



***Clark County, Nevada***  
***Department of Juvenile Justice Services***

***STATISTICAL REPORT***  
***Calendar Year 2010***

**APPLICATIONS, RESEARCH, AND TECHNOLOGY UNIT**  
**FAMILYTRACS**

# Table of Contents

<b>I. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II. DEPARTMENT INFORMATION.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>A. How a case works its way through the DJJS .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>III. STATE OF NEVADA AND CLARK COUNTY STATISTICS .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>IV. YOUTH PROFILES .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>A. Demographic Information .....</b>	<b>5</b>
1. Youth Male Population by Age Group .....	5
2. Youth Female Population by Age Group .....	5
3. Youth Population by Gender .....	5
4. Youth Population by Race .....	6
5. CCSD Population by Race- All Grade Levels .....	6
6. CCSD Population by Race- Grades 2 <sup>nd</sup> – 12 <sup>th</sup> .....	6
<b>B. Background Information on Referred Youth Population .....</b>	<b>7</b>
1. Gender of Referred Youth .....	7
2. Ethnicity/Race of Referred Youth .....	7
3. Age of Referred Youth by Arrest Date .....	8
4. Household Composition of Referred Youth by Ethnicity/Race .....	8
5. Recorded Youth Gang Affiliation from Referred Population .....	11
6. Youth with Prior Referrals .....	12
7. Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals .....	15
8. Youth with a Felony or Gross Misdemeanor .....	18
9. Top Ten Zip Codes of Referred Youth Population .....	21
10. Percentage Summary of Referred Youth Population in 2010.....	22
<b>V. REFERRAL STATISTICS .....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>A. Total Number of Referrals Compared to the Number of Involved Youth.....</b>	<b>23</b>
1. Annual Percentage Difference .....	23
<b>B. Referral Types .....</b>	<b>23</b>
1. Referral Types .....	23
<b>C. Sources of Referrals .....</b>	<b>24</b>
1. Top Five Referral Sources by Percentage of Overall Referrals .....	24
<b>D. Time of Offense .....</b>	<b>25</b>
1. Referrals by Time of Offense .....	25
<b>E. Maximum Charge Categories .....</b>	<b>26</b>
1. Percentage of Overall Referrals by Charge Category .....	28
<b>F. Top Ten Most Common Charges (All Charges) .....</b>	<b>29</b>
1. Percentage of Overall Referrals.....	29
2. Top Ten Most Common Charges (All Charges) by Ethnicity/Race.....	29

<b>G. Top Ten Most Common Felony/GM Charges .....</b>	<b>35</b>
1. Percentage of Overall Felony/Gross Misdemeanor Referrals .....	35
2. Top Ten Most Common Charges Felony/GM Charges by Ethnicity/Race .....	35
<b>H. Prior Referral Statistics .....</b>	<b>41</b>
1. Number of Prior Referrals .....	41
2. Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals .....	44
<b>I. Writ of Attachment Statistics.....</b>	<b>48</b>
1. Issued Writ of Attachment Statistics .....	48
2. Served Writ of Attachment Statistics .....	49
3. Quashed Writ of Attachment Statistics .....	51
<b>VI. INTAKE STATISTICS.....</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>A. Diversion Statistics .....</b>	<b>52</b>
1. Diversion Types .....	53
2. Diversions by Ethnicity/Race .....	54
<b>B. Cases Referred to the District Attorney by Ethnicity .....</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>VII. DISTRICT ATTORNEY STATISTICS .....</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>A. Cases Filed by Ethnicity/Race .....</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>B. Most Serious Charge Category of Filed Referral .....</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>C. Youth Filed Directly with the Adult Court System by .....</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>VIII. COURT ACTIONS .....</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>A. Court Action Types by Referrals .....</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>B. Court Dispositions by Distinct Youth Count .....</b>	<b>59</b>
1. Formal Probation Disposition by Ethnicity/Race .....	59
2. SMYC Dispositions by Ethnicity/Race .....	59
3. DCFS Commitments by Ethnicity/Race .....	60
4. Certifications by Ethnicity/Race .....	60
<b>IX. BOOKING &amp; DETENTION STATISTICS.....</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>A. Detention Screening.....</b>	<b>61</b>
1. Overall Referral Screening .....	61
2. Detained RAI Result Categories.....	63
3. Detained RAI Result Category Detail .....	64
<b>B. Utilization .....</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>C. Detention Average Length of Stay .....</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>D. Detention Average Daily Population.....</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>X. ALTERNATIVES TO DETENTION.....</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>A. Electronic Monitoring.....</b>	<b>69</b>
1. Utilization .....	69
2. Average Length of Stay .....	70
3. Average Daily Population .....	70

<b>B. Home Management.....</b>	<b>71</b>
1. Utilization .....	71
2. Average Length of Stay .....	72
3. Average Daily Population .....	72
<b>XI. SPRING MOUNTAIN YOUTH CAMP STATISTICS.....</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>A. Spring Mountain Youth Camp .....</b>	<b>73</b>
1. Utilization .....	73
2. Average Length of Stay .....	74
3. Average Daily Population .....	75
<b>B. Aftercare Statistics.....</b>	<b>75</b>
1. Utilization .....	75
2. Average Assignment Length .....	76
3. Average Daily Caseload .....	77
<b>XII. PROBATION STATISTICS.....</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>A. Utilization.....</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>B. Average Assignment Length .....</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>C. Average Probation Caseload .....</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>XIII. INTENSIVE SUPERVISION.....</b>	<b>80</b>
<b>A. CCP Statistics .....</b>	<b>80</b>
1. Utilization .....	80
2. Average Assignment Length .....	81
3. Average Caseload .....	81
<b>B. Drug Court Statistics.....</b>	<b>82</b>
1. Utilization .....	82
2. Average Assignment Length .....	83
3. Average Caseload .....	83
<b>C. JSO Statistics.....</b>	<b>84</b>
1. Utilization .....	84
2. Average Assignment Length .....	85
3. Average Caseload .....	85
<b>XIV. WORKLOAD SUMMARY.....</b>	<b>86</b>

## **I. Introduction**

The issues surrounding juvenile delinquency are complex and multifaceted. In particular, these issues may involve: education, family structure, mental health, social economics, and support systems. As a means to bring about a more positive impact towards reducing juvenile delinquency, each of these areas should continue to be addressed through programs, policies, and community organizations. Based on this premise, the Department of Juvenile Justice Services (DJJS) actively seeks collaborative partnerships with various government entities, community organizations, and the general public.

By increasing the involvement of stakeholders in the juvenile justice system of care, the objective is to develop and implement solutions that address the root causes of juvenile delinquency. By addressing the source of the issue, the intended byproduct is preventing the offense from occurring in the first place. In time, these proactive measures would thereby reduce the number of youth referrals to the DJJS.

In order to successfully identify the source of the issues, information sharing is critical. To effectively create solutions, stakeholders must make evidence-based decisions. With the juvenile justice information system (FamilyTRACs), the DJJS commits to providing its stakeholders with the relevant statistics necessary to make informed policy or program decisions. The following annual statistical report is one example of the department's commitment to sharing information.

The annual statistical report begins by providing background information on the DJJS. Then the report illustrates how a case works its way through the department. Next, the report provides statistics related to the State of Nevada and Clark County. Finally, the remaining sections are devoted to a collection of reports that have been generated through the FamilyTRACS database.

The reports from the database were selected as a means to provide an overview to the juvenile justice system. The objective of this overview is to provide stakeholders with access to valuable information relating to the dynamics of juvenile delinquency in Clark County. Additionally, the summary may be useful in planning for additional services and improved processes throughout the system of care for our community's juvenile population. The reports are by no means all-inclusive. The report will continue to evolve, as there is a progressive demand for more information.

## **II. Department Information**

The DJJS is a unique and complex public service agency that performs more than the traditional juvenile court functions of probation and detention. In particular, DJJS provides intervention services, guidance and control for youth referred to the department for acts of delinquency.

To accomplish its mission, the department consists of the following divisions: Administration and Central Support, Applications, Research, and Technology (ART), Detention, Probation, Clinical Services, and the Spring Mountain Youth Camp (SMYC).

The Administration and Central Support division is responsible for administering the daily business operations of the department. Daily operations would include human resources, records, financial services, and responding to public inquiries. Along with daily operations, this division is responsible for the payment center, which receives payments for court ordered fines, fees, work permits, restitution and custodial reimbursements.

The ART unit is responsible for the development and support of the information technology needs of the department. In addition to maintaining and supporting the DJJS network, the ART Unit is responsible for capturing and generating data for statistical, performance, and management reporting.


The Detention center is a 192-bed facility located at the DJJS campus. The facility temporarily houses male and female youth with pending court hearings or correctional placements. In order to bridge the system of care, this facility provides medical and mental health services.

The Probation division provides intake and diversionary services to youth referred to the department for acts of delinquency. For adjudicated youth, the division provides supervision and treatment services. Services are provided on the DJJS campus, within the five neighborhood community centers, or within the community itself.

The Clinical Services division provides a full spectrum of assessment and treatment services to the youth involved with the DJJS and their families. These evaluation, counseling and other treatment services are offered in the institutions, in home and through office visits.

The SMYC is a staff-secure correctional facility, which houses male youth between the ages of twelve and eighteen who have been adjudicated for serious or repeat delinquent acts by the Juvenile Court Judge. SMYC is located at Angels Peak in the Mt. Charleston Recreational/Toiyabe National Forest Area.

**A. How a case works its way through the DJJS**



### **III. State of Nevada and Clark County Statistics**

- The entire state of Nevada covers an area of 110,567 square miles
- Clark County covers an area of 8,012 square miles (about 7% of the entire state)
- **2010 Population Statistics:**
  - The population of the entire state is estimated at 2,700,551
  - The population of Clark County is estimated at 1,951,269 (about 72% of the entire state)
  - The youth population (ages 8-17) of the entire state is estimated at 415,008
  - The youth population (ages 8-17) of Clark County is estimated at 310,649 (about 74% of the entire state)
  - The Clark County School District (CCSD) had an enrollment of 309,893 students
  - For 2<sup>nd</sup> grade through 12<sup>th</sup> grade, the CCSD had an enrollment of 258,650 students (about 83% of the estimated youth population of Clark County)

Sources: Nevada State Demographer, Clark County School District, 2010 census


### **IV. Youth Profiles**

The “Youth Profiles” segment provides demographic and background information on the clients we serve at the DJJS. This segment is divided into two sections. The first section details the demographic information of the Clark County youth population in 2010. This information has been collected from Nevada’s State Demographer’s Office and the Clark County School District (CCSD). The charts in this section address the demographic areas of age, gender, and ethnicity/race. The second section details demographic information that is specific to the referred youth population to the DJJS. This section’s information has been retrieved from the DJJS database.


Note: All percentages in this report are rounded to the nearest whole number.

**A. Demographic Information**


**1. Youth Male Population by Age Group (2010 Total – 159,571)**




**2. Youth Female Population by Age Group (2010 Total – 151,077)**




**3. Youth Population by Gender (2010 Total – 310,649)**




**4. Youth Population by Ethnicity/Race (2010 Total –310,649)**



**5. CCSD Population by Ethnicity/Race- All Grade Levels (2010 Total – 309,893)**




**6. CCSD Population by Ethnicity/Race- Grades 2<sup>nd</sup> – 12<sup>th</sup> (2010 Total – 258,650)**



**B. Background Information on Referred Youth Population**

This section provides a basic overview of some characteristics of the referred youth population. For 2010, the total number of referrals to the DJJS was 23,863. The distinct referred youth population was 15,228.


**1. Gender of Referred Youth (2010 Referred Youth – 15,228)**



**a) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Female	4,605	5,463	16%	5,186	-5%	5,234	1%	5,162	-1%
Male	10,431	11,604	10%	11,238	-3%	10,681	-5%	10,066	-6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>15,036</b>	<b>17,067</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>16,424</b>	<b>-4%</b>	<b>15,915</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>15,228</b>	<b>-5%</b>

**2. Ethnicity/Race of Referred Youth (2010 Referred Youth – 15,228)**




**a) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	3,793	4,144	9%	4,120	-1%	3,937	-4%	3,683	-6%
Asian/Pacific Islander	475	536	13%	474	-12%	503	6%	486	-3%
Hispanic	5,495	6,485	18%	6,319	-3%	6,351	1%	6,196	-2%
Other	270	381	41%	369	-3%	416	13%	429	3%
White	5,003	5,521	10%	5,142	-7%	4,708	-8%	4,434	-6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>15,036</b>	<b>17,067</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>16,424</b>	<b>-4%</b>	<b>15,915</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>15,228</b>	<b>-4%</b>

### 3. Age of Referred Youth by Referral Date (2010 Referrals- 23,863)

As the age of the youth may change with multiple referral dates, each referral is counted.



#### a) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
8	19	12	-37%	20	67%	26	30%	13	-50%
9	53	44	-17%	48	9%	43	-10%	50	16%
10	116	100	-14%	77	-23%	80	4%	87	9%
11	402	376	-6%	323	-14%	338	5%	300	-11%
12	914	1,038	14%	954	-8%	827	-13%	870	5%
13	2,022	2,011	-1%	2,092	4%	1,883	-10%	1,758	-7%
14	3,321	3,976	20%	3,475	-13%	3,267	-6%	3,064	-6%
15	4,791	5,526	15%	5,273	-5%	4,993	-5%	4,511	-10%
16	5,358	6,494	21%	6,472	0%	6,610	2%	6,057	-8%
17	5,304	6,544	23%	6,577	1%	6,580	0%	6,387	-3%
18+	456	590	29%	566	-4%	664	17%	766	15%
<b>Total</b>	<b>22,756</b>	<b>26,711</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>25,877</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>25,311</b>	<b>-2%</b>	<b>23,863</b>	<b>-6%</b>


### 4. Household Composition of Referred Youth by Ethnicity/Race

The following charts illustrate the household composition of the referred youth population. The first chart is a summary for all ethnicity/race groups and the subsequent charts provide more detail on each individual ethnicity/race.

The following categories are listed:

- Intact- represents youth residing with two biological parents
- Reconstituted- represents youth residing with one biological parent and one non-biological parent
- Single Father- represents youth residing with biological father
- Single Mother- represents youth residing with biological mother
- Other- represents youth residing with siblings, extended family members or out-of-home placements.


**a) Summary Household Composition (2010 Referred Youth – 15,228)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Intact	2,838	3,423	21%	3,485	2%	3,525	1%	3,241	-8%
Other	4,468	4,862	9%	4,012	-17%	3,825	-5%	3,958	3%
Reconstituted	1,459	1,535	5%	1,568	2%	1,533	-2%	1,271	-17%
Single Father	1,108	1,240	12%	1,246	0%	1,207	-3%	1,088	-10%
Single Mother	5,163	6,007	16%	6,113	2%	5,825	-5%	5,670	-3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>15,036</b>	<b>17,067</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>16,424</b>	<b>-4%</b>	<b>15,915</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>15,228</b>	<b>-4%</b>


**b) Household Composition for Referred African American/Black Youth (2010 Referred Youth – 3,683)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Intact	324	395	22%	395	0%	380	-4%	367	-3%
Other	1,179	1,270	8%	1,104	-13%	1,063	-4%	1,076	1%
Reconstituted	369	371	1%	405	9%	376	-7%	298	-21%
Single Father	174	201	16%	209	4%	205	-2%	178	-13%
Single Mother	1,747	1,907	9%	2,007	5%	1,913	-5%	1,764	-8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,793</b>	<b>4,144</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>4,120</b>	<b>-1%</b>	<b>3,937</b>	<b>-4%</b>	<b>3,683</b>	<b>-6%</b>


**c) Household Composition for Referred Asian/Pacific Islander Youth (2010 Referred Youth - 486)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Intact	111	139	25%	143	3%	137	-4%	109	-20%
Other	156	160	3%	123	-23%	137	11%	163	19%
Reconstituted	34	37	9%	31	-16%	37	19%	29	-22%
Single Father	45	43	-4%	41	-5%	44	7%	38	-14%
Single Mother	129	157	22%	136	-13%	148	9%	147	-1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>475</b>	<b>536</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>474</b>	<b>-12%</b>	<b>503</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>486</b>	<b>-3%</b>


**d) Household Composition for Referred Hispanic Youth (2010 Referred Youth- 6,196)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Intact	1,372	1,704	24%	1,788	5%	1,839	3%	1,759	-4%
Other	1,506	1,648	9%	1,271	-23%	1,313	3%	1,345	2%
Reconstituted	507	566	12%	589	4%	608	3%	491	-19%
Single Father	391	452	16%	451	0%	448	-1%	414	-8%
Single Mother	1,719	2,115	23%	2,220	5%	2,143	-3%	2,187	2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,495</b>	<b>6,485</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>6,319</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>6,351</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>6,196</b>	<b>-2%</b>

**e) Household Composition for Referred White Youth (2010 Referred Youth – 4,434)**




**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
<b>Intact</b>	992	1,127	14%	1,104	-2%	1,085	-2%	928	-14%
<b>Other</b>	1,558	1,676	8%	1,422	-15%	1,219	-14%	1,257	3%
<b>Reconstituted</b>	518	524	1%	510	-3%	478	-6%	417	-13%
<b>Single Father</b>	487	527	8%	525	0%	474	-10%	425	-10%
<b>Single Mother</b>	1,448	1,667	15%	1,581	-5%	1,452	-8%	1,407	-3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,003</b>	<b>5,521</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>5,142</b>	<b>-7%</b>	<b>4,708</b>	<b>-8%</b>	<b>4,434</b>	<b>-6%</b>

**5. Recorded Youth Gang Affiliation from Referred Population (2010 Total - 233)**

The following chart illustrates youth gang affiliations, as they are recorded within the DJJS database. Gang affiliations are self-reported. The statistics are retrieved based on the date of the referral. The statistics below are from 2010:




**a) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	132	124	-6%	143	15%	130	-9%	106	-18%
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	2	100%
Hispanic	121	98	-19%	110	12%	104	-5%	107	3%
Other	5	5	0%	5	0%	5	0%	9	80%
White	24	18	-25%	18	0%	14	-22%	9	-36%
<b>Total</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>246</b>	<b>-13%</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>-8%</b>	<b>233</b>	<b>-8%</b>

**6. Youth with Prior Referrals**

The following statistics illustrate whether or not a youth had a prior referral when they were referred to the DJJS in the year indicated. After providing an overview for the entire referred youth population, specific details will be provided for each ethnicity/race category. In 2010, approximately 54% of those referred to the department had a prior referral.


**a) Summary Prior Referral Statistics (2010 Total Youth – 15,228)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Youth with Prior Referrals	7,808	8,879	14%	8,706	-2%	8,527	-2%	8,206	-4%
Youth with No Prior Record	7,228	8,188	13%	7,718	-6%	7,388	-4%	7,022	-5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>15,036</b>	<b>17,067</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>16,424</b>	<b>-4%</b>	<b>15,915</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>15,228</b>	<b>-4%</b>


**b) Prior Referral Statistics for African American/Black Youth  
(2010 Referred Total – 3,683)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Youth with Prior Referrals	2,208	2,469	12%	2,467	0%	2,410	-2%	2,236	-7%
Youth with No Prior Record	1,585	1,675	6%	1,653	-1%	1,527	-8%	1,447	-5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,793</b>	<b>4,144</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>4,120</b>	<b>-1%</b>	<b>3,937</b>	<b>-4%</b>	<b>3,683</b>	<b>-6%</b>


**c) Prior Referral Statistics for Asian/Pacific Islander Youth  
(2010 Referred Total - 486)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Youth with Prior Referrals	184	230	25%	198	-14%	184	-7%	191	4%
Youth with No Prior Record	291	306	5%	276	-10%	319	16%	295	-8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>475</b>	<b>536</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>474</b>	<b>-12%</b>	<b>503</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>486</b>	<b>-3%</b>


**d) Prior Referral Statistics for Hispanic Youth (2010 Referred Total – 6,196)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Youth with Prior Referrals	2,763	3,278	19%	3,370	3%	3,409	1%	3,382	-1%
Youth with No Prior Record	2,732	3,207	17%	2,949	-8%	2,942	0%	2,814	-4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,495</b>	<b>6,485</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>6,319</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>6,351</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>6,196</b>	<b>-2%</b>


**e) Prior Referral Statistics for White Youth (2010 Referred Total – 4,434)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Youth with Prior Referrals	2,477	2,702	9%	2,468	-9%	2,313	-6%	2,189	-5%
Youth with No Prior Record	2,526	2,819	12%	2,674	-5%	2,395	-10%	2,245	-6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,003</b>	<b>5,521</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>5,142</b>	<b>-7%</b>	<b>4,708</b>	<b>-8%</b>	<b>4,434</b>	<b>-6%</b>


**f) Percentage Comparison of Youth with Prior Referrals by Ethnicity/Race**



**7. Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals**

The following statistics illustrate whether or not a youth had a prior adjudicated referral when they were referred to the DJJS in the year indicated. After providing an overview for the entire referred youth population, specific details will be provided for each ethnicity/race category. In 2010, approximately 23% of those referred to the department had a prior adjudicated referral.


**a) Summary Prior Adjudicated Referral Statistics (2010 Referred Youth – 15,228)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
<b>Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals</b>	3,077	3,492	13%	3,626	4%	3,571	-2%	3,471	-3%
<b>Youth with No Prior Adjudicated Referrals</b>	11,959	13,575	14%	12,798	-6%	12,344	-4%	11,757	-5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>15,036</b>	<b>17,067</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>16,424</b>	<b>-4%</b>	<b>15,915</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>15,228</b>	<b>-4%</b>


**b) Prior Adjudicated Referral Statistics for African American/Black Youth (2010 Referred Youth – 3,683)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals	1,026	1,183	15%	1,252	6%	1,177	-6%	1,087	-8%
Youth with No Prior Adjudicated Referrals	2,767	2,961	7%	2,868	-3%	2,760	-4%	2,596	-6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,793</b>	<b>4,144</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>4,120</b>	<b>-1%</b>	<b>3,937</b>	<b>-4%</b>	<b>3,683</b>	<b>-6%</b>


**c) Prior Adjudicated Referral Statistics for Asian/Pacific Islander Youth (2010 Referred Youth - 486)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals	46	58	26%	55	-5%	58	5%	52	-10%
Youth with No Prior Adjudicated Referrals	429	478	11%	419	-12%	445	6%	434	-2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>475</b>	<b>536</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>474</b>	<b>-12%</b>	<b>503</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>486</b>	<b>-3%</b>


**d) Prior Adjudicated Referral Statistics for Hispanic Youth  
(2010 Referred Youth Total – 6,196)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals	964	1,197	24%	1,329	11%	1,362	2%	1,341	-2%
Youth with No Prior Adjudicated Referrals	4,531	5,288	17%	4,990	-6%	4,989	0%	4,855	-3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,495</b>	<b>6,485</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>6,319</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>6,351</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>6,196</b>	<b>-2%</b>


**e) Prior Adjudicated Referral Statistics for White Youth  
(2010 Referred Youth – 4,434)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals	951	965	1%	884	-8%	873	-1%	874	0%
Youth with No Prior Adjudicated Referrals	4,052	4,556	12%	4,258	-7%	3,835	-10%	3,560	-7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,003</b>	<b>5,521</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>5,142</b>	<b>-7%</b>	<b>4,708</b>	<b>-8%</b>	<b>4,434</b>	<b>-6%</b>


**f) Percentage Comparison of Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals by Ethnicity/Race**



**8. Youth with a Felony or Gross Misdemeanor (F/GM) Referral**

The following statistics illustrate whether or not a youth had a felony or gross misdemeanor referral in the year indicated. After providing an overview for the entire referred youth population, specific details will be provided for each ethnicity/race category. In 2010, approximately 38% of those referred to the department had a felony or gross misdemeanor referral.


**a) Summary of Youth Charged with a F/GM (2010 Referred Youth – 15,228)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Youth with a F/GM Referral	7,315	7,835	7%	7,463	-5%	6,738	-11%	5,784	-16%
Youth without a F/GM Referral	7,721	9,232	16%	8,961	-3%	9,177	2%	9,444	3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>15,036</b>	<b>17,067</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>16,424</b>	<b>-4%</b>	<b>15,915</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>15,228</b>	<b>-5%</b>


**b) African American/Black Youth Charged with a F/GM (2010 Referred Youth –3,683)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Youth with a F/GM Referral	2,221	2,353	6%	2,247	-5%	2,026	-11%	1,688	-20%
Youth without a F/GM Referral	1,572	1,791	12%	1,873	4%	1,911	2%	1,995	4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,793</b>	<b>4,144</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>4,120</b>	<b>-1%</b>	<b>3,937</b>	<b>-5%</b>	<b>3,683</b>	<b>-7%</b>


**c) Asian/Pacific Islander Youth Charged with a F/GM (2010 Referred Youth - 486)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Youth with a F/GM Referral	171	205	17%	172	-19%	158	-9%	140	-13%
Youth without a F/GM Referral	304	331	8%	302	-10%	345	12%	346	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>475</b>	<b>536</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>474</b>	<b>-13%</b>	<b>503</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>486</b>	<b>-3%</b>


**c) Hispanic Youth Charged with a F/GM (2010 Referred Youth – 6,196)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Youth with a F/GM Referral	2,533	2,846	11%	2,810	-1%	2,594	-8%	2,216	-17%
Youth without a F/GM Referral	2,962	3,639	19%	3,509	-4%	3,757	7%	3,980	6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,495</b>	<b>6,485</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>6,319</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>6,351</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>6,196</b>	<b>-3%</b>


**e) White Youth Charged with a F/GM (2010 Referred Youth – 4,434)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Youth with a F/GM Referral	2,208	2,241	1%	2,023	-11%	1,770	-14%	1,550	-14%
Youth without a F/GM Referral	2,795	3,280	15%	3,119	-5%	2,938	-6%	2,884	-2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,003</b>	<b>5,521</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>5,142</b>	<b>-7%</b>	<b>4,708</b>	<b>-9%</b>	<b>4,434</b>	<b>-6%</b>


**f) Percentage Comparison of F/GM Referrals by Ethnicity/Race**




**9. Top Ten Zip Codes of Referred Youth Population**

The following top ten zip codes account for 43% of the referrals received in 2010.

**a) Referrals per Top Ten Zip Codes**



**b) Percentage of Overall Referrals by Top Ten Zip Codes**



**c) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
89110	1,568	1,729	10%	1,581	-9%	1,694	7%	1,512	-11%
89030	1,388	1,760	27%	1,905	8%	1,691	-11%	1,498	-11%
89115	1,313	1,547	18%	1,376	-11%	1,424	3%	1,212	-15%
89108	1,044	1,221	17%	1,210	-1%	1,131	-7%	1,060	-6%
89101	975	1,257	29%	1,177	-6%	1,177	0%	1,059	-10%
89121	872	1,048	20%	923	-12%	949	3%	929	-2%
89031	728	986	35%	959	-3%	903	-6%	864	-4%
89106	987	839	-15%	900	7%	851	-5%	742	-13%
89107	575	574	0%	590	3%	646	9%	676	5%
89032	561	756	35%	745	-1%	667	-10%	663	-1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>10,011</b>	<b>11,717</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>11,366</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>11,133</b>	<b>-2%</b>	<b>10,215</b>	<b>-8%</b>


**10. Percentage Summary of Referred Youth Population in 2010**

- **Gender:** Male- 66%, Female- 34%
- **Ethnicity/Race:** African American/Black- 24%, Asian/Pacific Islander- 3%, Hispanic- 41%, White- 29%
- **Age:** 15-17 Group- 71%
- **Household Composition:** Single mother- 37%, Intact- 21%
- **Self Reported Gang Affiliation:** 2% of Referred Youth Population
- **Prior Referral:** 54% of Referred Youth Population
- **Prior Adjudicated Referral:** 23% of Referred Youth Population
- **Youth with a F/GM Referral:** 38% of Referred Youth Population
- **Zip Codes:** 43% (89110, 89030, 89115, 89108, 89101, 89121, 89031, 89106, 89107, 89032)

**V. Referral Statistics**

A referral is an action that involves bringing a youth to the attention of the DJJS. This section outlines the total number of referrals compared to the number of involved youth, the types of referrals, sources of referrals, charge categories, most common charges, most common felony and gross misdemeanor charges, the number of prior referrals, and the number of prior adjudicated referrals.

**A. Total Number of Referrals Compared to the Number of Involved Youth**




**1. Annual Percentage Difference**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Referrals	22,756	26,711	17%	25,877	-3%	25,311	-2%	23,863	-6%
Youth	15,036	17,067	14%	16,424	-4%	15,915	-3%	15,228	-4%

**B. Referral Types**

Referrals to the Clark County DJJS are received in a variety of ways. A majority of youth comes to the attention of the DJJS through a citation, which involves law enforcement recording the charges of the youth and directing them to appear for an appointment at the Intake division of the DJJS. This process is similar to receiving a traffic ticket. Another major referral source is when the youth being presented to the staff of the DJJS. This “youth present” category would include law enforcement bookings, where a youth is physically taken to the DJJS to be booked and evaluated for detainment. Also, this category would include field bookings, where charges are added to a youth’s record without having the youth physically brought into booking. Other referrals are the result of a summons or a Writ of Attachment, which are court generated documents that require a youth to appear in court. The last type of referral falls under the ICOJ category. ICOJ stands for Interstate Compact on Juveniles, which is a formal process between states where a youth is placed under supervision in one state and supervised in another.

**1. Referral Types (2010 Referrals – 23,863)**




**a) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Citation	12,068	14,663	22%	14,093	-4%	13,940	-1%	13,465	-3%
ICOJ	176	191	9%	177	-7%	131	-26%	123	-6%
Summons	185	502	171%	570	14%	644	13%	586	-9%
Writ of Attachment	859	953	11%	988	4%	844	-15%	976	16%
Youth Present	9,468	10,402	10%	10,049	-3%	9,752	-3%	8,713	-11%
<b>Total</b>	<b>22,756</b>	<b>26,711</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>25,877</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>25,311</b>	<b>-2%</b>	<b>23,863</b>	<b>-6%</b>

**C. Sources of Referrals**

The following statistics illustrate the agency, law enforcement group, or entity that brought a referral to the attention of the DJJS.

**1. Top Five Referral Sources by Percentage of Overall Referrals**



**a) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**


	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
LVMPD	12,162	12,929	6%	12,640	-2%	12,120	-4%	10,729	-11%
CCSD Police	3,996	6,085	52%	5,489	-10%	5,804	6%	6,052	4%
DJJS	1,738	1,775	2%	1,845	4%	1,906	3%	2,122	11%
NLVPD	1,418	1,813	28%	2,018	11%	2,054	2%	1,809	-12%
HPD	1,420	1,564	10%	1,585	1%	1,389	-12%	1,439	4%
District Court	393	475	21%	477	0%	472	-1%	408	-14%
Other	343	370	8%	326	-12%	230	-29%	144	-37%
BCPD	295	385	31%	295	-23%	224	-24%	201	-10%
Clark County Park Police	170	352	107%	332	-6%	234	-30%	113	-52%
NHP	175	235	34%	224	-5%	253	13%	192	-24%
City Marshall's Unit	172	264	53%	222	-16%	207	-7%	170	-18%
Mesquite Police Dept.	159	170	7%	176	4%	196	11%	175	-11%
Nevada Youth Parole	148	138	-7%	128	-7%	107	-16%	187	75%
DCFS	72	51	-29%	52	2%	27	-48%	23	-15%
Nevada Division of Wildlife	13	7	-46%	19	171%	26	37%	18	-31%
Gaming Control Board	7	7	0%	2	-71%	18	800%	34	89%
Henderson Fire Dept	19	13	-32%	12	-8%	3	-75%	6	100%

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
UNLV Police	8	21	163%	6	-71%	10	67%	3	-70%
College of Southern NV Police Dept	0	29	-	5	-83%	3	-40%	7	133%
Las Vegas Fire & Rescue	18	5	-72%	2	-60%	7	250%	5	-29%
Tribal Police	9	2	-78%	8	300%	4	-50%	11	175%
Clark County Fire Dept	11	11	0%	5	-55%	1	-80%	2	100%
NLV Fire Dept	1	4	300%	2	-50%	2	0%	4	100%
National Park Service	2	3	50%	3	0%	1	-67%	2	100%
Union Pacific Police	4	0	-100%	0	-	4	-	2	-50%
Federal Marshall's Office	1	0	-100%	0	-	4	-	3	-25%
DFS	0	1	-	4	300%	0	-100%	0	-
BLM - Bureau of Land Management	1	1	0%	0	-100%	1	-	0	-100%
FBI	1	1	0%	0	-100%	0	-	1	-
P&P - Nevada Adult Parole & Probation	0	0	-	0	-	2	-	0	-100%
USPS - US Postal Service	0	0	-	0	-	2	-	0	-100%
CIA	0	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>22,756</b>	<b>26,711</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>25,877</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>25,311</b>	<b>-2%</b>	<b>23,863</b>	<b>-6%</b>

**D. Time of Offense**

The following statistics represent the time of the youth's alleged offense. The first section is a line graph that illustrates the number of referrals that corresponds to the offense time. Using the graph, the lowest point is around 6:00am and the highest point is about 10:00am. The second section is the historical statistics for the past five years.

**1. Referrals by Time of Offense**



**a. Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
12:00 AM	1,179	1,309	11%	1,266	-3%	1,168	-8%	1,025	-12%
1:00 AM	1,161	1,234	6%	1,263	2%	1,174	-7%	1,120	-5%
2:00 AM	871	1,066	22%	1,000	-6%	959	-4%	926	-3%
3:00 AM	656	708	8%	746	5%	684	-8%	606	-11%
4:00 AM	389	490	26%	456	-7%	450	-1%	435	-3%

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
5:00 AM	215	221	3%	271	23%	235	-13%	224	-5%
6:00 AM	175	227	30%	190	-16%	179	-6%	161	-10%
7:00 AM	404	444	10%	447	1%	468	5%	388	-17%
8:00 AM	801	1,162	45%	919	-21%	832	-9%	837	1%
9:00 AM	1,105	1,997	81%	1,690	-15%	1,685	0%	1,649	-2%
10:00 AM	1,373	1,773	29%	1,801	2%	2,032	13%	2,088	3%
11:00 AM	1,238	1,467	18%	1,615	10%	1,523	-6%	1,518	0%
12:00 PM	1,264	1,521	20%	1,380	-9%	1,487	8%	1,311	-12%
1:00 PM	1,409	1,600	14%	1,514	-5%	1,498	-1%	1,386	-7%
2:00 PM	1,310	1,439	10%	1,423	-1%	1,311	-8%	1,374	5%
3:00 PM	1,088	1,153	6%	1,205	5%	1,144	-5%	1,228	7%
4:00 PM	1,070	1,086	1%	1,166	7%	1,180	1%	1,221	3%
5:00 PM	1,005	1,154	15%	1,143	-1%	1,170	2%	1,090	-7%
6:00 PM	918	1,078	17%	1,002	-7%	1,033	3%	913	-12%
7:00 PM	862	914	6%	934	2%	973	4%	859	-12%
8:00 PM	880	974	11%	894	-8%	906	1%	905	0%
9:00 PM	963	1,026	7%	1,007	-2%	927	-8%	811	-13%
10:00 PM	1,063	1,096	3%	1,070	-2%	1,034	-3%	757	-27%
11:00 PM	1,181	1,381	17%	1,298	-6%	1,128	-13%	909	-19%
Unknown	176	191	9%	177	-7%	131	-26%	122	-7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>22,756</b>	<b>26,711</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>25,877</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>25,311</b>	<b>-2%</b>	<b>23,863</b>	<b>-6%</b>

### E. Maximum Charge Categories

Nevada Revised Statutes group charges for which Juveniles can be referred into eleven categories based upon their nature and type of victims. The following category types are used throughout this report:

- **Crimes Against Persons:** A crime against the body of another human being.
- **Crimes Against Property:** A crime against another's property. These offenses included such charges as larceny, receiving stolen goods and embezzlement.
- **Crimes Against Public Health and Safety:** A crime traditionally viewed as endangering the whole of society. In Nevada, these offenses include those related to alcohol, tobacco, and weapons.
- **Crimes Against the Public:** A crime that impairs the administration of justice. For example - bribery, perjury, falsifying evidence and obstruction.
- **Drugs and Controlled Substance Crimes:** An offense that violates any of the laws designed to control the distribution, classification, sale, and use of certain drugs.
- **Local Code Crime:** A violation of a statute that relates to or operates in a particular locality rather than the entire state.

- **Malicious Mischief Crimes:** Offenses that involve intentionally destroying or damaging another's property.
- **Miscellaneous Crimes:** Offenses defined by what the legislature chose to put in Chapter 207 of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS). Included here, as major categories, are habitual criminal laws, vagrancy, interference with emergency communications, deceptive advertising, and racketeering. Also, in this category is a group called "Miscellaneous" which includes coercion, trespass, false 911 call, annoying a minor, false crime report and the "hate" crime enhancement.
- **Sexual Crimes:** An offense involving unlawful sexual conduct. In Nevada, these are found in NRS Chapter 200 under Crimes Against the Person.
- **Status Offenses:** A type of offense of which a person is guilty by being in a certain condition or of a specific character. Specifically in the Nevada juvenile system, these generally refer to offenses that would not be an offense if committed by an adult. This includes runaway, truancy, unmanageable and curfew.
- **Traffic Crimes:** The violation of prescribed rules of conduct to promote the orderly and safe flow of people, animals, vehicles and vessels.

In addition, the DJJS uses an additional category of "Charge Types" known as "Administrative Actions" in order to record actions that, though they are not actual offenses, are related to them. These actions, recorded as charges, include the following major actions:

Writ of Attachments  
Courtesy Holds  
Drug Court  
Immigration Holds  
Material Witness  
Revocation of Release Agreement  
Judicial Order


These "Administrative Actions" are not offenses in themselves and therefore do not lead to additional court actions such as petitions or dispositions. They are understood in the jurisdiction to be recordings of events and not as criminal acts. They are usually tied to an actual charge already existing for the juvenile's previous record, or one for which the District Attorney has compiled enough evidence to refer the youth and bring them into custody. For example, a Bench Writ of Attachment is most often issued when a youth fails to appear on a charge at a scheduled hearing. A Bench Writ of Attachment Served is recorded when the youth is brought into Booking, where he or she may or may not be detained. In another example, "Drug Court" is entered as a reason for detaining a youth who is ordered to spend a set number of days in detention as part of a drug treatment program.

The exception to this rule is that there are three actual charge areas under this category, which include: "Other Felony," "Other Gross Misdemeanor," and "Other Misdemeanor."

These entries occur when a charge not listed specifically in Family TRACS is used. Use of these entries requires that the specific name and nature of the charge be recorded in a text box. These charges, then, are subject to petition and disposition, if referred to and accepted by the District Attorney.

The following charge category statistics only reflect the most serious charge at the time of referral.

**1. Percentage of Overall Referrals by Charge Category**




**a) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
<b>Administrative Actions</b>	2,048	2,293	12%	2,325	1%	2,265	-3%	2,086	-8%
<b>Crimes Against Persons</b>	3,073	3,299	7%	3,072	-7%	2,996	-2%	2,835	-5%
<b>Crimes Against Property</b>	4,034	4,546	13%	4,645	2%	4,574	-2%	4,148	-9%
<b>Crimes Against Public Health and Safety</b>	1,711	1,927	13%	1,778	-8%	1,730	-3%	1,609	-7%
<b>Crimes Against the Public</b>	2,097	2,254	7%	2,224	-1%	2,167	-3%	2,154	-1%
<b>Drug and Controlled Substances Crimes</b>	2,368	2,492	5%	2,464	-1%	2,649	8%	2,938	11%
<b>Local Code Crimes</b>	334	459	37%	422	-8%	380	-10%	347	-9%
<b>Malicious Mischief Crimes</b>	832	941	13%	1,129	20%	1,014	-10%	736	-27%
<b>Miscellaneous Crimes</b>	1,011	1,294	28%	1,243	-4%	1,270	2%	1,191	-6%
<b>Sexual Crimes</b>	232	209	-10%	204	-2%	169	-17%	156	-8%
<b>Status Offenses</b>	3,464	5,127	48%	4,682	-9%	4,514	-4%	4,405	-2%
<b>Traffic Crimes</b>	1,552	1,870	20%	1,689	-10%	1,583	-6%	1,258	-21%
<b>Total</b>	<b>22,756</b>	<b>26,711</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>25,877</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>25,311</b>	<b>-2%</b>	<b>23,863</b>	<b>-6%</b>

**F. Top Ten Most Common Charges (All Charges)**

The following charge statistics only reflect the most serious charge at the time of referral.

**1. Percentage of Overall Referrals**




**a) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Habitual Truancy	928	2,637	184%	2,205	-16%	2,412	9%	2,507	4%
Petty Larceny	1,384	1,441	4%	1,771	23%	2,079	17%	2,020	-3%
Battery	1,936	1,972	2%	1,929	-2%	1,901	-1%	1,821	-4%
Curfew - General	1,807	1,830	1%	1,979	8%	1,788	-10%	1,680	-6%
Possession of Marijuana	1,083	1,166	8%	1,216	4%	1,350	11%	1,338	-1%
Possession or Consumption of Alcohol	958	1,301	36%	1,204	-7%	1,273	6%	1,257	-1%
Violation of Probation	753	769	2%	822	7%	757	-8%	849	12%
Burglary	1,121	1,259	12%	1,195	-5%	981	-18%	829	-15%
Judicial Order	540	631	17%	718	14%	706	-2%	712	1%
Trespass	608	811	33%	753	-7%	793	5%	659	-17%

**2. Top Ten Most Common Charges (All Charges) by Ethnicity/Race**

For each charge, the first chart will illustrate 2010 statistics and the second chart will illustrate historical statistics for the maximum charges from 2006 to 2010.


**a) Habitual Truancy (2010 Referrals – 2,507)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	128	425	232%	346	-19%	422	22%	424	0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	13	51	292%	62	22%	48	-23%	59	23%
Hispanic	502	1,373	174%	1,139	-17%	1,305	15%	1,444	11%
Other	8	82	925%	68	-17%	92	35%	89	-3%
White	277	706	155%	590	-16%	545	-8%	491	-10%
<b>Total</b>	<b>928</b>	<b>2,637</b>	<b>184%</b>	<b>2,205</b>	<b>-16%</b>	<b>2,412</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>2,507</b>	<b>4%</b>


**b) Petty Larceny (2010 Referrals – 2,020)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	407	441	8%	633	44%	572	-10%	607	6%
Asian/Pacific Islander	67	66	-1%	69	5%	103	49%	109	6%
Hispanic	375	414	10%	543	31%	739	36%	699	-5%
Other	27	41	52%	31	-24%	50	61%	60	20%
White	508	479	-6%	495	3%	615	24%	545	-11%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,384</b>	<b>1,441</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>1,771</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>2,079</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>2,020</b>	<b>-3%</b>


**c) Battery (2010 Referrals – 1,821)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	595	571	-4%	535	-6%	533	0%	465	-13%
Asian/Pacific Islander	48	48	0%	59	23%	32	-46%	38	19%
Hispanic	528	581	10%	609	5%	606	0%	606	0%
Other	58	67	16%	62	-7%	71	15%	75	6%
White	707	705	0%	664	-6%	659	-1%	637	-3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,936</b>	<b>1,972</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>1,929</b>	<b>-2%</b>	<b>1,901</b>	<b>-1%</b>	<b>1,821</b>	<b>-4%</b>


**d) Curfew- General (2010 Referrals – 1,680)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	444	430	-3%	506	18%	473	-7%	454	-4%
Asian/Pacific Islander	59	61	3%	59	-3%	58	-2%	56	-3%
Hispanic	741	681	-8%	675	-1%	670	-1%	589	-12%
Other	28	27	-4%	30	11%	28	-7%	39	39%
White	535	631	18%	709	12%	559	-21%	542	-3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,807</b>	<b>1,830</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1,979</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>1,788</b>	<b>-10%</b>	<b>1,680</b>	<b>-6%</b>


**e) Possession of Marijuana (2010 Referrals – 1,338)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	254	243	-4%	269	11%	279	4%	285	2%
Asian/Pacific Islander	31	24	-23%	27	13%	41	52%	36	-12%
Hispanic	358	435	22%	427	-2%	552	29%	583	6%
Other	19	17	-11%	22	29%	27	23%	24	-11%
White	421	447	6%	471	5%	451	-4%	410	-9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,083</b>	<b>1,166</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>1,216</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>1,350</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>1,338</b>	<b>-1%</b>


**f) Possession or Consumption of Alcohol (2010 Referrals – 1,257)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	69	98	42%	130	33%	105	-19%	137	30%
Asian/Pacific Islander	29	49	69%	27	-45%	62	130%	48	-23%
Hispanic	350	474	35%	461	-3%	571	24%	557	-2%
Other	26	25	-4%	21	-16%	25	19%	24	-4%
White	484	655	35%	565	-14%	510	-10%	491	-4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>958</b>	<b>1,301</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>1,204</b>	<b>-7%</b>	<b>1,273</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>1,257</b>	<b>-1%</b>


**g) Violation of Probation (2010 Referrals - 849)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	243	298	23%	306	3%	246	-20%	257	4%
Asian/Pacific Islander	7	12	71%	8	-33%	10	25%	13	30%
Hispanic	220	231	5%	285	23%	284	0%	323	14%
Other	19	25	32%	29	16%	24	-17%	29	21%
White	264	203	-23%	194	-4%	193	-1%	227	18%
<b>Total</b>	<b>753</b>	<b>769</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>822</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>757</b>	<b>-8%</b>	<b>849</b>	<b>12%</b>


**h) Burglary (2010 Referrals - 829)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	362	402	11%	370	-8%	347	-6%	270	-22%
Asian/Pacific Islander	31	43	39%	29	-33%	26	-10%	19	-27%
Hispanic	368	441	20%	446	1%	338	-24%	299	-12%
Other	24	30	25%	40	33%	28	-30%	26	-7%
White	336	343	2%	310	-10%	242	-22%	215	-11%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,121</b>	<b>1,259</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>1,195</b>	<b>-5%</b>	<b>981</b>	<b>-18%</b>	<b>829</b>	<b>-15%</b>


**i) Judicial Order (2010 Referrals - 712)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	115	140	22%	156	11%	171	10%	173	1%
Asian/Pacific Islander	8	9	13%	10	11%	10	0%	10	0%
Hispanic	183	268	46%	339	26%	327	-4%	313	-4%
Other	21	23	10%	25	9%	18	-28%	19	6%
White	213	191	-10%	188	-2%	180	-4%	197	9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>540</b>	<b>631</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>718</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>706</b>	<b>-2%</b>	<b>712</b>	<b>1%</b>

**j) Trespass (2010 Referrals - 659)**




**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	222	275	24%	270	-2%	335	24%	272	-19%
Asian/Pacific Islander	7	13	86%	7	-46%	9	29%	6	-33%
Hispanic	165	237	44%	301	27%	282	-6%	232	-18%
Other	7	17	143%	24	41%	14	-42%	14	0%
White	207	269	30%	151	-44%	153	1%	135	-12%
<b>Total</b>	<b>608</b>	<b>811</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>753</b>	<b>-7%</b>	<b>793</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>659</b>	<b>-17%</b>

**G. Top Ten Most Common Felony/Gross Misdemeanor Charges**

The following charge statistics only reflect the most serious charge at the time of referral.

**1. Percentage of Overall Felony/Gross Misdemeanor Referrals**




**a) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Burglary	1,121	1,259	12%	1,195	-5%	981	-18%	829	-15%
Under the Influence or Use of a Controlled Substance	356	392	10%	330	-16%	362	10%	442	22%
Graffiti	96	145	51%	224	54%	319	42%	265	-17%
Robbery	172	232	35%	249	7%	218	-12%	217	0%
Grand Larceny	192	248	29%	207	-17%	196	-5%	178	-9%
Assault with a Deadly Weapon	224	231	3%	200	-13%	203	2%	177	-13%
Possession of Controlled Substance with Intent to Sell	140	149	6%	135	-9%	120	-11%	173	44%
Malicious Destruction of Property	277	284	3%	328	15%	285	-13%	157	-45%
Possession of Controlled Substance	137	117	-15%	131	12%	118	-10%	157	33%
Home Invasion	122	185	52%	189	2%	187	-1%	132	-29%

**2. Top Ten Most Common Charges Felony/Gross Misdemeanor Charges by Ethnicity/Race**

For each charge, the first chart will illustrate 2010 statistics and the second chart will illustrate historical statistics for the maximum felony/gross misdemeanor charges from 2006 to 2010.


**a) Burglary (2010 Referrals - 829)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	362	402	11%	370	-8%	347	-6%	270	-22%
Asian/Pacific Islander	31	43	39%	29	-33%	26	-10%	19	-27%
Hispanic	368	441	20%	446	1%	338	-24%	299	-12%
Other	24	30	25%	40	33%	28	-30%	26	-7%
White	336	343	2%	310	-10%	242	-22%	215	-11%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,121</b>	<b>1,259</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>1,195</b>	<b>-5%</b>	<b>981</b>	<b>-18%</b>	<b>829</b>	<b>-15%</b>


**b) Under the Influence of a Controlled Substance (2010 Referrals - 442)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	67	76	13%	63	-17%	94	49%	103	10%
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	6	100%	4	-33%	3	-25%	5	67%
Hispanic	126	156	24%	142	-9%	129	-9%	165	28%
Other	7	11	57%	12	9%	13	8%	17	31%
White	153	143	-7%	109	-24%	123	13%	152	24%
<b>Total</b>	<b>356</b>	<b>392</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>330</b>	<b>-16%</b>	<b>362</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>442</b>	<b>22%</b>


**d) Graffiti (2010 Referrals - 265)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	4	6	50%	12	100%	21	75%	12	-43%
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	3	0%	7	133%	9	29%	10	11%
Hispanic	67	107	60%	164	53%	236	44%	187	-21%
Other	3	3	0%	3	0%	6	100%	3	-50%
White	19	26	37%	38	46%	47	24%	53	13%
<b>Total</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>319</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>265</b>	<b>-17%</b>


**d) Robbery (2010 Referrals - 217)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	88	130	48%	141	8%	127	-10%	125	-2%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	1	-	0	-100%	4	-	2	-50%
Hispanic	47	52	11%	57	10%	51	-11%	47	-8%
Other	10	7	-30%	11	57%	9	-18%	5	-44%
White	27	42	56%	40	-5%	27	-33%	38	41%
<b>Total</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>-12%</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>0%</b>


**e) Grand Larceny (2010 Referrals - 178)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	41	72	76%	63	-13%	56	-11%	56	0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	5	9	80%	7	-22%	11	57%	7	-36%
Hispanic	63	77	22%	81	5%	61	-25%	67	10%
Other	2	7	250%	3	-57%	8	167%	6	-25%
White	81	83	2%	53	-36%	60	13%	42	-30%
<b>Total</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>-17%</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>-5%</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>-9%</b>


**f) Assault with a Deadly Weapon (2010 Referrals - 177)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	81	74	-9%	79	7%	74	-6%	52	-30%
Asian/Pacific Islander	7	3	-57%	3	0%	4	33%	4	0%
Hispanic	73	67	-8%	56	-16%	60	7%	62	3%
Other	2	7	250%	4	-43%	9	125%	7	-22%
White	61	80	31%	58	-28%	56	-3%	52	-7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>-13%</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>-13%</b>


**g) Possession of Controlled Substance with Intent to Sell (2010 Referrals - 173)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	67	67	0%	46	-31%	48	4%	49	2%
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	2	0%	3	50%	4	33%	8	100%
Hispanic	36	40	11%	53	33%	37	-30%	63	70%
Other	2	2	0%	4	100%	6	50%	3	-50%
White	33	38	15%	29	-24%	25	-14%	50	100%
<b>Total</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>-9%</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>-11%</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>44%</b>


**h) Malicious Destruction of Property (2010 Referrals - 157)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	46	40	-13%	56	40%	43	-23%	20	-53%
Asian/Pacific Islander	7	8	14%	9	13%	9	0%	6	-33%
Hispanic	140	147	5%	160	9%	163	2%	89	-45%
Other	5	2	-60%	15	650%	10	-33%	3	-70%
White	79	87	10%	88	1%	60	-32%	39	-35%
<b>Total</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>284</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>328</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>285</b>	<b>-13%</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>-45%</b>


**i) Possession of Controlled Substance (2010 Referrals - 157)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	20	17	-15%	19	12%	18	-5%	25	39%
Asian/Pacific Islander	6	4	-33%	9	125%	1	-89%	3	200%
Hispanic	45	48	7%	44	-8%	36	-18%	48	33%
Other	2	4	100%	6	50%	6	0%	3	-50%
White	64	44	-31%	53	20%	57	8%	78	37%
<b>Total</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>-15%</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>-10%</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>33%</b>

**j) Home Invasion (2010 Referrals - 132)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**


	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	69	88	28%	92	5%	79	-14%	71	-10%
Asian/Pacific Islander	5	3	-40%	3	0%	3	0%	0	-100%
Hispanic	12	41	242%	58	41%	55	-5%	40	-27%
Other	2	3	-	7	133%	8	14%	2	-75%
White	34	50	47%	29	-42%	42	45%	19	-55%
<b>Total</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>-1%</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>-29%</b>

**H. Prior Referral Statistics**

**1. Number of Prior Referrals**

The following statistics illustrate the number of prior referrals that a youth had when they were referred in 2010 to the DJJS. After providing an overview for all referrals, specific details will be provided for each ethnicity/race category.


**a) Summary of Prior Referrals (2010 Referrals – 23,863)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
1	3,961	4,728	19%	4,386	-7%	4,245	-3%	3,966	-7%
2	2,443	2,964	21%	2,823	-5%	2,663	-6%	2,486	-7%
3	1,667	2,068	24%	1,969	-5%	1,923	-2%	1,786	-7%
4	1,217	1,502	23%	1,482	-1%	1,501	1%	1,347	-10%
5	927	1,112	20%	1,164	5%	1,167	0%	1,046	-10%
6+	3,891	4,338	11%	4,754	10%	4,916	3%	4,816	-2%
None	8,650	9,999	16%	9,299	-7%	8,896	-4%	8,416	-5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>22,756</b>	<b>26,711</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>25,877</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>25,311</b>	<b>-2%</b>	<b>23,863</b>	<b>-6%</b>


**b) Prior Referral Statistics for African American/Black Youth (2010 Referrals – 6,208)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
1	1,009	1,154	14%	1,086	-6%	1,063	-2%	927	-13%
2	650	803	24%	775	-3%	704	-9%	661	-6%
3	517	592	15%	581	-2%	536	-8%	499	-7%
4	382	454	19%	451	-1%	441	-2%	399	-10%
5	308	344	12%	359	4%	364	1%	326	-10%
6+	1,358	1,579	16%	1,664	5%	1,690	2%	1,610	-5%
None	1,955	2,107	8%	2,047	-3%	1,880	-8%	1,786	-5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,179</b>	<b>7,033</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>6,963</b>	<b>-1%</b>	<b>6,678</b>	<b>-4%</b>	<b>6,208</b>	<b>-7%</b>


**c) Prior Referral Statistics for Asian/Pacific Islander Youth (2010 Referrals - 647)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
1	123	146	19%	124	-15%	105	-15%	117	11%
2	51	67	31%	65	-3%	61	-6%	58	-5%
3	34	34	0%	27	-21%	42	56%	40	-5%
4	20	22	10%	21	-5%	20	-5%	26	30%
5	8	21	163%	13	-38%	14	8%	20	43%
6+	22	46	109%	37	-20%	46	24%	48	4%
None	330	352	7%	318	-10%	349	10%	338	-3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>588</b>	<b>688</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>605</b>	<b>-12%</b>	<b>637</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>647</b>	<b>2%</b>


**d) Prior Referral Statistics for Hispanic Youth (2010 Referrals – 9,767)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
1	1,458	1,852	27%	1,779	-4%	1,719	-3%	1,696	-1%
2	916	1,167	27%	1,113	-5%	1,098	-1%	1,033	-6%
3	569	821	44%	793	-3%	781	-2%	730	-7%
4	422	571	35%	623	9%	625	0%	546	-13%
5	308	415	35%	474	14%	485	2%	425	-12%
6+	1,220	1,369	12%	1,768	29%	2,000	13%	1,949	-3%
None	3,276	3,940	20%	3,591	-9%	3,557	-1%	3,388	-5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>8,169</b>	<b>10,135</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>10,141</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>10,265</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>9,767</b>	<b>-5%</b>


**e) Prior Referral Statistics for White Youth (2010 Referrals – 669)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
1	88	89	1%	84	-6%	102	21%	76	-25%
2	58	67	16%	67	0%	63	-6%	58	-8%
3	49	51	4%	45	-12%	64	42%	46	-28%
4	38	36	-5%	34	-6%	44	29%	49	11%
5	27	40	48%	24	-40%	34	42%	36	6%
6+	108	131	21%	192	47%	134	-30%	159	19%
None	132	223	69%	201	-10%	242	20%	245	1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>500</b>	<b>637</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>647</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>683</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>669</b>	<b>-2%</b>


**f) Summary of the Number of Prior Referrals by Ethnicity and the Percentage of Overall Referrals in 2010**



**2. Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals**

The following statistics illustrate the number of prior adjudicated referrals that a youth had when they were referred in 2010 to the DJJS. Unlike the previous section, the prior referrals must be adjudicated. After providing an overview for all referrals, specific details will be provided for each ethnicity/race category.


**a) Summary of the Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals (2010 Referrals – 23,863)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
1	2,648	3,313	25%	3,193	-4%	3,197	0%	2,901	-9%
2	1,685	1,999	19%	2,167	8%	2,008	-7%	1,918	-4%
3	1,050	1,223	16%	1,309	7%	1,270	-3%	1,230	-3%
4	609	655	8%	757	16%	783	3%	730	-7%
5	389	428	10%	484	13%	541	12%	484	-11%
6+	576	596	3%	626	5%	719	15%	897	25%
None	15,799	18,497	17%	17,341	-6%	16,793	-3%	15,703	-6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>22,756</b>	<b>26,711</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>25,877</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>25,311</b>	<b>-2%</b>	<b>23,863</b>	<b>-6%</b>


**b) Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals for each Referral of African American/Black Youth (2010 Referrals – 6,208)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
1	846	1,092	29%	1,048	-4%	956	-9%	834	-13%
2	553	607	10%	702	16%	646	-8%	596	-8%
3	366	410	12%	425	4%	405	-5%	399	-1%
4	215	244	13%	253	4%	288	14%	219	-24%
5	143	180	26%	204	13%	193	-5%	156	-19%
6+	218	246	13%	261	6%	276	6%	342	24%
None	3,838	4,254	11%	4,070	-4%	3,914	-4%	3,662	-6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,179</b>	<b>7,033</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>6,963</b>	<b>-1%</b>	<b>6,678</b>	<b>-4%</b>	<b>6,208</b>	<b>-7%</b>


**c) Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals for each Referral of Asian/Pacific Islander Youth (2010 Referrals - 647)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
1	37	51	38%	45	-12%	57	27%	46	-19%
2	22	39	77%	24	-38%	23	-4%	33	43%
3	8	11	38%	9	-18%	22	144%	16	-27%
4	3	6	100%	9	50%	5	-44%	11	120%
5	2	7	250%	6	-14%	5	-17%	10	100%
6+	4	4	0%	2	-50%	7	250%	4	-43%
None	512	570	11%	510	-11%	518	2%	527	2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>588</b>	<b>688</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>605</b>	<b>-12%</b>	<b>637</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>647</b>	<b>2%</b>


**d) Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals for each Referral of Hispanic Youth (2010 Referrals – 9,767)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
1	845	1,240	47%	1,232	-1%	1,257	2%	1,119	-11%
2	536	736	37%	861	17%	809	-6%	776	-4%
3	325	403	24%	534	33%	531	-1%	469	-12%
4	207	206	0%	272	32%	303	11%	323	7%
5	126	99	-21%	168	70%	212	26%	185	-13%
6+	171	159	-7%	207	30%	263	27%	352	34%
None	5,959	7,292	22%	6,867	-6%	6,890	0%	6,543	-5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>8,169</b>	<b>10,135</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>10,141</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>10,265</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>9,767</b>	<b>-5%</b>


**d) Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals for each Referral of White Youth (2010 Referrals – 6,572)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
1	823	858	4%	786	-8%	835	6%	800	-4%
2	530	546	3%	518	-5%	477	-8%	445	-7%
3	317	357	13%	299	-16%	269	-10%	319	19%
4	166	178	7%	185	4%	157	-15%	150	-4%
5	114	129	13%	87	-33%	113	30%	109	-4%
6+	167	175	5%	133	-24%	156	17%	169	8%
None	5,203	5,975	15%	5,513	-8%	5,041	-9%	4,580	-9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>7,320</b>	<b>8,218</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>7,521</b>	<b>-8%</b>	<b>7,048</b>	<b>-6%</b>	<b>6,572</b>	<b>-7%</b>

**f) Summary of the Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals by Ethnicity and the Percentage of Overall Referrals in 2010**




**I. Writ of Attachment Statistics**

The following statistics are based on the date the Writ of Attachment was issued, served, or quashed. There are three types of Writ of Attachments: AW- Arrest Writ, BW- Bench Writ, TW- Traffic Writ.

**1. Issued Writ of Attachment Statistics**


**a) Issued Arrest Writ Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2010 Writs- 844)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
<b>African American/Black</b>	247	320	30%	336	5%	269	-20%	286	6%
<b>Asian/Pacific Islander</b>	12	12	0%	11	-8%	8	-27%	14	75%
<b>Hispanic</b>	219	221	1%	273	24%	249	-9%	280	12%
<b>Other</b>	25	32	28%	32	0%	30	-6%	43	43%
<b>White</b>	265	229	-14%	230	0%	176	-23%	221	26%
<b>Total</b>	<b>768</b>	<b>814</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>882</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>732</b>	<b>-17%</b>	<b>844</b>	<b>15%</b>


**b) Issued Bench Writ Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2010 Writs– 901)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
<b>African American/Black</b>	474	487	3%	437	-10%	446	2%	315	-29%
<b>Asian/Pacific Islander</b>	12	18	50%	10	-44%	28	180%	16	-43%
<b>Hispanic</b>	374	499	33%	477	-4%	538	13%	338	-37%
<b>Other</b>	30	50	67%	57	14%	72	26%	33	-54%
<b>White</b>	335	311	-7%	282	-9%	324	15%	199	-39%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,225</b>	<b>1,365</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>1,263</b>	<b>-7%</b>	<b>1,408</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>901</b>	<b>-36%</b>

**c) Issued Traffic Writ of Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2010 Writs - 53)**




**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	40	43	8%	35	-19%	3	-91%	15	400%
Asian/Pacific Islander	6	6	0%	0	-100%	0	-	1	-
Hispanic	102	152	49%	108	-29%	16	-85%	25	56%
Other	0	4	-	3	-25%	0	-100%	1	-
White	33	47	42%	24	-49%	2	-92%	11	450%
<b>Total</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>-33%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>-88%</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>152%</b>

**2. Served Writ of Attachment Statistics**


**a) Served Arrest Writ Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2010 Writs - 696)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	187	265	42%	284	7%	234	-18%	243	4%
Asian/Pacific Islander	11	9	-18%	6	-33%	10	67%	10	0%
Hispanic	179	167	-7%	221	32%	200	-10%	244	22%
Other	22	20	-9%	23	15%	23	0%	24	4%
White	200	202	1%	174	-14%	156	-10%	175	12%
<b>Total</b>	<b>599</b>	<b>663</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>708</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>623</b>	<b>-12%</b>	<b>696</b>	<b>12%</b>


**b) Served Bench Writ Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2010 Writs - 612)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	346	340	-2%	300	-12%	276	-8%	225	-18%
Asian/Pacific Islander	5	12	140%	8	-33%	13	63%	9	-31%
Hispanic	281	301	7%	303	1%	322	6%	229	-29%
Other	21	28	33%	28	0%	32	14%	21	-34%
White	197	240	22%	175	-27%	195	11%	128	-34%
<b>Total</b>	<b>850</b>	<b>921</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>814</b>	<b>-12%</b>	<b>838</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>612</b>	<b>-27%</b>

**c) Served Traffic Writ Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2010 Writs- 20)**




**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	28	19	-32%	24	26%	6	-75%	6	0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	4	1	-75%	0	-100%	1	-	0	-100%
Hispanic	47	44	-6%	46	5%	16	-65%	13	-19%
Other	0	2	-	1	-50%	0	-100%	1	-
White	15	7	-53%	11	57%	2	-82%	0	-100%
<b>Total</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>-22%</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>-70%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>-20%</b>

**3. Quashed Writ of Attachment Statistics**


**a) Quashed Arrest Writ Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2010 Writs – 137)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	31	39	26%	43	10%	27	-37%	42	56%
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	0	-100%	1	-	3	200%	4	33%
Hispanic	29	44	52%	28	-36%	35	25%	41	17%
Other	8	5	-38%	2	-60%	6	200%	7	17%
White	46	46	0%	43	-7%	34	-21%	43	26%
<b>Total</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>-13%</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>-10%</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>30%</b>


**b) Quashed Bench Writ Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2010 Writs - 694)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	81	75	-7%	95	27%	85	-11%	176	107%
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	3	50%	5	67%	8	60%	19	138%
Hispanic	79	92	16%	87	-5%	139	60%	267	92%
Other	3	4	33%	6	50%	15	150%	62	313%
White	98	65	-34%	83	28%	113	36%	170	50%
<b>Total</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>-9%</b>	<b>276</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>360</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>694</b>	<b>93%</b>

**c) Quashed Traffic Writ Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2010 Writs - 18)**



**1) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	35	19	-46%	5	-74%	2	-60%	5	150%
Asian/Pacific Islander	5	2	-60%	0	-100%	0	-	0	-
Hispanic	83	78	-6%	40	-49%	11	-73%	10	-9%
Other	1	1	0%	2	100%	0	-100%	0	-
White	40	29	-28%	8	-72%	4	-50%	3	-25%
<b>Total</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>-21%</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>-57%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>-69%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>6%</b>

**VI. Intake Statistics**

This section represents two main areas of statistics generated by the intake division, which includes the number of cases diverted and the number of cases referred to the district attorney’s office. The dates reflected represent the date they were diverted or referred to the district attorney.

**A. Diversion Statistics**


For the purpose of this report, the diversion category represents actions taken by the intake division to deter the referral from moving forward through the court system, which includes the following:

- Case Closed- After a review of the referral, a decision is made to prevent the case from moving forward to the court system.
- Community Service- As a consequence, the youth is tasked with completing a certain number of community service hours.
- Fines and Fees- Monetary payments imposed as part of a resolution for the referral.
- Informal Supervision- The assessment and disposition of a referral is handled informally, without a court petition, but involves ongoing supervision by the DJJS for a period of time.
- Penalties/Consequences- An action taken in resolution of a referral through which the youth is required to make amends for their behavior.

- Referred to other agency- After a review of the referral, it is decided that the youth could be better served through the services of a different agency.
- Service Programs- As a resolution for a referral, the youth is required to attend programs and services of a therapeutic nature.
- Trial by Peers- A jury of the youth’s peers decides on an informal resolution.
- Victim Offender Mediation- As a resolution, the youth is required to participate in a mediation with the victim of their offense.
- Warn and Release- In contrast to informal supervision, the youth is given a warning for their offense and they are released without further supervision by DJJS staff.

**1. Diversion Types (2010 Diversions – 12,945)**

Through the informal process, the categories below are assigned to a particular charge. As a referral may have more than one charge, one referral may generate multiple diversion categories. Therefore, the subcategories will not add up to the grand total.




**a. Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
<b>Case Closed</b>	4,595	5,385	17%	5,263	-2%	4,857	-8%	3,124	-36%
<b>Community Service</b>	985	1,016	3%	1,001	-1%	1,027	3%	896	-13%
<b>Fines and Fees</b>	845	1,104	31%	1,077	-2%	764	-29%	725	-5%
<b>Informal Supervision</b>	3,817	4,120	8%	4,490	9%	5,484	22%	6,252	14%
<b>Penalties/Consequences</b>	1,000	1,067	7%	1,166	9%	1,111	-5%	1,126	1%
<b>Referred to Other Agency</b>	168	179	7%	152	-15%	104	-32%	82	-21%
<b>Service Programs</b>	2,151	2,501	16%	2,972	19%	3,250	9%	2,974	-8%
<b>Trial By Peers</b>	84	142	69%	89	-37%	171	92%	199	16%
<b>VOM</b>	109	98	-10%	134	37%	172	28%	154	-10%
<b>Warn and Release</b>	2,350	2,371	1%	1,695	-29%	1,878	11%	2,002	7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>11,963</b>	<b>13,693</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>13,611</b>	<b>-1%</b>	<b>13,666</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>12,945</b>	<b>-5%</b>

**2. Diversions by Ethnicity/Race (2010 Diversions – 12,945)**

The statistics below are based on total referrals that were diverted in 2010.




**a. Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	2,683	2,883	7%	3,129	9%	3,141	0%	3,000	-4%
Asian/Pacific Islander	372	437	17%	403	-8%	429	6%	415	-3%
Hispanic	4,695	5,541	18%	5,470	-1%	5,778	6%	5,418	-6%
Other	192	259	35%	254	-2%	256	1%	314	23%
White	4,021	4,573	14%	4,355	-5%	4,063	-7%	3,798	-7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>11,963</b>	<b>13,693</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>13,611</b>	<b>-1%</b>	<b>13,666</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>12,945</b>	<b>-5%</b>

**B. Cases Referred to the District Attorney by Ethnicity (2010 Referrals – 8,962)**

These statistics reflect intake referrals to the district attorney’s office. The date represents the date of referral and not the offense date.




**1. Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	2,812	3,190	13%	2,772	-13%	2,761	0%	2,591	-6%
Asian/Pacific Islander	166	212	28%	192	-9%	193	1%	201	4%
Hispanic	2,841	3,585	26%	3,517	-2%	3,662	4%	3,629	-1%
Other	222	244	10%	272	11%	299	10%	280	-6%
White	2,646	2,673	1%	2,496	-7%	2,478	-1%	2,261	-9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>8,687</b>	<b>9,904</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>9,249</b>	<b>-7%</b>	<b>9,393</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>8,962</b>	<b>-5%</b>

## VII. District Attorney Statistics

This section illustrates statistics that are generated by the district attorney's office. In particular, the following statistics include: the number of cases filed, the most serious charge category of a filed referral, and the number of youth filed directly with the adult court system.

### A. Cases Filed by Ethnicity/Race (2010 Referrals Filed – 7,771)




#### 1. Historical Statistics (2006-2010)

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	2,433	2,771	14%	2,441	-12%	2,377	-3%	2,229	-6%
Asian/Pacific Islander	138	179	30%	158	-12%	167	6%	154	-8%
Hispanic	2,447	3,096	27%	3,063	-1%	3,167	3%	3,148	-1%
Other	183	223	22%	244	9%	272	11%	246	-10%
White	2,251	2,277	1%	2,077	-9%	2,103	1%	1,994	-5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>7,452</b>	<b>8,546</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>7,983</b>	<b>-7%</b>	<b>8,086</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>7,771</b>	<b>-4%</b>

### B. Most Serious Charge Category of Filed Referral (2006-2010) (See descriptions on page 26-28)

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Administrative Actions	0	1	-	1	0%	0	-100%	1	-
Crimes Against Persons	1,358	1,553	14%	1,352	-13%	1,332	-1%	1,239	-7%
Crimes Against Property	2,139	2,448	14%	2,307	-6%	1,951	-15%	1,596	-18%
Crimes Against Public Health and Safety	777	788	1%	722	-8%	662	-8%	571	-14%
Crimes Against the Public	648	727	12%	712	-2%	727	2%	779	7%
Drug and Controlled Substances Crimes	1,076	1,227	14%	1,049	-15%	1,182	13%	1,364	15%
Local Code Crimes	81	112	38%	89	-21%	104	17%	74	-29%
Malicious Mischief Crimes	436	582	33%	688	18%	693	1%	510	-26%
Miscellaneous Crimes	302	393	30%	370	-6%	395	7%	349	-12%
Sexual Crimes	150	121	-19%	121	0%	117	-3%	99	-15%
Status Offenses	366	388	6%	374	-4%	769	106%	1,053	37%
Traffic Crimes	119	206	73%	198	-4%	154	-22%	136	-12%
<b>Total</b>	<b>7,452</b>	<b>8,546</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>7,983</b>	<b>-7%</b>	<b>8,086</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>7,771</b>	<b>-4%</b>

**C. Youth Filed Directly with the Adult Court System by Ethnicity/Race (2010 Youth Filed - 30)**



**1. Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	26	20	-23%	25	25%	32	28%	16	-50%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0	-	1	-	1	0%	0	-100%
Hispanic	16	19	19%	21	11%	15	-29%	10	-33%
Other	2	0	-100%	0	-	2	-	0	-100%
White	3	6	100%	5	-17%	2	-60%	4	100%
<b>Total</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>-4%</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>-42%</b>

**VIII. Court Actions**

The following statistics represent decisions made through the formal court process. To reach this level, the District Attorney has filed the charges of the referral. With the charges filed in court, each case is placed under the court’s consideration. The statistics of this section are divided into the following: the different types of court actions, probation dispositions, SMYC dispositions, DCFS dispositions, and the number of youth certified.

**A. Court Action Types by Referrals**

Through the court process, as a result of a filed charge, a youth may receive the following types of formal court actions:

- Certification to Adult Status- As guided by Nevada Statutes, this is an action that sends a referral from the juvenile court system to be determined through the adult court system.
- Community Service- As a consequence, the youth is ordered to complete a certain number of community service hours.
- Counseling- As part of a court order, the youth is required to receive counseling services.

- Diversion- As a form of a graduated sanction, this action is taken in lieu of a more serious court action.
- Drug Court- As an option for drug related referrals, this action is taken to encourage and monitor the youth's ability to rehabilitate from drug use. Specifically, the youth will be required to take random drug testing.
- Fines and Fees- Monetary payments imposed as part of a resolution for the referral.
- Formal Parole- This action occurs for referred youth that have previously been committed to a State Correctional Facility. Upon release from the correctional facility, State Parole is to provide formal supervision of the youth.
- Formal Supervision- After consideration of the referral, the court decides to place the youth under formal supervision of the DJJS. This action would include the following: formal probation, electronic monitoring, and intensive supervision programs.
- Interstate Compact (ICOJ)- As a result of the court process, a formal agreement is made between states where a youth is placed under supervision in one state and supervised in another.
- Other – This option includes actions such as dismissing charges, no further action, or ordering the youth to follow conditions the Probation Department may deem appropriate. Finally, it includes any specific orders that are not covered in any of the other categories that the judge may impose as being uniquely suited to promoting the successful resolution of individual cases.
- Penalties/Consequences- An action taken in resolution of a referral through which the youth is required to make amends for their behavior.
- Commitment DCFS – As a result of the court process, an order is made to commit the youth to a State Correctional Facility.
- Placed OTHER- This action is used when the youth is ordered to live with relatives, in group homes, or alternative placements rather than DCFS or DJJS facilities.
- Placed SMYC- As a result of the court process, an order is made to place the youth into the Spring Mountain Youth Camp.
- Referred to DJJS- After a review of the referral, it is decided that the resolution can be determined by the DJJS. This would include referrals to probation or traffic.
- Referred to State Parole- After examining of the referral, it is decided that State Parole can determine the resolution. This would include youth that are currently under the formal supervision of State Parole.

- Residential Treatment- For rehabilitation purposes, the youth is ordered to receive residential treatment.
- Restitution- The youth is ordered to make payments to the victims of their offenses. The amount is to be determined by the Victim Assistance Program (VAP).
- Service Programs- As a resolution for a referral, the youth is required to attend programs and services of a therapeutic nature.
- Suspended Commitment- As a graduated sanction, the youth is given an opportunity to rehabilitate without being sent to a State Correctional Facility. However, should any other infractions occur, the youth will be committed to DCFS.
- Suspended Commitment/Formal Probation- In lieu of being committed to a State Correctional Facility, the youth is given formal probation. However, should any infractions occur under probation, the youth would be committed to DCFS.
- Suspended Placement SMYC- As a graduated sanction, the youth is given an opportunity to rehabilitate without being sent to the SMYC, should any other infractions occur, the youth would be placed at the SMYC.


As each individual charge on a referral may be tied to a specific court action, a single referral may generate statistics for multiple categories. As such, the categories below will not add up to the total number of referrals. Additionally, the dates represent the date of the action.

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
<b>Certified to Adult Status</b>	122	107	-12%	75	-30%	77	3%	106	38%
<b>Community Service</b>	2,531	3,142	24%	3,422	9%	3,246	-5%	3,147	-3%
<b>Commitment DCFS</b>	557	473	-15%	410	-13%	486	19%	390	-20%
<b>Counseling</b>	1,350	1,299	-4%	1,211	-7%	1,204	-1%	1,566	30%
<b>Diversions</b>	47	69	47%	79	14%	71	-10%	60	-15%
<b>Drug Court</b>	162	213	31%	189	-11%	183	-3%	289	58%
<b>Fines and Fees</b>	309	256	-17%	348	36%	483	39%	511	6%
<b>Formal Parole</b>	604	598	-1%	517	-14%	463	-10%	545	18%
<b>Formal Supervision</b>	3,113	3,475	12%	3,419	-2%	3,171	-7%	3,320	5%
<b>Interstate Compact (ICOJ)</b>	197	242	23%	222	-8%	219	-1%	216	-1%
<b>Other</b>	7,609	8,847	16%	8,526	-4%	8,141	-5%	7,553	-7%
<b>Penalties/Consequences</b>	2,865	3,187	11%	3,226	1%	3,481	8%	3,610	4%
<b>Placed Other</b>	491	583	19%	601	3%	602	0%	600	0%
<b>Placed SMYC</b>	312	321	3%	296	-8%	306	3%	322	5%
<b>Referred to DJJS</b>	58	83	43%	97	17%	62	-36%	29	-53%
<b>Referred to State Parole</b>	34	50	47%	30	-40%	21	-30%	15	-29%
<b>Residential Treatment</b>	43	44	2%	18	-59%	13	-28%	13	0%
<b>Restitution</b>	2,020	2,246	11%	2,209	-2%	2,093	-5%	1,319	-37%
<b>Services/Programs</b>	1,831	2,246	23%	2,079	-7%	1,999	-4%	2,005	0%
<b>Suspended Commitment</b>	278	285	3%	265	-7%	252	-5%	287	14%
<b>Suspended Commitment / Formal Probation</b>	557	895	61%	958	7%	972	1%	872	-10%
<b>Suspended Placement SMYC</b>	236	357	51%	335	-6%	287	-14%	339	18%
<b>Total</b>	<b>8,660</b>	<b>9,957</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>9,798</b>	<b>-2%</b>	<b>9,280</b>	<b>-5%</b>	<b>8,658</b>	<b>-7%</b>

**B. Court Dispositions by Distinct Youth Count**

This section details the distinct number of youth involved with each of the following court actions: formal probation, SMYC placement, DCFS commitments, and certifications.


**1. Formal Probation Disposition by Ethnicity/Race (2010 Dispositions – 2,953)**



**a. Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	801	1,004	25%	940	-6%	849	-10%	806	-5%
Asian/Pacific Islander	55	69	25%	65	-6%	62	-5%	73	18%
Hispanic	879	1,058	20%	1,152	9%	1,180	2%	1,161	-2%
Other	72	76	6%	91	20%	88	-3%	88	0%
White	877	892	2%	817	-8%	813	0%	825	1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,684</b>	<b>3,099</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>3,065</b>	<b>-1%</b>	<b>2,992</b>	<b>-2%</b>	<b>2,953</b>	<b>-1%</b>


**2. SMYC Dispositions by Ethnicity/Race (2010 Dispositions - 244)**



**a. Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	92	82	-11%	76	-7%	79	4%	94	19%
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	2	0%	4	100%	5	25%	4	-20%
Hispanic	72	84	17%	80	-5%	90	13%	84	-7%
Other	7	7	0%	4	-43%	8	100%	7	-13%
White	60	59	-2%	55	-7%	34	-38%	55	62%
<b>Total</b>	<b>233</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>-6%</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>-1%</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>13%</b>


**3. DCFS Commitments by Ethnicity/Race (2010 Commitments - 273)**



**a. Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	184	153	-17%	124	-19%	164	32%	101	-38%
Asian/Pacific Islander	7	2	-71%	2	0%	4	100%	5	25%
Hispanic	126	123	-2%	110	-11%	121	10%	112	-7%
Other	6	13	117%	9	-31%	10	11%	8	-20%
White	105	77	-27%	59	-23%	74	25%	47	-36%
<b>Total</b>	<b>428</b>	<b>368</b>	<b>-14%</b>	<b>304</b>	<b>-17%</b>	<b>373</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>273</b>	<b>-27%</b>

**4. Certifications by Ethnicity/Race (2010 Certifications - 88)**



**a. Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
African American/Black	52	45	-13%	23	-49%	27	17%	42	56%
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	0	-100%	2	-	0	-100%	2	-
Hispanic	30	25	-17%	23	-8%	25	9%	33	32%
Other	2	1	-50%	2	100%	2	0%	1	-50%
White	10	9	-10%	13	44%	7	-46%	10	43%
<b>Total</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>-16%</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>-21%</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>44%</b>

## IX. Booking & Detention Statistics


This section combines statistics that are generated by the booking and detention divisions. Specifically, the following sections are covered: detention screening, utilization, average daily population, and average length of stay.

### A. Detention Screening

The following statistics reflect the status of those referrals that have been screened by the booking division. As a procedure to booking a youth, the Risk Assessment Instrument (RAI) is utilized by the DJJS. The RAI is a guideline for determining whether or not a youth is placed into secure detention. To be more specific, the RAI utilizes a scoring system that weighs specified risk factors associated with public safety. The instrument was developed with the intent of finding an objective means to detaining a referred youth. The RAI was implemented into FamilyTRACS in 2005. The following is a description of the categories that are used:

- Detention Requirements Were Not Met- When a youth scores below 15 points and does not meet the mandatory detainment or special detainment circumstances and a discretionary override is not utilized.
- Discretionary Override- Occurs when a youth is detained because of a special circumstance that is not listed as a category in the RAI. To receive an override, approval must be granted by the detention manager and the assistant director of the DJJS.
- Met Scoring Requirements- Occurs when a youth has scored 15 points or more on the RAI.
- Met Requirements of Mandatory Detainment- Occurs when a youth has scored below 15 points on the RAI but meets the standards listed in the mandatory detainment category. Some examples listed in the mandatory detainment category include: judicial orders, previous escapee, and firearm use.
- Met Requirements of a Special Detainment Circumstance- Occurs when a youth has scored below 15 points on the RAI but meets the standards listed in the special detainment circumstance category. Some examples listed in the special detainment category include: Writ of Attachments, institutional holds, and when the youth is from another jurisdiction,

#### 1. Overall Referral Screening (2010 Referrals – 8,154)




a. Historical Data with Ethnicity/Race (2006-2010)

		2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Detainment Requirements Were Not Met	African American/Black	1,342	1,593	19%	1,540	-3%	1,439	-7%	1,193	-17%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	106	138	30%	126	-9%	94	-25%	90	-4%
	Hispanic	1,658	2,110	27%	2,130	1%	2,132	0%	1,719	-19%
	Other	127	131	3%	172	31%	160	-7%	145	-9%
	White	1,584	1,578	0%	1,389	-12%	1,328	-4%	1,178	-11%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,817</b>	<b>5,550</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>5,357</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>5,153</b>	<b>-4%</b>	<b>4,325</b>	<b>-16%</b>
Discretionary Override	African American/Black	85	38	-55%	54	42%	49	-9%	47	-4%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	1	1	0%	1	0%	4	300%	1	-75%
	Hispanic	51	24	-53%	26	8%	47	81%	51	9%
	Other	9	2	-78%	5	150%	2	-60%	10	400%
	White	66	37	-44%	23	-38%	33	43%	62	88%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>-52%</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>27%</b>
Met RAI Scoring Requirements	African American/Black	580	648	12%	580	-10%	584	1%	483	-17%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	32	20	-38%	21	5%	36	71%	27	-25%
	Hispanic	453	406	-10%	479	18%	421	-12%	368	-13%
	Other	35	39	11%	43	10%	36	-16%	43	19%
	White	346	321	-7%	355	11%	272	-23%	284	4%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,446</b>	<b>1,434</b>	<b>-1%</b>	<b>1,478</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>1,349</b>	<b>-9%</b>	<b>1,205</b>	<b>-11%</b>
Met Requirements of Mandatory Detainment	African American/Black	277	340	23%	296	-13%	316	7%	319	1%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	17	15	-12%	12	-20%	18	50%	20	11%
	Hispanic	344	414	20%	450	9%	471	5%	476	1%
	Other	38	39	3%	36	-8%	31	-14%	35	13%
	White	357	349	-2%	276	-21%	288	4%	327	14%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,033</b>	<b>1,157</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>1,070</b>	<b>-8%</b>	<b>1,124</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>1,177</b>	<b>5%</b>
Met Requirements of Special Detainment Circumstances	African American/Black	524	609	16%	561	-8%	470	-16%	424	-10%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	18	17	-6%	14	-18%	16	14%	19	19%
	Hispanic	467	533	14%	557	5%	505	-9%	470	-7%
	Other	32	45	41%	46	2%	49	7%	52	6%
	White	391	420	7%	363	-14%	378	4%	293	-22%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,432</b>	<b>1,624</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>1,541</b>	<b>-5%</b>	<b>1,418</b>	<b>-8%</b>	<b>1,258</b>	<b>-11%</b>
Other	African American/Black	9	12	33%	9	-25%	5	-44%	7	40%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
	Hispanic	19	13	-32%	15	15%	16	7%	6	-63%
	Other	0	0	-	1	-	1	0%	1	0%
	White	10	9	-10%	7	-22%	9	29%	4	-56%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>-11%</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>-6%</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>-42%</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>		<b>8,978</b>	<b>9,901</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>9,587</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>9,210</b>	<b>-4%</b>	<b>8,154</b>	<b>-11%</b>

**2. Detained RAI Result Categories (2010 RAI Total – 3,602)**

The following statistics represent only those referrals that resulted in a detention.



**a. Historical Statistics with Ethnicity/Race (2006-2010)**

		2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
<b>Discretionary Override</b>	African American/Black	85	38	-55%	54	42%	48	-11%	47	-2%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	1	1	0%	1	0%	4	300%	1	-75%
	Hispanic	51	24	-53%	26	8%	46	77%	51	11%
	Other	9	2	-78%	5	150%	2	-60%	10	400%
	White	66	37	-44%	22	-41%	32	45%	62	94%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>-52%</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>30%</b>
<b>Met RAI Scoring Requirements</b>	African American/Black	553	624	13%	564	-10%	573	2%	474	-17%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	29	18	-38%	19	6%	35	84%	26	-26%
	Hispanic	434	389	-10%	462	19%	415	-10%	365	-12%
	Other	33	37	12%	41	11%	35	-15%	42	20%
	White	327	303	-7%	347	15%	266	-23%	278	5%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,376</b>	<b>1,371</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1,433</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>1,324</b>	<b>-8%</b>	<b>1,185</b>	<b>-10%</b>
<b>Met Requirements of Mandatory Detainment</b>	African American/Black	251	311	24%	278	-11%	301	8%	298	-1%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	15	14	-7%	11	-21%	17	55%	19	12%
	Hispanic	324	382	18%	426	12%	461	8%	467	1%
	Other	37	34	-8%	36	6%	29	-19%	34	17%
	White	332	323	-3%	263	-19%	279	6%	313	12%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>959</b>	<b>1,064</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>1,014</b>	<b>-5%</b>	<b>1,087</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>1,131</b>	<b>4%</b>
<b>Met Requirements of Special Detainment Circumstances</b>	African American/Black	400	501	25%	445	-11%	399	-10%	381	-5%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	13	10	-23%	8	-20%	11	38%	17	55%
	Hispanic	332	365	10%	394	8%	372	-6%	407	9%
	Other	31	36	16%	33	-8%	43	30%	46	7%
	White	335	325	-3%	276	-15%	298	8%	249	-16%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,111</b>	<b>1,237</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>1,156</b>	<b>-7%</b>	<b>1,123</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>1,100</b>	<b>-2%</b>
<b>Other</b>	African American/Black	6	3	-50%	7	133%	15	114%	7	-53%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-
	Hispanic	4	10	150%	6	-40%	11	83%	4	-64%
	Other	0	1	-	1	0%	1	0%	0	-100%
	White	4	5	25%	5	0%	7	40%	3	-57%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>-56%</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>3,672</b>	<b>3,793</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3,730</b>	<b>-2%</b>	<b>3,700</b>	<b>-1%</b>	<b>3,602</b>	<b>-3%</b>	

### 3. Detained RAI Result Category Detail (without overrides) (2006-2010)

The statistics of this section represents only those referrals that resulted in a detention. The following is a description of the categories used:

- Court Commitment DCFS- When a detention occurs for those youth who are committed to a State Correctional Facility.
- Court Placement SMYC- When a detention occurs for those youth who are being placed at Spring Mountain Youth Camp.
- Courtesy Hold- Occurs when another jurisdiction or public agency requests that a youth be detained.
- Escapee- When a detention occurs because the youth has previously escaped from the DJJS facility.
- Felony/GM Writ of Attachment- When a detention occurs because a felony or gross misdemeanor Writ of Attachment has been issued for the youth to appear in court.
- Fugitive Hold- When a youth is detained because they have been categorized as a fugitive in another jurisdiction.
- Hospitalization of Victim- A detention that occurs when the victim of the offender is hospitalized.
- Immigration Hold - Occurs when federal authorities request that a youth be detained for immigration proceedings.
- JO- When a detention occurs due to a judicial order.
- JSO Runaway/No placement- When a detention occurs because of the difficulty in finding a placement for a juvenile sexual offender or a runaway.
- Material Witness Order- When a detention occurs at the request of another jurisdiction or public agency for the purposes of ensuring that the youth provides testimony in an upcoming court proceeding.
- Misdemeanor Writ of Attachment- When a detention occurs because misdemeanor Writ of Attachment has been issued for the youth to appear in court. The following initials are used: "A" – Arrest, "B" – Bench, and "T" – Traffic.
- Offense Committed in Det Alt – When a detention occurs because the new offense was committed while the youth was in a detention alternative.


- Offense Committed on Post-Adj CCP – When a detainment occurs because the new offense was committed while the youth was under a post adjudication continuum of care program (CCP). CCP is an intensive supervision program that is administered by the DJJS probation officers.
- Offense Committed on SMYC Aftercare – When a detainment occurs because the new offense was committed while the youth was under the Aftercare program.
- OJ with Charge- This occurs when a youth is charged with an offense and the youth is residing from another jurisdiction.
- Recommended Commitment- When a probation officer is recommending commitment, the youth is detained in order to prevent the youth from being a flight risk.
- Scored 15 Points of More- At 15 points, a youth becomes eligible to be detained.
- SMYC – Institutional Hold – This detainment occurs when a youth from SMYC is being held at Detention. This may occur if a youth was on weekend leave and committed a minor offense.
- SMYC- New Offense – This detainment occurs if the youth commits a new offense during their placement.
- Use/Possession of Firearm- A youth may be detained if they have been charged with the use or possession of a firearm.

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
<b>Court Commitment DCFS</b>	4	7	75%	1	-86%	2	100%	0	-100%
<b>Court Commitment SMYC</b>	6	6	0%	8	33%	2	-75%	1	-50%
<b>Courtesy Hold</b>	88	101	15%	64	-37%	88	38%	57	-35%
<b>Escapee</b>	2	4	100%	3	-25%	7	133%	4	-43%
<b>Federal Hold</b>	0	0	-	0	-	1	-	1	0%
<b>Felony/GM Arrest Warrant</b>	72	79	10%	44	-44%	84	91%	91	8%
<b>Felony/GM Bench Warrant</b>	231	235	2%	188	-20%	195	4%	163	-16%
<b>Felony/GM Traffic Warrant</b>	2	0	-100%	1	-	0	-100%	0	-
<b>Fugitive Hold</b>	35	39	11%	17	-56%	29	71%	24	-17%
<b>Hospitalization of Victim</b>	0	0	-	0	-	0	-	2	-
<b>Immigration Hold</b>	0	2	-	0	-100%	0	-	0	-
<b>JO- Drug Court</b>	96	94	-2%	90	-4%	75	-17%	87	16%
<b>JO- Municipal Court</b>	1	0	-100%	0	-	0	-	0	-
<b>JO- Outlying Areas</b>	17	13	-24%	18	38%	11	-39%	1	-91%

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
JSO Runaway/No Placement	38	35	-8%	38	9%	38	0%	30	-21%
Judicial Order	435	548	26%	620	13%	659	6%	733	11%
Material Witness Order	3	5	67%	6	20%	3	-50%	3	0%
Misdemeanor A/W	351	400	14%	442	11%	377	-15%	416	10%
Misdemeanor B/W	264	292	11%	280	-4%	270	-4%	264	-2%
Misdemeanor T/W	12	6	-50%	3	-50%	1	-67%	1	0%
Offense Committed in Det Alt	50	59	18%	50	-15%	57	14%	49	-14%
Offense Committed on Post-Adj CCP	95	113	19%	68	-40%	106	56%	105	-1%
Offense Committed on SMYC Aftercare	0	0	-	12	-	49	308%	73	49%
OJ with Charge	196	212	8%	191	-10%	122	-36%	93	-24%
Other	14	19	36%	19	0%	34	79%	15	-56%
Recommended Commitment	2	2	0%	0	-100%	0	-	0	-
Scored 15 Points or More	1,376	1,371	0%	1,433	5%	1,324	-8%	1,185	-10%
SMYC - Institutional Hold	2	0	-100%	1	-	1	0%	0	-100%
SMYC - New Offense Use/Possession of Firearm	15	19	27%	7	-63%	14	100%	12	-14%
	53	30	-43%	18	-40%	19	6%	21	11%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,460</b>	<b>3,691</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>3,622</b>	<b>-2%</b>	<b>3,568</b>	<b>-1%</b>	<b>3,431</b>	<b>-4%</b>

**a. Percentage of Overall Detained Referrals**

The figure below illustrates the top four categories of RAI results for those referrals that went through booking and resulted in a detention placement. As illustrated, the top four categories account for 79% of the total amount of referrals that led to a detention placement.




**B. Utilization (2010 Detention Placements – 3,603)**

This section details the number of youth held in detention at the start of the year, placed during the year, released during the year, and held in detention at the end of the year.

		2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
<b>Start of Year</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	77	65	-16%	66	2%	80	21%	65	-19%
	<b>Asian/Pacific Islander</b>	3	1	-67%	3	200%	2	-33%	2	0%
	<b>Hispanic</b>	55	58	5%	44	-24%	56	27%	48	-14%
	<b>Other</b>	6	5	-17%	2	-60%	4	100%	3	-25%
	<b>White</b>	68	50	-26%	39	-22%	35	-10%	44	26%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>-14%</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>-14%</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>-8%</b>
<b>New Detentions</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	1,303	1,488	14%	1,357	-9%	1,340	-1%	1,208	-10%
	<b>Asian/Pacific Islander</b>	58	42	-28%	38	-10%	66	74%	64	-3%
	<b>Hispanic</b>	1,143	1,171	2%	1,312	12%	1,304	-1%	1,294	-1%
	<b>Other</b>	106	102	-4%	109	7%	109	0%	132	21%
	<b>White</b>	1,064	997	-6%	915	-8%	883	-3%	905	2%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3,674</b>	<b>3,800</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3,731</b>	<b>-2%</b>	<b>3,702</b>	<b>-1%</b>	<b>3,603</b>	<b>-3%</b>
<b>Releases</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	1,315	1,487	13%	1,343	-10%	1,355	1%	1,213	-10%
	<b>Asian/Pacific Islander</b>	60	40	-33%	39	-3%	66	69%	64	-3%
	<b>Hispanic</b>	1,140	1,185	4%	1,299	10%	1,312	1%	1,288	-2%
	<b>Other</b>	107	105	-2%	107	2%	110	3%	132	20%
	<b>White</b>	1,082	1,008	-7%	920	-9%	874	-5%	913	4%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3,704</b>	<b>3,825</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3,708</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>3,717</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3,610</b>	<b>-3%</b>
<b>End of Year</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	65	66	2%	80	21%	65	-19%	60	-8%
	<b>Asian/Pacific Islander</b>	1	3	200%	2	-33%	2	0%	2	0%
	<b>Hispanic</b>	58	44	-24%	57	30%	48	-16%	54	13%
	<b>Other</b>	5	2	-60%	4	100%	3	-25%	3	0%
	<b>White</b>	50	39	-22%	34	-13%	44	29%	36	-18%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>-14%</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>-8%</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>-4%</b>


**C. Detention Average Length of Stay (2010 ALOS – 18 Days)**



**1. Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2006	% Diff	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2010	% Diff
JAN	20.76	18.12	-13%	17.02	-6%	18.26	7%	18.13	-1%
FEB	30.5	20.89	-32%	22.1	6%	18.62	-16%	17.64	-5%
MAR	23.06	19.16	-17%	15.56	-19%	17.72	14%	16.53	-7%
APR	21.36	16	-25%	18.02	13%	17.31	-4%	15.24	-12%
MAY	24.34	19.13	-21%	19.63	3%	18.31	-7%	17.98	-2%
JUNE	23	17.42	-24%	17.04	-2%	18.46	8%	18.03	-2%
JULY	20.72	19.03	-8%	18.48	-3%	22.56	22%	19.66	-13%
AUG	17.38	20.6	19%	17.4	-16%	21.84	26%	17.84	-18%
SEP	20.99	20.67	-2%	15.6	-25%	20.07	29%	18.42	-8%
OCT	17.81	19.1	7%	16.78	-12%	15.86	-5%	19.78	25%
NOV	20.27	19.74	-3%	19.22	-3%	19.99	4%	18.18	-9%
DEC	19.81	20.16	2%	20.57	2%	21.71	6%	20.97	-3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>21.7</b>	<b>19.16</b>	<b>-12%</b>	<b>18.11</b>	<b>-5%</b>	<b>19.2</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>18.18</b>	<b>-5%</b>

**D. Detention Average Daily Population (2010 ADP - 181)**



**1. Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
JAN	233.97	199.84	-15%	177.71	-11%	200.03	13%	178.13	-11%
FEB	243.46	219.57	-10%	187.66	-15%	192.11	2%	184.86	-4%
MAR	233.68	197.32	-16%	196.39	0%	189.26	-4%	160.73	-15%
APR	233.27	191.80	-18%	202.97	6%	196.70	-3%	191.70	-3%
MAY	247.16	204.77	-17%	195.10	-5%	217.52	11%	199.45	-8%
JUNE	218.07	200.30	-8%	199.17	-1%	208.43	5%	192.47	-8%
JULY	189.97	205.00	8%	182.55	-11%	200.71	10%	176.39	-12%
AUG	199.19	192.55	-3%	165.32	-14%	176.32	7%	172.13	-2%
SEP	190.57	201.60	6%	166.80	-17%	170.37	2%	185.40	9%
OCT	186.55	214.00	15%	187.09	-13%	195.23	4%	184.39	-6%
NOV	184.33	210.80	14%	173.70	-18%	211.77	22%	179.83	-15%
DEC	189.29	188.61	0%	172.00	-9%	184.10	7%	165.61	-10%
<b>Total</b>	<b>212.27</b>	<b>202.05</b>	<b>-5%</b>	<b>183.83</b>	<b>-9%</b>	<b>195.22</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>180.82</b>	<b>-7%</b>

## X. Alternatives to Detention

This section illustrates statistics that are related to two alternatives to detention: Electronic Management and Home Management. Electronic Monitoring is an alternative to detention that includes numerous rules and conditions as well as requiring that a youth wear an electronic tracking device. Home Management is a program designed to supervise youth in their homes rather than in the secure detention facility.


### A. Electronic Monitoring

#### 1. Utilization (2010 Placements - 192)

This section details the number of youth being monitored at the start of the year, placed during the year, released during the year, and being monitored at the end of the year.

		2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
<b>Start of Year</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	6	6	0%	9	50%	1	-89%	7	600%
	<b>Asian/Pacific Islander</b>	0	1	-	1	0%	1	0%	0	-100%
	<b>Hispanic</b>	1	5	400%	3	-40%	0	-100%	2	-
	<b>Other</b>	0	0	-	2	-	1	-50%	0	-100%
	<b>White</b>	6	7	17%	6	-14%	2	-67%	7	250%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>-76%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>220%</b>
<b>New Placement</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	49	82	67%	43	-48%	60	40%	63	5%
	<b>Asian/Pacific Islander</b>	6	5	-17%	4	-20%	1	-75%	7	600%
	<b>Hispanic</b>	54	60	11%	31	-48%	39	26%	50	28%
	<b>Other</b>	7	8	14%	5	-38%	5	0%	9	80%
	<b>White</b>	58	65	12%	47	-28%	48	2%	63	31%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>-41%</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>25%</b>
<b>Releases</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	49	79	61%	51	-35%	54	6%	66	22%
	<b>Asian/Pacific Islander</b>	5	5	0%	4	-20%	2	-50%	7	250%
	<b>Hispanic</b>	50	62	24%	34	-45%	37	9%	47	27%
	<b>Other</b>	7	6	-14%	6	0%	6	0%	7	17%
	<b>White</b>	57	66	16%	51	-23%	43	-16%	63	47%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>-33%</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>34%</b>
<b>End of Year</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	6	9	50%	1	-89%	7	600%	4	-43%
	<b>Asian/Pacific Islander</b>	1	1	0%	1	0%	0	-100%	0	-
	<b>Hispanic</b>	5	3	-40%	0	-100%	2	-	5	150%
	<b>Other</b>	0	2	-	1	-50%	0	-100%	2	-
	<b>White</b>	7	6	-14%	2	-67%	7	250%	7	0%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>-76%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>220%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>13%</b>


**2. Average Length of Stay (2010 ALOS – 29 Days)**



**a) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
JAN	57.55	32.26	-44%	41.05	27%	22.33	-46%	35.20	58%
FEB	41.46	48.41	17%	31.94	-34%	16.50	-48%	23.62	43%
MAR	29.89	24.90	-17%	40.27	62%	16.83	-58%	18.05	7%
APR	38.87	27.15	-30%	40.25	48%	39.73	-1%	35.68	-10%
MAY	22.56	31.27	39%	44.07	41%	18.36	-58%	26.32	43%
JUNE	29.42	23.53	-20%	36.08	53%	24.46	-32%	19.17	-22%
JULY	34.15	31.94	-6%	36.86	15%	16.15	-56%	32.86	103%
AUG	43.14	38.53	-11%	50.27	30%	24.29	-52%	25.00	3%
SEP	41.71	26.75	-36%	34.00	27%	42.70	26%	40.86	-4%
OCT	36.55	36.50	0%	23.91	-34%	22.25	-7%	38.33	72%
NOV	11.00	35.00	218%	34.00	-3%	43.10	27%	30.21	-30%
DEC	59.43	47.56	-20%	32.70	-31%	18.13	-45%	28.36	56%
<b>Total</b>	<b>38.23</b>	<b>32.49</b>	<b>-15%</b>	<b>37.55</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>24.76</b>	<b>-34%</b>	<b>28.84</b>	<b>16%</b>

**3. Average Daily Population (2010 ADP - 15)**



**a) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
JAN	16.45	19.65	19%	18.77	-4%	5.32	-72%	11.68	119%
FEB	19.18	15.00	-22%	16.21	8%	6.54	-60%	13.57	108%
MAR	16.32	21.00	29%	20.32	-3%	8.71	-57%	15.32	76%
APR	15.27	17.27	13%	19.67	14%	7.13	-64%	14.80	107%
MAY	14.45	13.71	-5%	16.39	20%	12.13	-26%	17.90	48%
JUNE	18.90	18.67	-1%	15.40	-18%	8.87	-42%	13.43	51%
JULY	21.23	22.10	4%	13.74	-38%	12.10	-12%	12.81	6%
AUG	20.32	19.10	-6%	9.52	-50%	13.03	37%	15.19	17%
SEP	20.87	19.20	-8%	7.77	-60%	15.40	98%	13.87	-10%
OCT	17.16	22.77	33%	8.71	-62%	10.77	24%	18.29	70%
NOV	19.33	17.83	-8%	8.60	-52%	10.30	20%	18.10	76%
DEC	22.68	15.90	-30%	5.13	-68%	16.97	231%	14.39	-15%
<b>Total</b>	<b>18.51</b>	<b>18.55</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>13.34</b>	<b>-28%</b>	<b>10.64</b>	<b>-20%</b>	<b>14.96</b>	<b>41%</b>


**B. Home Management**

**1. Utilization (2010 Placements - 487)**

This section details the number of youth on the program at the start of the year, placed during the year, released during the year, and on the program at the end of the year.

		2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
<b>Start of Year</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	17	23	35%	13	-43%	8	-38%	14	75%
	<b>Asian/Pacific Islander</b>	0	1	-	0	-100%	1	-	3	200%
	<b>Hispanic</b>	22	14	-36%	13	-7%	5	-62%	14	180%
	<b>Other</b>	1	1	0%	3	-	1	-	0	-100%
	<b>White</b>	14	21	50%	19	-10%	11	-42%	15	36%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>-20%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>-46%</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>77%</b>
<b>New Placements</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	164	177	8%	119	-33%	134	13%	150	12%
	<b>Asian/Pacific Islander</b>	14	6	-57%	11	83%	13	18%	8	-38%
	<b>Hispanic</b>	147	172	17%	169	-2%	157	-7%	173	10%
	<b>Other</b>	14	11	-21%	11	0%	11	0%	15	36%
	<b>White</b>	141	131	-7%	110	-16%	121	10%	141	17%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>480</b>	<b>497</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>420</b>	<b>-15%</b>	<b>436</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>487</b>	<b>12%</b>
<b>Releases</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	158	187	18%	124	-34%	129	4%	156	21%
	<b>Asian/Pacific Islander</b>	13	7	-46%	10	43%	10	0%	11	10%
	<b>Hispanic</b>	155	173	12%	177	2%	147	-17%	173	18%
	<b>Other</b>	14	9	-36%	13	44%	12	-8%	12	0%
	<b>White</b>	134	133	-1%	118	-11%	118	0%	146	24%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>474</b>	<b>509</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>442</b>	<b>-13%</b>	<b>416</b>	<b>-6%</b>	<b>498</b>	<b>20%</b>
<b>End of Year</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	23	13	-43%	8	-38%	13	63%	8	-38%
	<b>Asian/Pacific Islander</b>	1	0	-100%	1	-	4	300%	0	-100%
	<b>Hispanic</b>	14	13	-7%	5	-62%	15	200%	14	-7%
	<b>Other</b>	1	3	-	1	-	0	-100%	3	-
	<b>White</b>	21	19	-10%	11	-42%	14	27%	10	-29%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>-20%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>-46%</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>-24%</b>


**2. Average Length of Stay (2010 ALOS – 27 Days)**



**a) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
JAN	35.70	33.49	-6%	40.33	20%	24.13	-40%	31.78	32%
FEB	33.37	37.61	13%	32.83	-13%	30.80	-6%	24.82	-19%
MAR	33.32	41.30	24%	30.55	-26%	31.41	3%	31.36	0%
APR	40.21	21.68	-46%	37.53	73%	34.65	-8%	20.49	-41%
MAY	46.71	38.69	-17%	25.28	-35%	26.03	3%	25.05	-4%
JUNE	32.63	44.58	37%	33.60	-25%	25.45	-24%	23.24	-9%
JULY	37.79	63.85	69%	42.16	-34%	23.00	-45%	32.34	41%
AUG	31.00	33.59	8%	43.93	31%	31.76	-28%	25.87	-19%
SEP	24.85	40.00	61%	34.66	-13%	34.28	-1%	25.47	-26%
OCT	34.13	35.54	4%	26.53	-25%	33.24	25%	19.54	-41%
NOV	50.58	50.37	0%	27.09	-46%	21.35	-21%	26.06	22%
DEC	31.61	30.13	-5%	27.57	-9%	27.35	-1%	32.95	20%
<b>Total</b>	<b>36.14</b>	<b>39.50</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>33.97</b>	<b>-14%</b>	<b>28.26</b>	<b>-17%</b>	<b>26.51</b>	<b>-6%</b>

**3. Average Daily Population (2010 ADP - 34)**



**a) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
JAN	57.23	55.58	-3%	53.55	-4%	26.74	-50%	39.10	46%
FEB	62.43	59.68	-4%	41.03	-31%	29.61	-28%	33.32	13%
MAR	59.00	57.87	-2%	29.16	-50%	34.10	17%	28.61	-16%
APR	46.57	63.27	36%	48.23	-24%	31.50	-35%	30.63	-3%
MAY	45.19	62.26	38%	48.10	-23%	33.90	-30%	33.68	-1%
JUNE	40.87	60.47	48%	50.80	-16%	38.27	-25%	31.60	-17%
JULY	36.39	48.35	33%	46.45	-4%	32.06	-31%	35.23	10%
AUG	33.68	46.13	37%	31.06	-33%	31.00	0%	37.32	20%
SEP	36.07	57.73	60%	30.27	-48%	37.03	22%	36.20	-2%
OCT	52.55	48.03	-9%	25.87	-46%	37.87	46%	37.10	-2%
NOV	46.83	39.27	-16%	23.67	-40%	41.17	74%	29.27	-29%
DEC	60.00	41.84	-30%	24.84	-41%	45.87	85%	29.94	-35%
<b>Total</b>	<b>47.70</b>	<b>53.30</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>37.73</b>	<b>-29%</b>	<b>35.03</b>	<b>-7%</b>	<b>33.60</b>	<b>-4%</b>

**XI. Spring Mountain Youth Camp Statistics**

This section includes statistics generated from the Spring Mountain Youth Camp and the Transition and Aftercare program. The Spring Mountain Youth Camp is a staff-secure facility, which houses male youth offenders, who have been adjudicated for serious or repeat delinquent acts by the Juvenile Court Judge. The Aftercare program is designed to transition the youth, who were placed at the camp, back into the community.


**A. Spring Mountain Youth Camp****1. Utilization (2010 Placements - 257)**

This section details the number of youth at the camp at the start of the year, placed during the year, released during the year, and still at the camp at the end of the year.

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
<b>Start of Year</b>									
African American/Black	43	40	-7%	40	0%	30	-25%	35	17%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	1	-	0	-100%	3	-	4	33%
Hispanic	32	28	-13%	35	25%	25	-29%	38	52%
Other	0	5	-	3	-40%	1	-67%	5	400%
White	24	18	-25%	20	11%	26	30%	18	-31%
<b>Total</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>-7%</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>-13%</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>18%</b>
<b>New Placements</b>									
African American/Black	93	85	-9%	74	-13%	89	20%	98	10%
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	3	0%	3	0%	4	33%	4	0%
Hispanic	71	85	20%	86	1%	95	10%	94	-1%
Other	8	7	-13%	4	-43%	8	100%	9	13%
White	55	67	22%	59	-12%	40	-32%	52	30%
<b>Total</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>247</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>-9%</b>	<b>236</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>9%</b>

		2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
<b>Releases</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	96	85	-11%	84	-1%	84	0%	95	13%
	<b>Asian/Pacific Islander</b>	2	4	100%	0	-100%	3	-	6	100%
	<b>Hispanic</b>	75	78	4%	96	23%	82	-15%	99	21%
	<b>Other</b>	3	9	200%	6	-33%	4	-33%	13	225%
	<b>White</b>	61	65	7%	53	-18%	48	-9%	44	-8%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>-1%</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>-8%</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>16%</b>
<b>End of Year</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	40	40	0%	30	-25%	35	17%	38	9%
	<b>Asian/Pacific Islander</b>	1	0	-100%	3	-	4	33%	2	-50%
	<b>Hispanic</b>	28	35	25%	25	-29%	38	52%	33	-13%
	<b>Other</b>	5	3	-40%	1	-67%	5	400%	1	-80%
	<b>White</b>	18	20	11%	26	30%	18	-31%	26	44%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>-13%</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0%</b>


**2. Average Length of Stay (2010 ALOS – 149 Days)**



**a. Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
JAN	179.47	135.15	-25%	125.94	-7%	168.17	34%	149.52	-11%
FEB	163.46	181.53	11%	157.62	-13%	172.61	10%	177.52	3%
MAR	144.50	159.57	10%	148.23	-7%	164.62	11%	118.80	-28%
APR	150.14	144.64	-4%	141.65	-2%	144.19	2%	147.48	2%
MAY	157.77	146.25	-7%	154.88	6%	139.11	-10%	125.90	-9%
JUNE	120.00	144.94	21%	160.55	11%	155.18	-3%	139.14	-10%
JULY	111.80	155.91	39%	152.27	-2%	128.50	-16%	150.18	17%
AUG	164.45	159.62	-3%	138.10	-13%	171.81	24%	170.48	-1%
SEP	152.80	154.72	1%	144.89	-6%	157.91	9%	131.93	-16%
OCT	180.62	153.00	-15%	129.33	-15%	173.82	34%	150.96	-13%
NOV	155.44	134.64	-13%	155.93	16%	171.97	10%	157.58	-8%
DEC	164.95	119.04	-28%	132.87	12%	139.69	5%	146.88	5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>154.38</b>	<b>148.86</b>	<b>-4%</b>	<b>146.28</b>	<b>-2%</b>	<b>156.89</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>149.25</b>	<b>-5%</b>

### 3. Average Daily Population (2010 ADP – 100)



#### a. Historical Statistics (2006-2010)

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
JAN	98.61	98.71	0%	97.94	-1%	91.52	-7%	99.10	8%
FEB	98.00	98.26	0%	97.76	-1%	95.54	-2%	99.14	4%
MAR	98.94	96.94	-2%	98.23	1%	95.06	-3%	98.45	4%
APR	97.73	98.20	0%	98.47	0%	95.20	-3%	100.20	5%
MAY	96.61	97.81	1%	100.03	2%	98.81	-1%	99.65	1%
JUNE	95.60	98.80	3%	98.50	0%	97.57	-1%	100.07	3%
JULY	95.23	99.23	4%	96.45	-3%	100.19	4%	100.19	0%
AUG	97.29	99.55	2%	99.13	0%	99.58	0%	104.65	5%
SEP	96.20	92.73	-4%	92.90	0%	98.60	6%	100.17	2%
OCT	98.19	97.87	0%	96.81	-1%	100.35	4%	100.26	0%
NOV	97.03	98.37	1%	96.23	-2%	99.83	4%	99.00	-1%
DEC	97.52	98.55	1%	84.19	-15%	96.06	14%	99.65	4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>97.25</b>	<b>97.92</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>96.38</b>	<b>-2%</b>	<b>97.37</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>100.05</b>	<b>3%</b>

### B. Aftercare Statistics


This section details the utilization, average assignment length, and the average caseload of the “Transition and Aftercare” program. As this program was introduced in 2008, the statistics for that year will be skewed.

#### 1. Utilization (2010 Assignments – 263)

Start of Year		2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
	African American/Black	0	85	-	92	8%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	0	3	-	5	67%
	Hispanic	0	78	-	100	28%
	Other	0	4	-	9	125%
	White	0	51	-	48	-6%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>15%</b>

		2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
<b>New Assignments</b>	African American/Black	143	80	-44%	101	26%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	5	4	-20%	4	0%
	Hispanic	136	96	-29%	97	1%
	Other	8	9	13%	6	-33%
	White	104	40	-62%	55	38%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>396</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>-42%</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>15%</b>
<b>Assignments Ending</b>	African American/Black	58	74	28%	74	0%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	2	2	0%	4	100%
	Hispanic	58	74	28%	83	12%
	Other	4	4	0%	5	25%
	White	53	43	-19%	38	-12%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>204</b>	<b>4%</b>
<b>End of Year</b>	African American/Black	85	91	7%	119	31%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	3	5	67%	5	0%
	Hispanic	78	100	28%	114	14%
	Other	4	9	125%	10	11%
	White	51	48	-6%	65	35%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>253</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>313</b>	<b>24%</b>

**2. Average Assignment Length (2010 Average – 314 Days)**




**a. Historical Statistics (2008-2010)**

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
<b>JAN</b>	5.69	203.43	3474%	242.68	19%
<b>FEB</b>	43.67	243.86	458%	203.17	-17%
<b>MAR</b>	73.60	217.18	195%	309.13	42%
<b>APR</b>	94.38	243.90	158%	333.00	37%
<b>MAY</b>	124.13	334.08	169%	266.73	-20%
<b>JUNE</b>	164.00	267.00	63%	335.37	26%
<b>JULY</b>	147.36	322.23	119%	348.63	8%

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
AUG	224.56	301.64	34%	315.94	5%
SEP	218.65	346.30	58%	353.06	2%
OCT	236.25	348.89	48%	342.07	-2%
NOV	261.00	323.25	24%	267.57	-17%
DEC	282.79	350.67	24%	406.85	16%
<b>Total</b>	<b>171.65</b>	<b>292.20</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>314.08</b>	<b>7%</b>

### 3. Average Daily Caseload (2010 Average - 282)



#### a. Historical Statistics (2008-2010)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
JAN	164.90	220.42	34%	254.13	15%
FEB	184.62	227.75	23%	256.61	13%
MAR	196.77	228.03	16%	257.06	13%
APR	202.73	230.27	14%	270.37	17%
MAY	205.48	234.90	14%	282.65	20%
JUNE	205.33	240.03	17%	277.07	15%
JULY	207.42	246.74	19%	282.74	15%
AUG	218.71	251.74	15%	292.26	16%
SEP	223.43	250.43	12%	296.53	18%
OCT	222.42	245.97	11%	297.00	21%
NOV	220.20	249.70	13%	303.20	21%
DEC	213.87	250.87	17%	311.74	24%
<b>Total</b>	<b>205.52</b>	<b>239.81</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>281.93</b>	<b>18%</b>


## XII. Probation Statistics

This section details the statistics generated by the Probation division of the DJJS. The following areas will be illustrated: utilization, average length of stay, and the average daily caseload.

**A. Utilization (2010 Placements– 2,953)**

		2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
<b>Start of Year</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	621	690	11%	907	31%	857	-6%	852	-1%
	<b>Asian/Pacific Islander</b>	41	42	2%	52	24%	43	-17%	62	44%
	<b>Hispanic</b>	629	737	17%	898	22%	988	10%	1,079	9%
	<b>Other</b>	45	58	29%	60	3%	75	25%	79	5%
	<b>White</b>	651	762	17%	787	3%	747	-5%	758	1%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,987</b>	<b>2,289</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>2,704</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>2,710</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>2,830</b>	<b>4%</b>
<b>Probation Placements</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	804	1,010	26%	948	-6%	847	-11%	806	-5%
	<b>Asian/Pacific Islander</b>	55	68	24%	65	-4%	62	-5%	73	18%
	<b>Hispanic</b>	879	1,057	20%	1,146	8%	1,174	2%	1,161	-1%
	<b>Other</b>	70	69	-1%	87	26%	86	-1%	88	2%
	<b>White</b>	874	894	2%	818	-9%	814	0%	825	1%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2,682</b>	<b>3,098</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>3,064</b>	<b>-1%</b>	<b>2,983</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>2,953</b>	<b>-1%</b>
<b>Probations Ending</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	607	626	3%	783	25%	669	-15%	635	-5%
	<b>Asian/Pacific Islander</b>	50	53	6%	67	26%	42	-37%	64	52%
	<b>Hispanic</b>	645	770	19%	846	10%	839	-1%	864	3%
	<b>Other</b>	48	55	15%	50	-9%	62	24%	56	-10%
	<b>White</b>	644	751	17%	710	-5%	649	-9%	629	-3%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,994</b>	<b>2,255</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>2,456</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>2,261</b>	<b>-8%</b>	<b>2,248</b>	<b>-1%</b>
<b>End of Year</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	690	907	31%	881	-3%	873	-1%	844	-3%
	<b>Asian/Pacific Islander</b>	42	52	24%	45	-13%	62	38%	55	-11%
	<b>Hispanic</b>	737	898	22%	1,009	12%	1,110	10%	1,138	3%
	<b>Other</b>	58	60	3%	82	37%	81	-1%	89	10%
	<b>White</b>	762	787	3%	774	-2%	789	2%	806	2%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2,289</b>	<b>2,704</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>2,791</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>2,915</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>2,932</b>	<b>1%</b>


**B. Average Assignment Length (2010 Average – 395 Days)**



**1. Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
JAN	312.35	327.57	5%	344.07	5%	361.50	5%	367.16	2%
FEB	370.27	304.31	-18%	379.25	25%	553.37	46%	329.95	-40%
MAR	344.44	343.37	0%	340.16	-1%	385.26	13%	360.83	-6%
APR	514.35	328.02	-36%	318.85	-3%	367.85	15%	364.74	-1%
MAY	367.70	289.52	-21%	312.70	8%	412.11	32%	686.15	66%
JUNE	334.24	333.34	0%	496.21	49%	377.58	-24%	339.78	-10%
JULY	335.27	384.85	15%	331.45	-14%	367.14	11%	339.92	-7%
AUG	339.19	499.16	47%	303.85	-39%	389.51	28%	392.50	1%
SEP	481.71	302.49	-37%	351.24	16%	384.15	9%	439.03	14%
OCT	314.42	311.75	-1%	378.17	21%	373.14	-1%	390.76	5%
NOV	348.99	298.37	-15%	353.03	18%	344.90	-2%	326.31	-5%
DEC	323.47	323.40	0%	340.95	5%	372.10	9%	371.00	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>367.34</b>	<b>340.63</b>	<b>-7%</b>	<b>354.08</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>390.29</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>395.49</b>	<b>1%</b>

**C. Average Probation Caseload (2010 Average – 2,990)**



**1. Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
JAN	2,009.42	2,328.48	16%	2,741.29	18%	2,719.61	-1%	2,856.48	5%
FEB	2,065.89	2,379.11	15%	2,810.90	18%	2,738.71	-3%	2,923.75	7%
MAR	2,139.52	2,427.71	13%	2,884.81	19%	2,760.29	-4%	2,962.94	7%
APR	2,158.07	2,475.17	15%	2,898.10	17%	2,795.27	-4%	2,987.23	7%
MAY	2,216.84	2,526.68	14%	2,912.90	15%	2,848.55	-2%	3,021.19	6%
JUNE	2,267.67	2,591.07	14%	2,895.87	12%	2,883.37	0%	3,043.83	6%
JULY	2,295.23	2,627.35	14%	2,887.00	10%	2,911.06	1%	3,065.03	5%
AUG	2,314.81	2,624.71	13%	2,890.68	10%	2,926.58	1%	3,043.00	4%
SEP	2,344.97	2,626.67	12%	2,854.03	9%	2,919.00	2%	3,021.07	3%
OCT	2,352.94	2,669.81	13%	2,844.84	7%	2,904.87	2%	3,008.84	4%
NOV	2,329.27	2,709.47	16%	2,829.70	4%	2,899.30	2%	2,999.30	3%
DEC	2,314.06	2,721.61	18%	2,806.81	3%	2,901.97	3%	2,947.71	2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,234.49</b>	<b>2,560.01</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>2,854.82</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>2,850.71</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>2,990.26</b>	<b>5%</b>

### XIII. Intensive Supervision

This section provides more detail into intensive probation services, which involve increased contact and programming for higher risk youth. In particular, this section will cover the Continuum of Care Program (CCP), Drug Court, and the Juvenile Sex Offense (JSO) units. The statistics in this section reflect both primary and secondary assignments.


#### A. CCP Statistics

The Continuum of Care Program (CCP) is a community based intensive supervision program for youth that would otherwise be committed to or placed in a juvenile institution. These programs, for adjudicated youth, include frequent probation officer contact and reporting requirements for daily programming.

##### 1. Utilization (2010 Assignments - 206)

		2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
Start of Year	African American/Black	172	95	-45%	103	8%	31	-70%	42	35%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	12	1	-92%	4	300%	2	-50%	2	0%
	Hispanic	134	74	-45%	89	20%	26	-71%	38	46%
	Other	7	3	-57%	8	167%	5	-38%	7	40%
	White	115	55	-52%	56	2%	19	-66%	26	37%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>440</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>-48%</b>	<b>260</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>-68%</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>39%</b>
Assignments Starting	African American/Black	332	378	14%	214	-43%	116	-46%	94	-19%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	23	14	-39%	14	0%	6	-57%	2	-67%
	Hispanic	294	332	13%	248	-25%	134	-46%	70	-48%
	Other	26	27	4%	20	-26%	18	-10%	7	-61%
	White	253	237	-6%	163	-31%	92	-44%	33	-64%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>928</b>	<b>988</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>659</b>	<b>-33%</b>	<b>366</b>	<b>-44%</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>-44%</b>
Assignments Ending	African American/Black	411	374	-9%	286	-24%	103	-64%	84	-18%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	34	11	-68%	16	45%	6	-63%	2	-67%
	Hispanic	356	318	-11%	309	-3%	120	-61%	81	-33%
	Other	30	22	-27%	23	5%	15	-35%	10	-33%
	White	309	236	-24%	199	-16%	85	-57%	38	-55%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,140</b>	<b>961</b>	<b>-16%</b>	<b>833</b>	<b>-13%</b>	<b>329</b>	<b>-61%</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>-35%</b>
End of Year	African American/Black	95	103	8%	31	-70%	43	39%	52	21%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	1	4	300%	2	-50%	2	0%	2	0%
	Hispanic	74	89	20%	26	-71%	38	46%	27	-29%
	Other	3	8	167%	5	-38%	7	40%	4	-43%
	White	55	56	2%	19	-66%	26	37%	21	-19%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>260</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>-68%</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>-9%</b>


**2. Average Assignment Length (2010 Average – 173 Days)**



**a) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
JAN	110.69	160.68	31%	65.90	-59%	37.91	-42%	110.81	192%
FEB	143.04	89.37	-60%	51.98	-42%	59.04	14%	153.48	160%
MAR	141.05	60.62	-133%	84.20	39%	44.38	-47%	128.57	190%
APR	128.42	41.31	-211%	63.49	54%	43.79	-31%	152.05	247%
MAY	131.84	63.57	-107%	56.00	-12%	65.89	18%	256.91	290%
JUNE	141.81	89.56	-58%	100.39	12%	124.94	24%	218.09	75%
JULY	129.54	78.65	-65%	137.46	75%	137.63	0%	216.01	57%
AUG	179.50	89.69	-100%	78.80	-12%	220.23	179%	211.41	-4%
SEP	177.40	60.88	-191%	62.33	2%	146.57	135%	220.79	51%
OCT	152.06	83.80	-81%	72.98	-13%	148.37	103%	151.61	2%
NOV	126.32	72.01	-75%	46.15	-36%	193.98	320%	178.84	-8%
DEC	213.09	99.12	-115%	86.69	-13%	285.45	229%	86.12	-70%
<b>Total</b>	<b>149.65</b>	<b>84.51</b>	<b>-77%</b>	<b>81.44</b>	<b>-4%</b>	<b>96.19</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>173.07</b>	<b>80%</b>

**3. Average Caseload (2010 Average - 107)**



**a) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
JAN	456.05	223.32	-104%	227.28	2%	88.97	-61%	115.18	29%
FEB	475.09	224.65	-111%	215.25	-4%	106.72	-50%	115.03	8%
MAR	465.58	224.65	-107%	207.26	-8%	132.37	-36%	104.68	-21%
APR	433.07	254.97	-70%	231.57	-9%	133.87	-42%	106.80	-20%
MAY	410.98	263.68	-56%	226.72	-14%	125.58	-45%	103.58	-18%
JUNE	401.95	273.10	-47%	211.43	-23%	126.13	-40%	101.74	-19%
JULY	380.05	255.90	-49%	189.94	-26%	118.06	-38%	100.33	-15%
AUG	366.16	257.60	-42%	111.90	-57%	126.20	13%	100.80	-20%
SEP	328.61	280.70	-17%	103.57	-63%	130.81	26%	103.88	-21%
OCT	309.86	290.49	-7%	90.56	-69%	146.40	62%	119.32	-18%
NOV	273.33	282.36	3%	86.74	-69%	133.15	54%	117.85	-11%
DEC	244.52	268.56	9%	84.85	-68%	122.51	44%	108.98	-11%
<b>Total</b>	<b>378.19</b>	<b>259.73</b>	<b>-46%</b>	<b>164.89</b>	<b>-37%</b>	<b>124.17</b>	<b>-25%</b>	<b>106.65</b>	<b>-14%</b>


**B. Drug Court Statistics**

The Drug Court Program is geared toward rehabilitating those youth who were adjudicated on a drug-related offense. As part of the program, the assigned youth are required to submit to drug testing.

**1. Utilization (2010 Assignments - 151)**

		2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
<b>Start of Year</b>	African American/Black	22	7	-68%	14	100%	6	-57%	13	117%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	4	1	-75%	3	200%	3	0%	3	0%
	Hispanic	50	34	-32%	54	59%	72	33%	59	-18%
	Other	0	0	-	1	-	4	300%	1	-75%
	White	61	51	-16%	43	-16%	45	5%	54	20%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>-32%</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>New Assignment</b>	African American/Black	10	20	100%	8	-60%	17	113%	17	0%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	1	3	200%	2	-33%	2	0%	4	100%
	Hispanic	38	66	74%	55	-17%	58	5%	64	10%
	Other	0	2	-	6	200%	2	-67%	7	250%
	White	53	60	13%	49	-18%	50	2%	59	18%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>-21%</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>17%</b>
<b>Assignment Ending</b>	African American/Black	25	13	-48%	16	23%	10	-38%	17	70%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	4	1	-75%	2	100%	2	0%	0	-100%
	Hispanic	54	46	-15%	37	-20%	71	92%	54	-24%
	Other	0	1	-	3	200%	5	67%	1	-80%
	White	63	68	8%	47	-31%	37	-21%	26	-30%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>-12%</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>-19%</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>-22%</b>
<b>End of Year</b>	African American/Black	7	14	100%	6	-57%	13	117%	13	0%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	1	3	200%	3	0%	3	0%	7	133%
	Hispanic	34	54	59%	72	33%	59	-18%	69	17%
	Other	0	1	-	4	300%	1	-75%	7	600%
	White	51	43	-16%	45	5%	58	29%	87	50%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>37%</b>


**2. Average Assignment Length (2010 Average – 233 Days)**



**a) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
JAN	212.58	167.98	-27%	70.20	-58%	314.41	348%	48.02	-85%
FEB	321.65	179.87	-79%	91.68	-49%	340.15	271%	106.69	-69%
MAR	496.69	184.72	-169%	105.75	-43%	311.52	195%	76.73	-75%
APR	504.34	171.16	-195%	137.28	-20%	204.66	49%	159.89	-22%
MAY	413.02	166.88	-148%	156.75	-6%	342.47	118%	153.25	-55%
JUNE	413.71	185.46	-123%	168.22	-9%	271.45	61%	371.44	37%
JULY	566.71	264.26	-114%	241.64	-9%	373.53	55%	307.31	-18%
AUG	539.72	248.40	-117%	150.28	-40%	394.52	163%	230.01	-42%
SEP	425.50	201.87	-111%	291.24	44%	338.20	16%	187.70	-45%
OCT	434.46	194.35	-124%	229.41	18%	198.18	-14%	277.09	40%
NOV	555.60	290.35	-91%	254.47	-12%	25.33	-90%	214.19	746%
DEC	468.11	253.12	-85%	287.51	14%	363.37	26%	308.52	-15%
<b>Total</b>	<b>447.55</b>	<b>214.14</b>	<b>-109%</b>	<b>184.91</b>	<b>-14%</b>	<b>315.31</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>233.23</b>	<b>-26%</b>

**3. Average Caseload (2010 Average - 166)**



**a) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
JAN	138.85	93.79	-48%	116.61	24%	128.36	10%	134.93	5%
FEB	133.71	97.18	-38%	122.41	26%	134.70	10%	144.00	7%
MAR	126.70	96.88	-31%	130.26	34%	139.35	7%	157.67	13%
APR	118.69	100.91	-18%	132.33	31%	141.12	7%	165.28	17%
MAY	110.64	102.53	-8%	132.33	29%	144.96	10%	173.45	20%
JUNE	106.24	108.19	2%	137.45	27%	146.67	7%	178.27	22%
JULY	106.25	108.31	2%	141.39	31%	146.79	4%	166.40	13%
AUG	110.53	104.29	-6%	142.00	36%	137.72	-3%	164.35	19%
SEP	111.04	107.88	-3%	145.48	35%	134.74	-7%	173.54	29%
OCT	106.13	114.08	7%	138.53	21%	134.17	-3%	172.83	29%
NOV	106.07	108.19	2%	136.33	26%	138.66	2%	178.52	29%
DEC	104.37	112.00	7%	132.88	19%	138.34	4%	181.58	31%
<b>Total</b>	<b>114.83</b>	<b>104.56</b>	<b>-10%</b>	<b>134.02</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>138.82</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>165.99</b>	<b>20%</b>


**C. JSO Statistics**

The Juvenile Sex Offense Unit is a probation supervision program that is set up specifically for youth that have been adjudicated on a sexual-related offense.

**1. Utilization (2010 Assignments - 210)**

		2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
<b>Start of Year</b>	African American/Black	98	90	-8%	100	11%	88	-12%	72	-18%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	1	4	300%	7	75%	7	0%	6	-14%
	Hispanic	90	95	6%	103	8%	113	10%	85	-25%
	Other	10	16	60%	13	-19%	15	15%	8	-47%
	White	181	171	-6%	158	-8%	168	6%	130	-23%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>380</b>	<b>376</b>	<b>-1%</b>	<b>381</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>391</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>-23%</b>
<b>New Assignment</b>	African American/Black	64	67	5%	75	12%	66	-12%	67	2%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	4	6	50%	6	0%	7	17%	11	57%
	Hispanic	69	80	16%	80	0%	91	14%	57	-37%
	Other	12	8	-33%	10	25%	3	-70%	7	133%
	White	115	91	-21%	104	14%	89	-14%	68	-24%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>-5%</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>-7%</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>-18%</b>
<b>Assignment Ending</b>	African American/Black	72	57	-21%	87	53%	84	-3%	70	-17%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	1	3	200%	6	100%	9	50%	8	-11%
	Hispanic	64	72	13%	70	-3%	119	70%	70	-41%
	Other	6	11	83%	8	-27%	10	25%	5	-50%
	White	125	104	-17%	94	-10%	126	34%	78	-38%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>268</b>	<b>247</b>	<b>-8%</b>	<b>265</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>348</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>-34%</b>
<b>End of Year</b>	African American/Black	90	100	11%	88	-12%	70	-20%	69	-1%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	4	7	75%	7	0%	5	-29%	9	80%
	Hispanic	95	103	8%	113	10%	85	-25%	72	-15%
	Other	16	13	-19%	15	15%	8	-47%	10	25%
	White	171	158	-8%	168	6%	131	-22%	120	-8%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>376</b>	<b>381</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>391</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>-24%</b>	<b>280</b>	<b>-6%</b>


**2. Average Assignment Length (2010 Average – 321 Days)**



**a) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
JAN	678.25	304.67	-123%	201.11	-34%	201.11	0%	272.61	36%
FEB	567.65	432.17	-31%	329.66	-24%	329.66	0%	315.51	-4%
MAR	661.87	138.09	-379%	227.40	65%	227.40	0%	324.10	43%
APR	637.75	227.14	-181%	322.04	42%	322.04	0%	318.22	-1%
MAY	376.47	244.99	-54%	245.00	0%	245.00	0%	408.15	67%
JUNE	437.08	253.24	-73%	356.63	41%	356.63	0%	275.46	-23%
JULY	422.58	284.41	-49%	260.51	-8%	260.51	0%	189.55	-27%
AUG	359.65	234.44	-53%	325.90	39%	325.90	0%	384.46	18%
SEP	794.42	303.65	-162%	329.29	8%	329.29	0%	235.34	-29%
OCT	389.15	286.30	-36%	419.15	46%	419.15	0%	340.94	-19%
NOV	494.93	331.30	-49%	265.97	-20%	265.97	0%	462.67	74%
DEC	669.26	370.62	-81%	461.61	25%	461.61	0%	401.71	-13%
<b>Total</b>	<b>526.58</b>	<b>280.09</b>	<b>-88%</b>	<b>315.63</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>315.63</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>321.49</b>	<b>2%</b>

**3. Average Caseload (2010 Average - 289)**



**a) Historical Statistics (2006-2010)**

	2006	2007	% Diff	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff
<b>JAN</b>	383.69	376.47	-2%	380.85	1%	389.26	2%	298.16	-23%
<b>FEB</b>	389.87	377.29	-3%	375.93	0%	394.70	5%	292.78	-26%
<b>MAR</b>	394.69	380.89	-4%	387.13	2%	390.49	1%	296.28	-24%
<b>APR</b>	399.75	385.03	-4%	386.50	0%	401.69	4%	300.87	-25%
<b>MAY</b>	406.07	385.50	-5%	399.48	4%	405.02	1%	302.76	-25%
<b>JUNE</b>	401.35	382.78	-5%	402.95	5%	409.84	2%	298.60	-27%
<b>JULY</b>	398.95	381.01	-5%	405.24	6%	415.29	2%	290.34	-30%
<b>AUG</b>	406.55	379.38	-7%	403.07	6%	409.32	2%	273.70	-33%
<b>SEP</b>	410.49	380.59	-8%	400.96	5%	373.26	-7%	280.74	-25%
<b>OCT</b>	397.25	372.39	-7%	399.48	7%	305.47	-24%	277.03	-9%
<b>NOV</b>	388.49	385.08	-1%	391.79	2%	302.86	-23%	280.04	-8%
<b>DEC</b>	381.03	385.68	1%	395.44	3%	298.54	-25%	280.22	-6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>396.46</b>	<b>381.01</b>	<b>-4%</b>	<b>394.15</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>374.25</b>	<b>-5%</b>	<b>289.26</b>	<b>-23%</b>

**XIV. Workload Summary**

<b>Referrals</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
Referrals Received	22,756	26,711	25,877	25,311	23,863
Number of Youth Involved	15,036	17,067	16,424	15,915	15,228
Average Referral per Youth	1.98	1.89	2.02	2.11	2.18
<b>Detention</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
Youth Brought to Booking	8,978	9,901	9,587	9,210	8,154
New Detention Placements	3,674	3,800	3,731	3,702	3,603
Referrals Prior to Detainment (AVG)	5.40	5.37	5.56	5.80	6.18
Average Daily Population	212	202	184	195	181
Average Length of Stay in Detention (Days)	22	19	18	19	18
<b>Alternatives to Detention</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Home Management</b>					
Youth Placed On Home Management	480	497	420	436	487
Average Daily Population	48	53	38	35	34
Average Length of Stay in Detention (Days)	36	39	34	28	27
Referrals Prior to Placement (AVG)	3.85	4.01	4.40	4.08	3.94
<b>Electronic Monitoring</b>					
Youth Placed On Electronic Monitoring	174	220	130	153	192
Average Daily Population	19	19	13	11	15
Average Length of Stay in Detention (Days)	38	32	38	25	29
Referrals Prior to Placement (AVG)	3.66	4.19	4.57	4.09	4.44
<b>District Attorney</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
Number of Petitions Filed	7,452	8,546	7,983	8,086	7,771
Direct File	47	45	52	52	30
Referrals Prior to Direct File (AVG)	8.47	7.71	7.65	10.02	7.60
<b>Formal Probation</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
Youth Placed On Field Probation	2,682	3,098	3,064	2,983	2,953
Referrals Prior to Field Probation (AVG)	3.22	3.26	3.48	3.76	3.91
Youth on Field Probation at End of Year	2,289	2,704	2,791	2,915	2,932

<b>Intensive Services</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>CCP</b>					
Youth Assigned to CCP	928	988	659	366	206
Referrals Prior to CCP (AVG)	8.74	7.42	7.96	7.73	7.72
Youth on CCP at End of Year	228	260	83	116	106
<b>Drug Court</b>					
Youth Assigned to Drug Court	102	151	120	129	151
Referrals Prior to Drug Court (AVG)	8.45	8.36	8.88	9.65	10.10
Youth on Drug Court at End of Year	93	115	130	134	183
<b>JSO</b>					
Youth Assigned to JSO	264	252	275	256	210
Referrals Prior to JSO Caseload (AVG)	2.74	2.87	3.56	3.76	2.54
Youth on JSO Caseload at End of Year	376	381	391	299	280
<b>Spring Mountain Youth Camp</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
Youth Placed at SMYC	230	247	226	236	257
Referrals Prior to SMYC Placement (AVG)	6.80	6.69	7.76	8.29	8.41
Youth at SMYC at End of Year	92	98	85	100	100
<b>Youth Committed to DCFS</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
Youth Committed to DCFS	428	368	304	373	273
Referrals Prior to Commitment DCFS (AVG)	9.63	9.46	9.71	9.64	10.83
<b>Certifications</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
Youth Certified as Adult	95	80	63	61	88
Referrals Prior to Certification (AVG)	7.00	7.33	5.83	8.13	8.53

**This report was produced in April 2010 by the  
Applications, Research & Technology (ART) Unit  
Department of Juvenile Justice Services  
Clark County, Nevada**

**For further information about this document, please contact:**

**ART Unit  
Clark County  
Department of Juvenile Justice Services  
601 N. Pecos Road  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101-2408  
Phone: (702) 455-5210**