Field Probation (AVG)	3.62	3.22	3.26	3.48	3.76
Youth on Field Probation at End of Year	1,987	2,289	2,704	2,791	2,915

Intensive Services	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
CCP					
Youth Assigned to CCP	991	928	988	659	366
Referrals Prior to CCP (AVG)	9.09	8.74	7.42	7.96	7.73
Youth on CCP at End of Year	440	228	260	83	116
Drug Court					
Youth Assigned to Drug Court	141	102	151	120	129
Referrals Prior to Drug Court (AVG)	8.42	8.45	8.36	8.88	9.65
Youth on Drug Court at End of Year	137	93	115	130	134
JSO					
Youth Assigned to JSO	258	264	252	275	256
Referrals Prior to JSO Caseload (AVG)	2.88	2.74	2.87	3.56	3.76
Youth on JSO Caseload at End of Year	380	376	381	391	299
Spring Mountain Youth Camp	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Youth Placed at SMYC	221	230	247	226	236
Referrals Prior to SMYC Placement (AVG)	8.40	6.80	6.69	7.76	8.29
Youth at SMYC at End of Year	99	92	98	85	100
Youth Committed to DCFS	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Youth Committed to DCFS	384	428	368	304	373
Referrals Prior to Commitment DCFS (AVG)	10.30	9.63	9.46	9.71	9.64
Certifications	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Youth Certified as Adult	64	95	80	63	61
Referrals Prior to Certification (AVG)	8.09	7.00	7.33	5.83	8.13

**This report was produced in April 2009 by the
Applications, Research & Technology (ART) Unit
Department of Juvenile Justice Services
Clark County, Nevada**

For further information about this document, please contact:

**ART Unit
Clark County
Department of Juvenile Justice Services
601 N. Pecos Road
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101-2408
Phone: (702) 455 – 5210**