



Clark County, Nevada
Department of Juvenile Justice Services

STATISTICAL REPORT
Calendar Year 2011

APPLICATIONS, RESEARCH, AND TECHNOLOGY UNIT
FAMILYTRACS

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. DEPARTMENT INFORMATION.....	2
A. How a case works its way through the DJJS	3
III. STATE OF NEVADA AND CLARK COUNTY STATISTICS	4
IV. YOUTH PROFILES	4
A. Demographic Information	5
1. Youth Male Population by Age Group	5
2. Youth Female Population by Age Group	5
3. Youth Population by Gender	5
4. Youth Population by Race	6
5. CCSD Population by Race- All Grade Levels	6
B. Background Information on Referred Youth Population	7
1. Gender of Referred Youth	7
2. Ethnicity/Race of Referred Youth	7
3. Age of Referred Youth by Arrest Date	8
4. Household Composition of Referred Youth by Ethnicity/Race	8
5. Recorded Youth Gang Affiliation from Referred Population	11
6. Youth with Prior Referrals	12
7. Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals	15
8. Youth with a Felony or Gross Misdemeanor	18
9. Top Ten Zip Codes of Referred Youth Population	21
10. Percentage Summary of Referred Youth Population in 2011	22
V. REFERRAL STATISTICS	22
A. Total Number of Referrals Compared to the Number of Involved Youth.....	23
1. Annual Percentage Difference	23
B. Referral Types	23
1. Referral Types	23
C. Sources of Referrals	24
1. Top Five Referral Sources by Percentage of Overall Referrals	24
D. Time of Offense	25
1. Referrals by Time of Offense	25
E. Maximum Charge Categories	26
1. Percentage of Overall Referrals by Charge Category	28
F. Top Ten Most Common Charges (All Charges)	29
1. Percentage of Overall Referrals	29
2. Top Ten Most Common Charges (All Charges) by Ethnicity/Race	29

G. Top Ten Most Common Felony/GM Charges	35
1. Percentage of Overall Felony/Gross Misdemeanor Referrals	35
2. Top Ten Most Common Charges Felony/GM Charges by Ethnicity/Race	35
H. Prior Referral Statistics	41
1. Number of Prior Referrals	41
2. Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals	44
I. Writ of Attachment Statistics.....	48
1. Issued Writ of Attachment Statistics	48
2. Served Writ of Attachment Statistics	49
3. Quashed Writ of Attachment Statistics	51
VI. INTAKE STATISTICS.....	52
A. Diversion Statistics	52
1. Diversion Types	53
2. Diversions by Ethnicity/Race	54
B. Cases Referred to the District Attorney by Ethnicity	54
VII. DISTRICT ATTORNEY STATISTICS	55
A. Cases Filed by Ethnicity/Race	55
B. Most Serious Charge Category of Filed Referral	55
C. Youth Filed Directly with the Adult Court System by	56
VIII. COURT ACTIONS	56
A. Court Action Types by Referrals.....	56
B. Court Dispositions by Distinct Youth Count.....	59
1. Formal Probation Disposition by Ethnicity/Race	59
2. SMYC Dispositions by Ethnicity/Race	59
3. DCFS Commitments by Ethnicity/Race	60
4. Certifications by Ethnicity/Race	60
IX. BOOKING & DETENTION STATISTICS.....	61
A. Detention Screening.....	61
1. Overall Referral Screening	61
2. Detained RAI Result Categories.....	63
3. Detained RAI Result Category Detail	64
B. Utilization	67
C. Detention Average Length of Stay	67
D. Detention Average Daily Population.....	68
X. ALTERNATIVES TO DETENTION.....	69
A. Pre Adjudicated GPS.....	69
1. Utilization	69
2. Average Length of Stay	69
3. Average Daily Population	69

B. Post Adjudicated GPS.....	70
1. Utilization	70
2. Average Length of Stay	71
3. Average Daily Population	72
C. Home Management.....	70
1. Utilization	70
2. Average Length of Stay	71
3. Average Daily Population	72
XI. SPRING MOUNTAIN YOUTH CAMP STATISTICS	73
A. Spring Mountain Youth Camp	73
1. Utilization	73
2. Average Length of Stay	74
3. Average Daily Population	74
XII. PROBATION STATISTICS.....	75
A. Utilization	75
B. Average Assignment Length	76
C. Average Probation Caseload	76
XIII. INTENSIVE SUPERVISION.....	77
A. ISU Statistics.....	77
1. Utilization	77
2. Average Assignment Length	78
3. Average Caseload	78
B. Drug Court Statistics.....	78
1. Utilization	78
2. Average Assignment Length	79
3. Average Caseload	79
C. JSO Statistics	80
1. Utilization	80
2. Average Assignment Length	81
3. Average Caseload	81
XIV. WORKLOAD SUMMARY.....	82

I. Introduction

The issues surrounding juvenile delinquency are complex and multifaceted. In particular, these issues may involve: education, family structure, mental health, social economics, and support systems. As a means to bring about a more positive impact towards reducing juvenile delinquency, each of these areas should continue to be addressed through programs, policies, and community organizations. Based on this premise, the Department of Juvenile Justice Services (DJJS) actively seeks collaborative partnerships with various government entities, community organizations, and the general public.

By increasing the involvement of stakeholders in the juvenile justice system of care, the objective is to develop and implement solutions that address the root causes of juvenile delinquency. By addressing the source of the issue, the intended byproduct is preventing the offense from occurring in the first place. In time, these proactive measures would thereby reduce the number of youth referrals to the DJJS.

In order to successfully identify the source of the issues, information sharing is critical. To effectively create solutions, stakeholders must make evidence-based decisions. With the juvenile justice information system (FamilyTRACs), the DJJS commits to providing its stakeholders with the relevant statistics necessary to make informed policy or program decisions. The following annual statistical report is one example of the department's commitment to sharing information.

The annual statistical report begins by providing background information on the DJJS. Then the report illustrates how a case works its way through the department. Next, the report provides statistics related to the State of Nevada and Clark County. Finally, the remaining sections are devoted to a collection of reports that have been generated through the FamilyTRACS database.

The reports from the database were selected as a means to provide an overview to the juvenile justice system. The objective of this overview is to provide stakeholders with access to valuable information relating to the dynamics of juvenile delinquency in Clark County. Additionally, the summary may be useful in planning for additional services and improved processes throughout the system of care for our community's juvenile population. The reports are by no means all-inclusive. The report will continue to evolve, as there is a progressive demand for more information.

II. Department Information

The DJJS is a unique and complex public service agency that performs more than the traditional juvenile court functions of probation and detention. In particular, DJJS provides intervention services, guidance and control for youth referred to the department for acts of delinquency.

To accomplish its mission, the department consists of the following divisions: Administration and Central Support, Applications, Research, and Technology (ART), Detention, Probation, Clinical Services, and the Spring Mountain Youth Camp (SMYC).

The Administration and Central Support division is responsible for administering the daily business operations of the department. Daily operations would include human resources, records, financial services, and responding to public inquiries. Along with daily operations, this division is responsible for the payment center, which receives payments for court ordered fines, fees, work permits, restitution and custodial reimbursements.

The ART unit is responsible for the development and support of the information technology needs of the department. The ART Unit is also responsible for capturing and generating data for statistical, performance, and management reporting.


The Detention center is a 192-bed facility located at the DJJS campus. The facility temporarily houses male and female youth with pending court hearings or correctional placements. In order to bridge the system of care, this facility provides medical and mental health services.

The Probation division provides intake and diversionary services to youth referred to the department for acts of delinquency. For adjudicated youth, the division provides supervision and treatment services. Services are provided on the DJJS campus, within the five neighborhood community centers, or within the community itself.

The Clinical Services division provides a full spectrum of assessment and treatment services to the youth involved with the DJJS and their families. These evaluation, counseling and other treatment services are offered in the institutions, in home and through office visits.

The SMYC is a staff-secure 100-bed correctional facility, which houses male youth between the ages of twelve and eighteen who have been adjudicated for serious or repeat delinquent acts by the Juvenile Court Judge. SMYC is located at Angels Peak in the Mt. Charleston Recreational/Toiyabe National Forest Area.

A. How a case works its way through the DJJS



III. State of Nevada and Clark County Statistics

- The entire state of Nevada covers an area of 110,567 square miles
- Clark County covers an area of 8,012 square miles (about 7% of the entire state)
- **2011 Population Statistics:**
 - The population of the entire state is estimated at 2,723,322
 - The population of Clark County is estimated at 1,934,871 (about 71% of the entire state)
 - The youth population (ages 10-19) of Clark County is estimated at 258,340
 - The Clark County School District (CCSD) had an enrollment of 308,373 students

Sources: Nevada State Demographer, Clark County School District, 2011 estimate


IV. Youth Profiles

The “Youth Profiles” segment provides demographic and background information on the clients we serve at the DJJS. This segment is divided into two sections. The first section details the demographic information of the Clark County youth population in 2011. This information has been collected from Nevada’s State Demographer’s Office and the Clark County School District (CCSD). The charts in this section address the demographic areas of age, gender, and ethnicity/race. The second section details demographic information that is specific to the referred youth population to the DJJS. This section’s information has been retrieved from the DJJS database.


Note: All percentages in this report are rounded to the nearest whole number.

A. Demographic Information


1. Youth Male Population by Age Group (2011 Total – 134,586)




2. Youth Female Population by Age Group (2011 Total – 128,482)




3. Youth Population by Gender (2011 Total – 263,050)



4. Youth Population by Ethnicity/Race (2011 Total –263,050)




5. CCSD Population by Ethnicity/Race- All Grade Levels (2011 Total – 308,373)



B. Background Information on Referred Youth Population

This section provides a basic overview of some characteristics of the referred youth population. For 2011, the total number of referrals to the DJJS was 21,059. The distinct referred youth population was 13,695.


1. Gender of Referred Youth (2011 Referred Youth – 13,695)



a) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Female	5,463	5,184	-5%	5,231	1%	5,166	-1%	4,507	-13%
Male	11,603	11,238	-3%	10,684	-5%	10,080	-6%	9,188	-9%
Total	17,066	16,422	-4%	15,915	-3%	15,246	-4%	13,695	-10%


2. Ethnicity/Race of Referred Youth (2011 Referred Youth – 13,695)



a) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	4,138	4,112	-1%	3,918	-5%	3,654	-7%	3,434	-6%
Asian/Pacific Islander	537	477	-11%	507	6%	495	-2%	445	-10%
Hispanic	6,489	6,322	-3%	6,360	1%	6,216	-2%	5,610	-10%
Other	382	375	-2%	426	14%	438	3%	369	-16%
White	5,520	5,136	-7%	4,704	-8%	4,443	-6%	3,837	-14%
Total	17,066	16,422	-4%	15,915	-3%	15,246	-4%	13,695	-10%

3. Age of Referred Youth by Referral Date (2011 Referrals- 21,059)



As the age of the youth may change with multiple referral dates, each referral is counted.

a) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
8	12	20	67%	26	30%	13	-50%	17	31%
9	44	48	9%	43	-10%	50	16%	37	-26%
10	100	77	-23%	78	1%	88	13%	85	-3%
11	375	323	-14%	340	5%	303	-11%	243	-20%
12	1,039	953	-8%	826	-13%	869	5%	787	-9%
13	2,012	2,092	4%	1,884	-10%	1,765	-6%	1,593	-10%
14	3,976	3,475	-13%	3,276	-6%	3,067	-6%	2,682	-13%
15	5,525	5,272	-5%	4,987	-5%	4,527	-9%	4,146	-8%
16	6,493	6,472	0%	6,606	2%	6,053	-8%	5,297	-12%
17	6,544	6,576	0%	6,583	0%	6,405	-3%	5,475	-15%
18+	591	569	-4%	664	17%	770	16%	697	-9%
Total	26,711	25,877	-3%	25,313	-2%	23,910	-6%	21,059	-12%


4. Household Composition of Referred Youth by Ethnicity/Race

The following charts illustrate the household composition of the referred youth population. The first chart is a summary for all ethnicity/race groups and the subsequent charts provide more detail on each individual ethnicity/race.

The following categories are listed:

- Intact- represents youth residing with two biological parents
- Reconstituted- represents youth residing with one biological parent and one non-biological parent
- Single Father- represents youth residing with biological father
- Single Mother- represents youth residing with biological mother
- Other- represents youth residing with siblings, extended family members or out-of-home placements.


a) Summary Household Composition (2011 Referred Youth – 13,695)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Intact	3,425	3,490	2%	3,556	2%	3,298	-7%	2,765	-16%
Other	4,863	4,025	-17%	3,770	-6%	3,829	2%	3,902	2%
Reconstituted	1,525	1,578	3%	1,556	-1%	1,342	-14%	1,104	-18%
Single Father	1,233	1,247	1%	1,208	-3%	1,087	-10%	931	-14%
Single Mother	6,020	6,082	1%	5,825	-4%	5,690	-2%	4,993	-12%
Total	17,066	16,422	-4%	15,915	-3%	15,246	-4%	13,695	-10%


b) Household Composition for Referred African American/Black Youth (2011 Referred Youth – 3,434)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Intact	397	396	0%	392	-1%	381	-3%	326	-14%
Other	1,271	1,110	-13%	1,029	-7%	1,023	-1%	1,049	3%
Reconstituted	360	405	13%	376	-7%	309	-18%	235	-24%
Single Father	204	210	3%	200	-5%	178	-11%	181	2%
Single Mother	1,906	1,991	4%	1,921	-4%	1,763	-8%	1,643	-7%
Total	4,138	4,112	-1%	3,918	-5%	3,654	-7%	3,434	-6%


c) Household Composition for Referred Asian/Pacific Islander Youth (2011 Referred Youth - 445)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Intact	140	145	4%	139	-4%	111	-20%	99	-11%
Other	159	123	-23%	137	11%	161	18%	160	-1%
Reconstituted	38	31	-18%	39	26%	32	-18%	31	-3%
Single Father	44	42	-5%	44	5%	38	-14%	44	16%
Single Mother	156	136	-13%	148	9%	153	3%	111	-27%
Total	537	477	-11%	507	6%	495	-2%	445	-10%


d) Household Composition for Referred Hispanic Youth (2011 Referred Youth- 5,610)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Intact	1,706	1,790	5%	1,849	3%	1,780	-4%	1,483	-17%
Other	1,658	1,275	-23%	1,298	2%	1,300	0%	1,388	7%
Reconstituted	558	592	6%	614	4%	522	-15%	461	-12%
Single Father	446	454	2%	456	0%	422	-7%	346	-18%
Single Mother	2,121	2,211	4%	2,143	-3%	2,192	2%	1,932	-12%
Total	6,489	6,322	-3%	6,360	1%	6,216	-2%	5,610	-10%

**e) Household Composition for Referred White Youth
(2011 Referred Youth – 3,837)**




1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Intact	1,125	1,103	-2%	1,089	-1%	946	-13%	785	-17%
Other	1,667	1,422	-15%	1,211	-15%	1,232	2%	1,224	-1%
Reconstituted	531	516	-3%	492	-5%	439	-11%	344	-22%
Single Father	521	520	0%	469	-10%	415	-12%	329	-21%
Single Mother	1,676	1,575	-6%	1,443	-8%	1,411	-2%	1,155	-18%
Total	5,520	5,136	-7%	4,704	-8%	4,443	-6%	3,837	-14%

5. Recorded Youth Gang Affiliation from Referred Population (2011 Total - 204)

The following chart illustrates youth gang affiliations, as they are recorded within the DJJS database. Gang affiliations are self-reported. The statistics are retrieved based on the date of the referral. The statistics below are from 2011:




a) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	124	143	15%	130	-9%	106	-18%	79	-25%
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	1	0%	1	0%	2	100%	2	0%
Hispanic	98	110	12%	104	-5%	107	3%	104	-3%
Other	5	5	0%	5	0%	9	80%	9	0%
White	18	18	0%	14	-22%	9	-36%	10	11%
Total	246	277	13%	254	-8%	233	-8%	204	-12%

6. Youth with Prior Referrals

The following statistics illustrate whether or not a youth had a prior referral when they were referred to the DJJS in the year indicated. After providing an overview for the entire referred youth population, specific details will be provided for each ethnicity/race category. In 2011, approximately 53% of those referred to the department had a prior referral.


a) Summary Prior Referral Statistics (2011 Total Youth – 13,695)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Youth with Prior Referrals	8,879	8,706	-2%	8,535	-2%	8,238	-3%	7,250	-12%
Youth with No Prior Record	8,187	7,716	-6%	7,380	-4%	7,008	-5%	6,445	-8%
Total	17,066	16,422	-4%	15,915	-3%	15,246	-4%	13,695	-10%


**b) Prior Referral Statistics for African American/Black Youth
(2011 Referred Total – 3,434)**



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Youth with Prior Referrals	2,466	2,463	0%	2,404	-2%	2,222	-8%	2,007	-10%
Youth with No Prior Record	1,672	1,649	-1%	1,514	-8%	1,432	-5%	1,427	0%
Total	4,138	4,112	-1%	3,918	-5%	3,654	-7%	3,434	-6%


**c) Prior Referral Statistics for Asian/Pacific Islander Youth
(2011 Referred Total - 445)**



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Youth with Prior Referrals	230	198	-14%	187	-6%	197	5%	192	-3%
Youth with No Prior Record	307	279	-9%	320	15%	298	-7%	253	-15%
Total	537	477	-11%	507	6%	495	-2%	445	-10%


d) Prior Referral Statistics for Hispanic Youth (2011 Referred Total – 5,610)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Youth with Prior Referrals	3,279	3,372	3%	3,416	1%	3,401	0%	3,018	-11%
Youth with No Prior Record	3,210	2,950	-8%	2,944	0%	2,815	-4%	2,592	-8%
Total	6,489	6,322	-3%	6,360	1%	6,216	-2%	5,610	-10%


e) Prior Referral Statistics for White Youth (2011 Referred Total – 3,837)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Youth with Prior Referrals	2,701	2,467	-9%	2,310	-6%	2,194	-5%	1,824	-17%
Youth with No Prior Record	2,819	2,669	-5%	2,394	-10%	2,249	-6%	2,013	-10%
Total	5,520	5,136	-7%	4,704	-8%	4,443	-6%	3,837	-14%


f) Percentage Comparison of Youth with Prior Referrals by Ethnicity/Race



7. Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals

The following statistics illustrate whether or not a youth had a prior adjudicated referral when they were referred to the DJJS in the year indicated. After providing an overview for the entire referred youth population, specific details will be provided for each ethnicity/race category. In 2011, approximately 23% of those referred to the department had a prior adjudicated referral.


a) Summary Prior Adjudicated Referral Statistics (2011 Referred Youth – 13,695)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals	3,494	3,627	4%	3,576	-1%	3,513	-2%	3,110	-11%
Youth with No Prior Adjudicated Referrals	13,572	12,795	-6%	12,339	-4%	11,733	-5%	10,585	-10%
Total	17,066	16,422	-4%	15,915	-3%	15,246	-4%	13,695	-10%


b) Prior Adjudicated Referral Statistics for African American/Black Youth (2011 Referred Youth – 3,434)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals	1,183	1,251	6%	1,178	-6%	1,095	-7%	962	-12%
Youth with No Prior Adjudicated Referrals	2,955	2,861	-3%	2,740	-4%	2,559	-7%	2,472	-3%
Total	4,138	4,112	-1%	3,918	-5%	3,654	-7%	3,434	-6%


c) Prior Adjudicated Referral Statistics for Asian/Pacific Islander Youth (2011 Referred Youth - 445)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals	58	55	-5%	59	7%	53	-10%	64	21%
Youth with No Prior Adjudicated Referrals	479	422	-12%	448	6%	442	-1%	381	-14%
Total	537	477	-11%	507	6%	495	-2%	445	-10%


**d) Prior Adjudicated Referral Statistics for Hispanic Youth
(2011 Referred Youth Total – 6,610)**



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals	1,197	1,328	11%	1,365	3%	1,360	0%	1,231	-9%
Youth with No Prior Adjudicated Referrals	5,292	4,994	-6%	4,995	0%	4,856	-3%	4,379	-10%
Total	6,489	6,322	-3%	6,360	1%	6,216	-2%	5,610	-10%


**e) Prior Adjudicated Referral Statistics for White Youth
(2011 Referred Youth – 3,837)**



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals	966	885	-8%	871	-2%	878	1%	743	-15%
Youth with No Prior Adjudicated Referrals	4,554	4,251	-7%	3,833	-10%	3,565	-7%	3,094	-13%
Total	5,520	5,136	-7%	4,704	-8%	4,443	-6%	3,837	-14%


f) Percentage Comparison of Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals by Ethnicity/Race



8. Youth with a Felony or Gross Misdemeanor (F/GM) Referral

The following statistics illustrate whether or not a youth had a felony or gross misdemeanor referral in the year indicated. After providing an overview for the entire referred youth population, specific details will be provided for each ethnicity/race category. In 2011, approximately 38% of those referred to the department had a felony or gross misdemeanor referral.


a) Summary of Youth Charged with a F/GM (2011 Referred Youth – 13,695)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Youth with a F/GM Referral	7,914	7,589	-4%	7,010	-8%	6,271	-11%	5,191	-17%
Youth without a F/GM Referral	9,152	8,833	-3%	8,905	1%	8,975	1%	8,504	-5%
Total	17,066	16,422	-4%	15,915	-3%	15,246	-4%	13,695	-10%


b) African American/Black Youth Charged with a F/GM (2011 Referred Youth –3,434)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Youth with a F/GM Referral	2,382	2,291	-4%	2,101	-8%	1,821	-13%	1,513	-17%
Youth without a F/GM Referral	1,756	1,821	4%	1,817	0%	1,833	1%	1,921	5%
Total	4,138	4,112	-1%	3,918	-5%	3,654	-7%	3,434	-6%


c) Asian/Pacific Islander Youth Charged with a F/GM (2011 Referred Youth - 445)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Youth with a F/GM Referral	207	180	-13%	166	-8%	154	-7%	155	1%
Youth without a F/GM Referral	330	297	-10%	341	15%	341	0%	290	-15%
Total	537	477	-11%	507	6%	495	-2%	445	-10%


d) Hispanic Youth Charged with a F/GM (2011 Referred Youth – 5,610)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Youth with a F/GM Referral	2,879	2,851	-1%	2,701	-5%	2,427	-10%	2,017	-17%
Youth without a F/GM Referral	3,610	3,471	-4%	3,659	5%	3,789	4%	3,593	-5%
Total	6,489	6,322	-3%	6,360	1%	6,216	-2%	5,610	-10%


e) White Youth Charged with a F/GM (2011 Referred Youth – 3,837)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Youth with a F/GM Referral	2,254	2,050	-9%	1,835	-10%	1,658	-10%	1,334	-20%
Youth without a F/GM Referral	3,266	3,086	-6%	2,869	-7%	2,785	-3%	2,503	-10%
Total	5,520	5,136	-7%	4,704	-8%	4,443	-6%	3,837	-14%


f) Percentage Comparison of F/GM Referrals by Ethnicity/Race




9. Top Ten Zip Codes of Referred Youth Population

The following top ten zip codes account for 43% of the referrals received in 2011.

a) Referrals per Top Ten Zip Codes



b) Percentage of Overall Referrals by Top Ten Zip Codes



c) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
89030	1,759	1,903	8%	1,692	-11%	1,506	-11%	1,426	-5%
89115	1,547	1,377	-11%	1,424	3%	1,214	-15%	1,170	-4%
89110	1,729	1,581	-9%	1,695	7%	1,511	-11%	1,110	-27%
89108	1,221	1,210	-1%	1,130	-7%	1,063	-6%	1,016	-4%
89101	1,257	1,179	-6%	1,178	0%	1,061	-10%	956	-10%
89031	984	959	-3%	901	-6%	868	-4%	834	-4%
89121	1,048	926	-12%	951	3%	935	-2%	730	-22%
89032	755	746	-1%	667	-11%	664	0%	700	5%
89107	574	592	3%	645	9%	688	7%	589	-14%
89106	839	898	7%	854	-5%	746	-13%	583	-22%
Total	11,713	11,371	-3%	11,137	-2%	10,256	-8%	9,114	-11%


10. Percentage Summary of Referred Youth Population in 2011

- **Gender:** Male- 67%, Female- 33%
- **Ethnicity/Race:** African American/Black- 25%, Asian/Pacific Islander- 3%, Hispanic- 41%, White- 28%
- **Age:** 15-17 Group- 71%
- **Household Composition:** Single mother- 36%, Intact- 20%
- **Self Reported Gang Affiliation:** 1.5% of Referred Youth Population
- **Prior Referral:** 53% of Referred Youth Population
- **Prior Adjudicated Referral:** 23% of Referred Youth Population
- **Youth with a F/GM Referral:** 38% of Referred Youth Population
- **Zip Codes:** 43% (89030, 89115, 89110, 89108, 89101, 89031, 89121, 89032, 89107, 89106)

V. Referral Statistics

A referral is an action that involves bringing a youth to the attention of the DJJS. This section outlines the total number of referrals compared to the number of involved youth, the types of referrals, sources of referrals, charge categories, most common charges, most common felony and gross misdemeanor charges, the number of prior referrals, and the number of prior adjudicated referrals.

A. Total Number of Referrals Compared to the Number of Involved Youth




1. Annual Percentage Difference

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Referrals	26,711	25,877	-3%	25,313	-2%	23,910	-6%	21,059	-12%
Youth	17,066	16,422	-4%	15,915	-3%	15,246	-4%	13,695	-10%

B. Referral Types

Referrals to the Clark County DJJS are received in a variety of ways. A majority of youth comes to the attention of the DJJS through a citation, which involves law enforcement recording the charges of the youth and directing them to appear for an appointment at the Intake division of the DJJS. This process is similar to receiving a traffic ticket. Another major referral source is when the youth being presented to the staff of the DJJS. This “youth present” category would include law enforcement bookings, where a youth is physically taken to the DJJS to be booked and evaluated for detainment. Also, this category would include field bookings, where charges are added to a youth’s record without having the youth physically brought into booking. Other referrals are the result of a summons or a Writ of Attachment, which are court generated documents that require a youth to appear in court. The last type of referral falls under the ICOJ category. ICOJ stands for Interstate Compact on Juveniles, which is a formal process between states where a youth is placed under supervision in one state and supervised in another.

1. Referral Types (2011 Referrals – 21,059)




a) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Citation	14,663	14,094	-4%	13,943	-1%	13,508	-3%	11,653	-14%
ICOJ	191	176	-8%	130	-26%	123	-5%	110	-11%
Summons	502	570	14%	644	13%	587	-9%	601	2%
Writ of Attachment	953	988	4%	844	-15%	979	16%	697	-29%
Youth Present	10,402	10,049	-3%	9,752	-3%	8,713	-11%	7,998	-8%
Total	26,711	25,877	-3%	25,313	-2%	23,910	-6%	21,059	-12%

C. Sources of Referrals

The following statistics illustrate the agency, law enforcement group, or entity that brought a referral to the attention of the DJJS.

1. Top Five Referral Sources by Percentage of Overall Referrals



a) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)


	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
LVMPD	12,929	12,641	-2%	12,123	-4%	10,743	-11%	8,913	-17%
CCSD Police	6,085	5,489	-10%	5,804	6%	6,062	4%	5,898	-3%
DJJS	1,775	1,845	4%	1,906	3%	2,123	11%	2,049	-3%
NLVPD	1,813	2,018	11%	2,054	2%	1,824	-11%	1,742	-4%
HPD	1,564	1,585	1%	1,389	-12%	1,440	4%	932	-35%
District Court	475	477	0%	472	-1%	409	-13%	391	-4%
BCPD	385	295	-23%	224	-24%	201	-10%	181	-10%
Other	370	325	-12%	229	-30%	144	-37%	131	-9%
Clark County Park Police	352	332	-6%	234	-30%	113	-52%	56	-50%
NHP	235	224	-5%	253	13%	196	-23%	162	-17%
City Marshall's Unit	264	222	-16%	207	-7%	170	-18%	146	-14%
Mesquite Police Dept.	170	176	4%	196	11%	175	-11%	182	4%
Nevada Youth Parole	138	128	-7%	107	-16%	187	75%	172	-8%
DCFS	51	52	2%	27	-48%	24	-11%	28	17%
Gaming Control Board	7	2	-71%	18	800%	34	89%	22	-35%

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Nevada Division of Wildlife	7	19	171%	26	37%	18	-31%	9	-50%
UNLV Police	21	6	-71%	10	67%	3	-70%	12	300%
College of Southern NV Police Dept	29	5	-83%	3	-40%	7	133%	3	-57%
Henderson Fire Dept	13	12	-8%	3	-75%	6	100%	2	-67%
Clark County Fire Dept	11	5	-55%	1	-80%	2	100%	15	650%
Tribal Police	2	8	300%	4	-50%	11	175%	1	-91%
Las Vegas Fire & Rescue	5	2	-60%	7	250%	5	-29%	4	-20%
NLV Fire Dept	4	2	-50%	2	0%	4	100%	3	-25%
Federal Marshall's Office	0	0	-	4	-	3	-25%	3	0%
National Park Service	3	3	0%	1	-67%	2	100%	0	-100%
DFS	1	4	300%	0	-100%	0	-	1	-
Union Pacific Police	0	0	-	4	-	2	-50%	0	-100%
P&P - Nevada Adult Parole & Probation	0	0	-	2	-	0	-100%	1	-
BLM - Bureau of Land Management	1	0	-100%	1	-	0	-100%	0	-
FBI	1	0	-100%	0	-	1	-	0	-100%
USPS - US Postal Service	0	0	-	2	-	0	-100%	0	-
CIA	0	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-100%
Total	26,711	25,877	-3%	25,313	-2%	23,910	-6%	21,059	-12%

D. Time of Offense

The following statistics represent the time of the youth’s alleged offense. The first section is a line graph that illustrates the number of referrals that corresponds to the offense time. Using the graph, the lowest point is around 6:00am and the highest point is about 10:00am. The second section is the historical statistics for the past five years.

1. Referrals by Time of Offense



a. Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
12:00 AM	1,309	1,266	-3%	1,168	-8%	1,027	-12%	889	-13%
1:00 AM	1,234	1,263	2%	1,174	-7%	1,122	-4%	883	-21%
2:00 AM	1,066	1,000	-6%	960	-4%	927	-3%	749	-19%
3:00 AM	708	746	5%	684	-8%	607	-11%	505	-17%
4:00 AM	490	456	-7%	450	-1%	436	-3%	369	-15%

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
5:00 AM	221	271	23%	235	-13%	226	-4%	182	-19%
6:00 AM	227	190	-16%	179	-6%	161	-10%	148	-8%
7:00 AM	444	447	1%	468	5%	388	-17%	406	5%
8:00 AM	1,162	920	-21%	832	-10%	841	1%	856	2%
9:00 AM	1,997	1,690	-15%	1,686	0%	1,650	-2%	1,461	-11%
10:00 AM	1,773	1,801	2%	2,032	13%	2,090	3%	1,915	-8%
11:00 AM	1,467	1,615	10%	1,523	-6%	1,525	0%	1,414	-7%
12:00 PM	1,521	1,380	-9%	1,487	8%	1,314	-12%	1,331	1%
1:00 PM	1,600	1,514	-5%	1,498	-1%	1,388	-7%	1,315	-5%
2:00 PM	1,439	1,423	-1%	1,311	-8%	1,377	5%	1,144	-17%
3:00 PM	1,153	1,205	5%	1,144	-5%	1,229	7%	969	-21%
4:00 PM	1,086	1,166	7%	1,181	1%	1,223	4%	1,100	-10%
5:00 PM	1,154	1,143	-1%	1,170	2%	1,094	-6%	914	-16%
6:00 PM	1,078	1,002	-7%	1,033	3%	913	-12%	786	-14%
7:00 PM	914	934	2%	973	4%	861	-12%	714	-17%
8:00 PM	974	894	-8%	906	1%	905	0%	658	-27%
9:00 PM	1,026	1,007	-2%	927	-8%	813	-12%	676	-17%
10:00 PM	1,096	1,070	-2%	1,034	-3%	759	-27%	707	-7%
11:00 PM	1,381	1,298	-6%	1,129	-13%	912	-19%	858	-6%
Unknown	191	176	-8%	129	-27%	122	-5%	110	-10%
Total	26,711	25,877	-3%	25,313	-2%	23,910	-6%	21,059	-12%

E. Maximum Charge Categories

Nevada Revised Statutes group charges for which Juveniles can be referred into eleven categories based upon their nature and type of victims. The following category types are used throughout this report:

- **Crimes Against Persons:** A crime against the body of another human being.
- **Crimes Against Property:** A crime against another's property. These offenses included such charges as larceny, receiving stolen goods and embezzlement.
- **Crimes Against Public Health and Safety:** A crime traditionally viewed as endangering the whole of society. In Nevada, these offenses include those related to alcohol, tobacco, and weapons.
- **Crimes Against the Public:** A crime that impairs the administration of justice. For example - bribery, perjury, falsifying evidence and obstruction.
- **Drugs and Controlled Substance Crimes:** An offense that violates any of the laws designed to control the distribution, classification, sale, and use of certain drugs.
- **Local Code Crime:** A violation of a statute that relates to or operates in a particular locality rather than the entire state.

- **Malicious Mischief Crimes:** Offenses that involve intentionally destroying or damaging another's property.
- **Miscellaneous Crimes:** Offenses defined by what the legislature chose to put in Chapter 207 of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS). Included here, as major categories, are habitual criminal laws, vagrancy, interference with emergency communications, deceptive advertising, and racketeering. Also, in this category is a group called "Miscellaneous" which includes coercion, trespass, false 911 call, annoying a minor, false crime report and the "hate" crime enhancement.
- **Sexual Crimes:** An offense involving unlawful sexual conduct. In Nevada, these are found in NRS Chapter 200 under Crimes Against the Person.
- **Status Offenses:** A type of offense of which a person is guilty by being in a certain condition or of a specific character. Specifically in the Nevada juvenile system, these generally refer to offenses that would not be an offense if committed by an adult. This includes runaway, truancy, unmanageable and curfew.
- **Traffic Crimes:** The violation of prescribed rules of conduct to promote the orderly and safe flow of people, animals, vehicles and vessels.

In addition, the DJJS uses an additional category of "Charge Types" known as "Administrative Actions" in order to record actions that, though they are not actual offenses, are related to them. These actions, recorded as charges, include the following major actions:

Writ of Attachments
Courtesy Holds
Drug Court
Immigration Holds
Material Witness
Revocation of Release Agreement
Judicial Order


These "Administrative Actions" are not offenses in themselves and therefore do not lead to additional court actions such as petitions or dispositions. They are understood in the jurisdiction to be recordings of events and not as criminal acts. They are usually tied to an actual charge already existing for the juvenile's previous record, or one for which the District Attorney has compiled enough evidence to refer the youth and bring them into custody. For example, a Bench Writ of Attachment is most often issued when a youth fails to appear on a charge at a scheduled hearing. A Bench Writ of Attachment Served is recorded when the youth is brought into Booking, where he or she may or may not be detained. In another example, "Drug Court" is entered as a reason for detaining a youth who is ordered to spend a set number of days in detention as part of a drug treatment program.

The exception to this rule is that there are three actual charge areas under this category, which include: "Other Felony," "Other Gross Misdemeanor," and "Other Misdemeanor."

These entries occur when a charge not listed specifically in Family TRACS is used. Use of these entries requires that the specific name and nature of the charge be recorded in a text box. These charges, then, are subject to petition and disposition, if referred to and accepted by the District Attorney.

The following charge category statistics only reflect the most serious charge at the time of referral.

1. Percentage of Overall Referrals by Charge Category




a) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Administrative Actions	2,293	2,324	1%	2,264	-3%	2,088	-8%	1,842	-12%
Crimes Against Persons	3,299	3,072	-7%	2,996	-2%	2,833	-5%	2,525	-11%
Crimes Against Property	4,546	4,645	2%	4,574	-2%	4,149	-9%	3,425	-17%
Crimes Against Public Health and Safety	1,927	1,778	-8%	1,730	-3%	1,616	-7%	1,206	-25%
Crimes Against the Public	2,254	2,224	-1%	2,167	-3%	2,165	0%	2,133	-1%
Drug and Controlled Substances Crimes	2,492	2,464	-1%	2,649	8%	2,941	11%	3,047	4%
Local Code Crimes	459	422	-8%	381	-10%	347	-9%	229	-34%
Malicious Mischief Crimes	941	1,129	20%	1,014	-10%	737	-27%	616	-16%
Miscellaneous Crimes	1,294	1,243	-4%	1,270	2%	1,194	-6%	858	-28%
Sexual Crimes	209	204	-2%	169	-17%	156	-8%	146	-6%
Status Offenses	5,127	4,682	-9%	4,515	-4%	4,412	-2%	3,885	-12%
Traffic Crimes	1,870	1,690	-10%	1,584	-6%	1,272	-20%	1,145	-10%
Total	26,711	25,877	-3%	25,313	-2%	23,910	-6%	21,059	-12%

F. Top Ten Most Common Charges (All Charges)

The following charge statistics only reflect the most serious charge at the time of referral.



1. Percentage of Overall Referrals


a) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Habitual Truancy	2,637	2,205	-16%	2,412	9%	2,511	4%	2,294	-9%
Petty Larceny	1,441	1,771	23%	2,079	17%	2,023	-3%	1,571	-22%
Battery	1,972	1,929	-2%	1,901	-1%	1,817	-4%	1,664	-8%
Curfew - General	1,830	1,979	8%	1,789	-10%	1,683	-6%	1,138	-32%
Possession of Marijuana	1,166	1,216	4%	1,350	11%	1,341	-1%	1,322	-1%
Possession or Consumption of Alcohol	1,301	1,204	-7%	1,273	6%	1,261	-1%	864	-31%
Violation of Probation	770	822	7%	757	-8%	852	13%	826	-3%
Burglary	1,259	1,195	-5%	981	-18%	829	-15%	717	-14%
Judicial Order	631	718	14%	706	-2%	712	1%	663	-7%
Trespass	811	753	-7%	793	5%	661	-17%	440	-33%

2. Top Ten Most Common Charges (All Charges) by Ethnicity/Race

For each charge, the first chart will illustrate 2011 statistics and the second chart will illustrate historical statistics for the maximum charges from 2007 to 2011.


a) Habitual Truancy (2011 Referrals – 2,294)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	425	344	-19%	420	22%	418	0%	389	-7%
Asian/Pacific Islander	51	62	22%	50	-19%	60	20%	61	2%
Hispanic	1,373	1,140	-17%	1,310	15%	1,454	11%	1,257	-14%
Other	82	69	-16%	89	29%	83	-7%	79	-5%
White	706	590	-16%	543	-8%	496	-9%	508	2%
Total	2,637	2,205	-16%	2,412	9%	2,511	4%	2,294	-9%


b) Petty Larceny (2011 Referrals – 1,571)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	439	631	44%	567	-10%	601	6%	436	-27%
Asian/Pacific Islander	66	69	5%	103	49%	109	6%	86	-21%
Hispanic	414	542	31%	740	37%	703	-5%	610	-13%
Other	43	34	-21%	52	53%	64	23%	50	-22%
White	479	495	3%	617	25%	546	-12%	389	-29%
Total	1,441	1,771	23%	2,079	17%	2,023	-3%	1,571	-22%


c) Battery (2011 Referrals – 1,664)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	570	533	-6%	531	0%	456	-14%	504	11%
Asian/Pacific Islander	48	59	23%	32	-46%	44	38%	34	-23%
Hispanic	581	612	5%	609	0%	606	0%	507	-16%
Other	68	61	-10%	70	15%	79	13%	64	-19%
White	705	664	-6%	659	-1%	632	-4%	555	-12%
Total	1,972	1,929	-2%	1,901	-1%	1,817	-4%	1,664	-8%


d) Curfew- General (2011 Referrals – 1,138)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	430	504	17%	469	-7%	449	-4%	348	-22%
Asian/Pacific Islander	61	59	-3%	58	-2%	56	-3%	44	-21%
Hispanic	681	674	-1%	671	0%	593	-12%	351	-41%
Other	27	35	30%	31	-11%	47	52%	28	-40%
White	631	707	12%	560	-21%	538	-4%	367	-32%
Total	1,830	1,979	8%	1,789	-10%	1,683	-6%	1,138	-32%


e) Possession of Marijuana (2011 Referrals – 1,322)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	243	269	11%	277	3%	282	2%	279	-1%
Asian/Pacific Islander	24	27	13%	41	52%	37	-10%	40	8%
Hispanic	434	427	-2%	553	30%	586	6%	592	1%
Other	18	22	22%	28	27%	25	-11%	30	20%
White	447	471	5%	451	-4%	411	-9%	381	-7%
Total	1,166	1,216	4%	1,350	11%	1,341	-1%	1,322	-1%


f) Possession or Consumption of Alcohol (2011 Referrals – 864)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	99	130	31%	104	-20%	134	29%	96	-28%
Asian/Pacific Islander	49	28	-43%	62	121%	49	-21%	32	-35%
Hispanic	474	459	-3%	570	24%	558	-2%	363	-35%
Other	24	22	-8%	26	18%	28	8%	23	-18%
White	655	565	-14%	511	-10%	492	-4%	350	-29%
Total	1,301	1,204	-7%	1,273	6%	1,261	-1%	864	-31%


g) Violation of Probation (2011 Referrals - 826)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	297	306	3%	246	-20%	257	4%	278	8%
Asian/Pacific Islander	12	8	-33%	10	25%	13	30%	23	77%
Hispanic	232	283	22%	283	0%	324	14%	292	-10%
Other	26	31	19%	25	-19%	32	28%	33	3%
White	203	194	-4%	193	-1%	226	17%	200	-12%
Total	770	822	7%	757	-8%	852	13%	826	-3%


h) Burglary (2011 Referrals - 717)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	402	369	-8%	345	-7%	268	-22%	223	-17%
Asian/Pacific Islander	43	29	-33%	26	-10%	19	-27%	19	0%
Hispanic	438	446	2%	339	-24%	299	-12%	241	-19%
Other	33	41	24%	29	-29%	28	-3%	25	-11%
White	343	310	-10%	242	-22%	215	-11%	209	-3%
Total	1,259	1,195	-5%	981	-18%	829	-15%	717	-14%


i) Judicial Order (2011 Referrals - 663)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	140	156	11%	171	10%	172	1%	149	-13%
Asian/Pacific Islander	9	10	11%	10	0%	10	0%	13	30%
Hispanic	267	337	26%	327	-3%	315	-4%	312	-1%
Other	24	27	13%	18	-33%	19	6%	12	-37%
White	191	188	-2%	180	-4%	196	9%	177	-10%
Total	631	718	14%	706	-2%	712	1%	663	-7%

j) Trespass (2011 Referrals - 440)




1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	275	270	-2%	335	24%	269	-20%	185	-31%
Asian/Pacific Islander	13	7	-46%	10	43%	6	-40%	9	50%
Hispanic	237	297	25%	282	-5%	236	-16%	155	-34%
Other	17	28	65%	14	-50%	15	7%	9	-40%
White	269	151	-44%	152	1%	135	-11%	82	-39%
Total	811	753	-7%	793	5%	661	-17%	440	-33%

G. Top Ten Most Common Felony/Gross Misdemeanor Charges

The following charge statistics only reflect the most serious charge at the time of referral.

1. Percentage of Overall Felony/Gross Misdemeanor Referrals




a) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Burglary	1,259	1,195	-5%	981	-18%	829	-15%	717	-14%
Under the Influence or Use of a Controlled Substance	392	330	-16%	362	10%	441	22%	523	19%
Possession of Controlled Substance	117	131	12%	118	-10%	157	33%	233	48%
Graffiti	145	224	54%	319	42%	268	-16%	202	-25%
Assault with a Deadly Weapon	231	200	-13%	203	2%	177	-13%	168	-5%
Robbery	232	249	7%	218	-12%	217	0%	164	-24%
Malicious Destruction of Property	284	328	15%	285	-13%	158	-45%	152	-4%
Grand Larceny	248	207	-17%	196	-5%	178	-9%	142	-20%
Possession of Controlled Substance with Intent to Sell	149	135	-9%	120	-11%	173	44%	136	-21%
Home Invasion	185	189	2%	187	-1%	132	-29%	97	-27%

2. Top Ten Most Common Charges Felony/Gross Misdemeanor Charges by Ethnicity/Race

For each charge, the first chart will illustrate 2011 statistics and the second chart will illustrate historical statistics for the maximum felony/gross misdemeanor charges from 2007 to 2011.


a) Burglary (2011 Referrals - 717)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	402	369	-8%	345	-7%	268	-22%	223	-17%
Asian/Pacific Islander	43	29	-33%	26	-10%	19	-27%	19	0%
Hispanic	438	446	2%	339	-24%	299	-12%	241	-19%
Other	33	41	24%	29	-29%	28	-3%	25	-11%
White	343	310	-10%	242	-22%	215	-11%	209	-3%
Total	1,259	1,195	-5%	981	-18%	829	-15%	717	-14%


b) Under the Influence of a Controlled Substance (2011 Referrals - 523)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	76	63	-17%	94	49%	103	10%	125	21%
Asian/Pacific Islander	6	4	-33%	3	-25%	5	67%	11	120%
Hispanic	156	142	-9%	129	-9%	164	27%	207	26%
Other	11	12	9%	13	8%	18	38%	18	0%
White	143	109	-24%	123	13%	151	23%	162	7%
Total	392	330	-16%	362	10%	441	22%	523	19%


c) Graffiti (2011 Referrals - 202)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	6	12	100%	21	75%	13	-38%	13	0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	7	133%	9	29%	10	11%	3	-70%
Hispanic	107	164	53%	236	44%	189	-20%	161	-15%
Other	3	3	0%	7	133%	4	-43%	1	-75%
White	26	38	46%	46	21%	52	13%	24	-54%
Total	145	224	54%	319	42%	268	-16%	202	-25%


d) Robbery (2011 Referrals - 164)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	130	141	8%	127	-10%	122	-4%	83	-32%
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	0	-100%	4	-	2	-50%	2	0%
Hispanic	52	57	10%	51	-11%	50	-2%	52	4%
Other	7	11	57%	9	-18%	6	-33%	3	-50%
White	42	40	-5%	27	-33%	37	37%	24	-35%
Total	232	249	7%	218	-12%	217	0%	164	-24%


e) Grand Larceny (2011 Referrals - 142)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	71	62	-13%	56	-10%	56	0%	44	-21%
Asian/Pacific Islander	9	7	-22%	11	57%	7	-36%	8	14%
Hispanic	78	81	4%	61	-25%	66	8%	51	-23%
Other	7	4	-43%	8	100%	7	-13%	9	29%
White	83	53	-36%	60	13%	42	-30%	30	-29%
Total	248	207	-17%	196	-5%	178	-9%	142	-20%


f) Assault with a Deadly Weapon (2011 Referrals - 168)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	74	79	7%	73	-8%	52	-29%	52	0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	3	0%	4	33%	4	0%	6	50%
Hispanic	67	57	-15%	61	7%	63	3%	57	-10%
Other	7	4	-43%	10	150%	7	-30%	5	-29%
White	80	57	-29%	55	-4%	51	-7%	48	-6%
Total	231	200	-13%	203	2%	177	-13%	168	-5%


g) Possession of Controlled Substance with Intent to Sell (2011 Referrals - 136)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	67	46	-31%	48	4%	49	2%	43	-12%
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	3	50%	4	33%	8	100%	9	13%
Hispanic	40	53	33%	37	-30%	63	70%	44	-30%
Other	2	4	100%	6	50%	3	-50%	7	133%
White	38	29	-24%	25	-14%	50	100%	33	-34%
Total	149	135	-9%	120	-11%	173	44%	136	-21%


h) Malicious Destruction of Property (2011 Referrals - 152)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	40	56	40%	43	-23%	20	-53%	14	-30%
Asian/Pacific Islander	8	10	25%	9	-10%	6	-33%	4	-33%
Hispanic	147	159	8%	162	2%	90	-44%	95	6%
Other	1	15	1400%	10	-33%	3	-70%	5	67%
White	88	88	0%	61	-31%	39	-36%	34	-13%
Total	284	328	15%	285	-13%	158	-45%	152	-4%


i) Possession of Controlled Substance (2011 Referrals - 233)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	17	19	12%	18	-5%	25	39%	31	24%
Asian/Pacific Islander	4	9	125%	1	-89%	3	200%	10	233%
Hispanic	48	44	-8%	36	-18%	47	31%	94	100%
Other	4	6	50%	6	0%	4	-33%	2	-50%
White	44	53	20%	57	8%	78	37%	96	23%
Total	117	131	12%	118	-10%	157	33%	233	48%

j) Home Invasion (2011 Referrals - 97)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)


	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	88	92	5%	77	-16%	71	-8%	53	-25%
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	3	0%	3	0%	0	-100%	0	-
Hispanic	41	58	41%	56	-3%	40	-29%	26	-35%
Other	3	7	133%	9	29%	2	-78%	4	100%
White	50	29	-42%	42	45%	19	-55%	14	-26%
Total	185	189	2%	187	-1%	132	-29%	97	-27%

H. Prior Referral Statistics

1. Number of Prior Referrals

The following statistics illustrate the number of prior referrals that a youth had when they were referred in 2011 to the DJJS. After providing an overview for all referrals, specific details will be provided for each ethnicity/race category.


a) Summary of Prior Referrals (2011 Referrals – 21,059)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
1	4,728	4,386	-7%	4,250	-3%	3,985	-6%	3,449	-13%
2	2,964	2,825	-5%	2,664	-6%	2,502	-6%	2,194	-12%
3	2,069	1,970	-5%	1,924	-2%	1,788	-7%	1,548	-13%
4	1,502	1,483	-1%	1,502	1%	1,352	-10%	1,167	-14%
5	1,112	1,164	5%	1,168	0%	1,051	-10%	913	-13%
6+	4,338	4,754	10%	4,916	3%	4,828	-2%	4,187	-13%
None	9,998	9,295	-7%	8,889	-4%	8,404	-5%	7,601	-10%
Total	26,711	25,877	-3%	25,313	-2%	23,910	-6%	21,059	-12%


b) Prior Referral Statistics for African American/Black Youth (2011 Referrals – 5,618)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
1	1,153	1,085	-6%	1,059	-2%	918	-13%	879	-4%
2	801	775	-3%	702	-9%	657	-6%	583	-11%
3	592	580	-2%	536	-8%	495	-8%	434	-12%
4	453	452	0%	442	-2%	399	-10%	317	-21%
5	343	359	5%	364	1%	327	-10%	272	-17%
6+	1,578	1,660	5%	1,685	2%	1,607	-5%	1,397	-13%
None	2,103	2,041	-3%	1,863	-9%	1,765	-5%	1,736	-2%
Total	7,023	6,952	-1%	6,651	-4%	6,168	-7%	5,618	-9%


c) Prior Referral Statistics for Asian/Pacific Islander Youth (2011 Referrals - 610)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
1	146	124	-15%	108	-13%	121	12%	111	-8%
2	67	65	-3%	61	-6%	61	0%	61	0%
3	34	27	-21%	42	56%	40	-5%	39	-3%
4	22	21	-5%	20	-5%	26	30%	32	23%
5	21	13	-38%	14	8%	20	43%	16	-20%
6+	46	37	-20%	46	24%	48	4%	63	31%
None	353	321	-9%	351	9%	344	-2%	288	-16%
Total	689	608	-12%	642	6%	660	3%	610	-8%


d) Prior Referral Statistics for Hispanic Youth (2011 Referrals – 8,651)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
1	1,853	1,782	-4%	1,724	-3%	1,706	-1%	1,419	-17%
2	1,169	1,114	-5%	1,101	-1%	1,041	-5%	922	-11%
3	822	794	-3%	782	-2%	734	-6%	654	-11%
4	572	623	9%	625	0%	552	-12%	498	-10%
5	416	474	14%	485	2%	428	-12%	382	-11%
6+	1,362	1,754	29%	2,001	14%	1,961	-2%	1,714	-13%
None	3,943	3,593	-9%	3,560	-1%	3,391	-5%	3,062	-10%
Total	10,137	10,134	0%	10,278	1%	9,813	-5%	8,651	-12%


e) Prior Referral Statistics for White Youth (2011 Referrals – 5,573)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
1	1,486	1,312	-12%	1,253	-4%	1,156	-8%	962	-17%
2	859	803	-7%	735	-8%	677	-8%	564	-17%
3	570	523	-8%	500	-4%	466	-7%	369	-21%
4	419	353	-16%	371	5%	323	-13%	283	-12%
5	292	294	1%	271	-8%	237	-13%	214	-10%
6+	1,213	1,093	-10%	1,047	-4%	1,046	0%	849	-19%
None	3,377	3,137	-7%	2,867	-9%	2,662	-7%	2,332	-12%
Total	8,216	7,515	-9%	7,044	-6%	6,567	-7%	5,573	-15%


f) Summary of the Number of Prior Referrals by Ethnicity and the Percentage of Overall Referrals in 2011



2. Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals

The following statistics illustrate the number of prior adjudicated referrals that a youth had when they were referred in 2011 to the DJJS. Unlike the previous section, the prior referrals must be adjudicated. After providing an overview for all referrals, specific details will be provided for each ethnicity/race category.


a) Summary of the Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals (2011 Referrals – 21,059)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
1	3,315	3,196	-4%	3,195	0%	2,949	-8%	2,587	-12%
2	1,999	2,167	8%	2,013	-7%	1,929	-4%	1,643	-15%
3	1,223	1,309	7%	1,272	-3%	1,238	-3%	1,142	-8%
4	655	757	16%	781	3%	730	-7%	634	-13%
5	427	484	13%	544	12%	488	-10%	466	-5%
6+	597	626	5%	719	15%	899	25%	661	-26%
None	18,495	17,338	-6%	16,789	-3%	15,677	-7%	13,926	-11%
Total	26,711	25,877	-3%	25,313	-2%	23,910	-6%	21,059	-12%


b) Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals for each Referral of African American/Black Youth (2011 Referrals – 5,618)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
1	1,092	1,048	-4%	956	-9%	843	-12%	785	-7%
2	603	702	16%	648	-8%	601	-7%	505	-16%
3	410	422	3%	404	-4%	401	-1%	360	-10%
4	244	252	3%	284	13%	217	-24%	199	-8%
5	179	204	14%	194	-5%	157	-19%	152	-3%
6+	247	261	6%	276	6%	341	24%	246	-28%
None	4,248	4,063	-4%	3,889	-4%	3,608	-7%	3,371	-7%
Total	7,023	6,952	-1%	6,651	-4%	6,168	-7%	5,618	-9%


c) Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals for each Referral of Asian/Pacific Islander Youth (2011 Referrals - 610)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
1	51	45	-12%	58	29%	47	-19%	53	13%
2	39	24	-38%	23	-4%	33	43%	38	15%
3	11	9	-18%	22	144%	16	-27%	25	56%
4	6	9	50%	5	-44%	11	120%	8	-27%
5	7	6	-14%	5	-17%	10	100%	4	-60%
6+	4	2	-50%	7	250%	4	-43%	11	175%
None	571	513	-10%	522	2%	539	3%	471	-13%
Total	689	608	-12%	642	6%	660	3%	610	-8%


d) Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals for each Referral of Hispanic Youth (2011 Referrals – 8,651)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
1	1,240	1,232	-1%	1,260	2%	1,152	-9%	1,045	-9%
2	738	861	17%	809	-6%	781	-3%	654	-16%
3	399	532	33%	532	0%	475	-11%	450	-5%
4	206	268	30%	303	13%	323	7%	260	-20%
5	99	167	69%	214	28%	187	-13%	212	13%
6+	159	200	26%	261	31%	351	34%	252	-28%
None	7,296	6,874	-6%	6,899	0%	6,544	-5%	5,778	-12%
Total	10,137	10,134	0%	10,278	1%	9,813	-5%	8,651	-12%


e) Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals for each Referral of White Youth (2011 Referrals – 5,573)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
1	859	789	-8%	829	5%	797	-4%	614	-23%
2	546	518	-5%	480	-7%	443	-8%	382	-14%
3	357	299	-16%	271	-9%	317	17%	265	-16%
4	178	185	4%	158	-15%	150	-5%	140	-7%
5	129	87	-33%	113	30%	109	-4%	86	-21%
6+	175	133	-24%	156	17%	169	8%	130	-23%
None	5,972	5,504	-8%	5,037	-8%	4,582	-9%	3,956	-14%
Total	8,216	7,515	-9%	7,044	-6%	6,567	-7%	5,573	-15%

f) Summary of the Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals by Ethnicity and the Percentage of Overall Referrals in 2011




I. Writ of Attachment Statistics

The following statistics are based on the date the Writ of Attachment was issued, served, or quashed. There are three types of Writ of Attachments: AW- Arrest Writ, BW- Bench Writ, TW- Traffic Writ.

1. Issued Writ of Attachment Statistics


a) Issued Arrest Writ Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2011 Writs- 569)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	319	336	5%	269	-20%	284	6%	198	-30%
Asian/Pacific Islander	12	11	-8%	8	-27%	14	75%	13	-7%
Hispanic	221	272	23%	248	-9%	279	13%	205	-27%
Other	32	33	3%	30	-9%	47	57%	32	-32%
White	230	230	0%	177	-23%	221	25%	121	-45%
Total	814	882	8%	732	-17%	845	15%	569	-33%


b) Issued Bench Writ Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2011 Writs- 776)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	485	437	-10%	446	2%	314	-30%	253	-19%
Asian/Pacific Islander	18	10	-44%	29	190%	17	-41%	18	6%
Hispanic	500	477	-5%	538	13%	338	-37%	331	-2%
Other	51	57	12%	73	28%	33	-55%	25	-24%
White	311	282	-9%	322	14%	199	-38%	149	-25%
Total	1,365	1,263	-7%	1,408	11%	901	-36%	776	-14%

c) Issued Traffic Writ of Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2011 Writs - 49)




1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	43	35	-19%	3	-91%	14	367%	14	0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	6	0	-100%	0	-	1	-	2	100%
Hispanic	152	108	-29%	16	-85%	25	56%	22	-12%
Other	4	3	-25%	0	-100%	2	-	1	-50%
White	47	25	-47%	2	-92%	11	450%	10	-9%
Total	252	171	-32%	21	-88%	53	152%	49	-8%

2. Served Writ of Attachment Statistics


a) Served Arrest Writ Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2011 Writs - 505)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	264	284	8%	234	-18%	242	3%	174	-28%
Asian/Pacific Islander	9	6	-33%	10	67%	10	0%	12	20%
Hispanic	167	220	32%	199	-10%	245	23%	176	-28%
Other	21	24	14%	24	0%	25	4%	31	24%
White	202	174	-14%	156	-10%	174	12%	112	-36%
Total	663	708	7%	623	-12%	696	12%	505	-27%


b) Served Bench Writ Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2011 Writs - 532)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	340	301	-11%	276	-8%	225	-18%	183	-19%
Asian/Pacific Islander	12	8	-33%	14	75%	9	-36%	13	44%
Hispanic	302	304	1%	322	6%	230	-29%	223	-3%
Other	28	28	0%	32	14%	23	-28%	17	-26%
White	240	176	-27%	194	10%	130	-33%	96	-26%
Total	922	817	-11%	838	3%	617	-26%	532	-14%

c) Served Traffic Writ Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2011 Writs- 25)




1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	19	25	32%	6	-76%	6	0%	8	33%
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	0	-100%	1	-	0	-100%	2	-
Hispanic	44	46	5%	16	-65%	13	-19%	9	-31%
Other	2	1	-50%	1	0%	1	0%	3	200%
White	7	11	57%	2	-82%	0	-100%	3	-
Total	73	83	14%	26	-69%	20	-23%	25	25%

3. Quashed Writ of Attachment Statistics


a) Quashed Arrest Writ Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2011 Writs – 90)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	39	43	10%	27	-37%	41	52%	28	-32%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	1	-	3	200%	4	33%	2	-50%
Hispanic	44	28	-36%	35	25%	41	17%	22	-46%
Other	5	2	-60%	6	200%	9	50%	7	-22%
White	46	43	-7%	34	-21%	42	24%	31	-26%
Total	134	117	-13%	105	-10%	137	30%	90	-34%


b) Quashed Bench Writ Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2011 Writs - 336)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	74	95	28%	86	-9%	176	105%	99	-44%
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	5	67%	8	60%	19	138%	8	-58%
Hispanic	92	87	-5%	139	60%	267	92%	146	-45%
Other	5	6	20%	14	133%	63	350%	8	-87%
White	65	83	28%	113	36%	170	50%	75	-56%
Total	239	276	15%	360	30%	695	93%	336	-52%

c) Quashed Traffic Writ Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2011 Writs - 44)



1) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	19	5	-74%	2	-60%	5	150%	11	120%
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	0	-100%	0	-	0	-	1	-
Hispanic	78	40	-49%	11	-73%	10	-9%	21	110%
Other	1	2	100%	0	-100%	0	-	0	-
White	29	8	-72%	4	-50%	3	-25%	11	267%
Total	129	55	-57%	17	-69%	18	6%	44	144%

VI. Intake Statistics

This section represents two main areas of statistics generated by the intake division, which includes the number of cases diverted and the number of cases referred to the district attorney’s office. The dates reflected represent the date they were diverted or referred to the district attorney.

A. Diversion Statistics


For the purpose of this report, the diversion category represents actions taken by the intake division to deter the referral from moving forward through the court system, which includes the following:

- Case Closed- After a review of the referral, a decision is made to prevent the case from moving forward to the court system.
- Community Service- As a consequence, the youth is tasked with completing a certain number of community service hours.
- Fines and Fees- Monetary payments imposed as part of a resolution for the referral.
- Informal Supervision- The assessment and disposition of a referral is handled informally, without a court petition, but involves ongoing supervision by the DJJS for a period of time.
- Penalties/Consequences- An action taken in resolution of a referral through which the youth is required to make amends for their behavior.

- Referred to other agency- After a review of the referral, it is decided that the youth could be better served through the services of a different agency.
- Service Programs- As a resolution for a referral, the youth is required to attend programs and services of a therapeutic nature.
- Trial by Peers- A jury of the youth’s peers decides on an informal resolution.
- Victim Offender Mediation- As a resolution, the youth is required to participate in a mediation with the victim of their offense.
- Warn and Release- In contrast to informal supervision, the youth is given a warning for their offense and they are released without further supervision by DJJS staff.

1. Diversion Types (2011 Diversions – 10,554)

Through the informal process, the categories below are assigned to a particular charge. As a referral may have more than one charge, one referral may generate multiple diversion categories. Therefore, the subcategories will not add up to the grand total.




a. Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Case Closed	5,386	5,263	-2%	4,858	-8%	3,151	-35%	3,152	0%
Community Service	1,016	1,001	-1%	1,027	3%	897	-13%	989	10%
Fines and Fees	1,104	1,077	-2%	764	-29%	725	-5%	618	-15%
Informal Supervision	4,119	4,487	9%	5,479	22%	6,239	14%	4,572	-27%
Penalties/Consequences	1,067	1,167	9%	1,110	-5%	1,126	1%	962	-15%
Referred to Other Agency	179	152	-15%	104	-32%	82	-21%	62	-24%
Service Programs	2,503	2,976	19%	3,252	9%	3,004	-8%	2,740	-9%
Trial By Peers	142	89	-37%	171	92%	195	14%	205	5%
VOM	98	134	37%	172	28%	153	-11%	148	-3%
Warn and Release	2,371	1,695	-29%	1,878	11%	2,002	7%	1,688	-16%
Total	13,695	13,613	-1%	13,664	0%	12,973	-5%	10,554	-19%

2. Diversions by Ethnicity/Race (2011 Diversions – 10,554)

The statistics below are based on total referrals that were diverted in 2011.




a. Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	2,879	3,122	8%	3,121	0%	2,981	-4%	2,556	-14%
Asian/Pacific Islander	437	404	-8%	430	6%	426	-1%	342	-20%
Hispanic	5,545	5,476	-1%	5,784	6%	5,444	-6%	4,359	-20%
Other	261	259	-1%	270	4%	328	21%	249	-24%
White	4,573	4,352	-5%	4,060	-7%	3,794	-7%	3,048	-20%
Total	13,695	13,613	-1%	13,664	0%	12,973	-5%	10,554	-19%

B. Cases Referred to the District Attorney by Ethnicity (2011 Referrals – 7,976)

These statistics reflect intake referrals to the district attorney’s office. The date represents the date of referral and not the offense date.




1. Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	3,183	2,770	-13%	2,753	-1%	2,572	-7%	2,355	-8%
Asian/Pacific Islander	213	194	-9%	195	1%	203	4%	215	6%
Hispanic	3,589	3,510	-2%	3,666	4%	3,640	-1%	3,147	-14%
Other	249	281	13%	301	7%	291	-3%	267	-8%
White	2,670	2,494	-7%	2,476	-1%	2,255	-9%	1,992	-12%
Total	9,904	9,249	-7%	9,391	2%	8,961	-5%	7,976	-11%

VII. District Attorney Statistics

This section illustrates statistics that are generated by the district attorney's office. In particular, the following statistics include: the number of cases filed, the most serious charge category of a filed referral, and the number of youth filed directly with the adult court system.

A. Cases Filed by Ethnicity/Race (2011 Referrals Filed – 6,614)




1. Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	2,765	2,439	-12%	2,371	-3%	2,216	-7%	1,932	-13%
Asian/Pacific Islander	180	160	-11%	169	6%	155	-8%	158	2%
Hispanic	3,099	3,056	-1%	3,173	4%	3,155	-1%	2,653	-16%
Other	227	253	11%	270	7%	255	-6%	228	-11%
White	2,274	2,075	-9%	2,102	1%	1,986	-6%	1,643	-17%
Total	8,545	7,983	-7%	8,085	1%	7,767	-4%	6,614	-15%

B. Most Serious Charge Category of Filed Referral (2007-2011) (See descriptions on page 26-28)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Administrative Actions	1	1	0%	0	-100%	0	-	0	-
Crimes Against Persons	1,553	1,352	-13%	1,332	-1%	1,239	-7%	1,059	-15%
Crimes Against Property	2,448	2,307	-6%	1,951	-15%	1,595	-18%	1,271	-20%
Crimes Against Public Health and Safety	788	722	-8%	662	-8%	571	-14%	442	-23%
Crimes Against the Public	727	712	-2%	727	2%	779	7%	829	6%
Drug and Controlled Substances Crimes	1,227	1,049	-15%	1,182	13%	1,364	15%	1,330	-2%
Local Code Crimes	112	89	-21%	104	17%	74	-29%	84	14%
Malicious Mischief Crimes	582	688	18%	693	1%	511	-26%	398	-22%
Miscellaneous Crimes	393	370	-6%	395	7%	349	-12%	278	-20%
Sexual Crimes	121	121	0%	117	-3%	99	-15%	89	-10%
Status Offenses	387	374	-3%	768	105%	1,051	37%	716	-32%
Traffic Crimes	206	198	-4%	154	-22%	135	-12%	118	-13%
Total	8,545	7,983	-7%	8,085	1%	7,767	-4%	6,614	-15%

C. Youth Filed Directly with the Adult Court System by Ethnicity/Race (2011 Youth Filed - 22)



1. Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	20	25	25%	32	28%	16	-50%	10	-38%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	1	-	1	0%	0	-100%	0	-
Hispanic	19	21	11%	15	-29%	10	-33%	9	-10%
Other	0	0	-	2	-	0	-100%	0	-
White	6	5	-17%	2	-60%	4	100%	3	-25%
Total	45	52	16%	52	0%	30	-42%	22	-27%

VIII. Court Actions

The following statistics represent decisions made through the formal court process. To reach this level, the District Attorney has filed the charges of the referral. With the charges filed in court, each case is placed under the court’s consideration. The statistics of this section are divided into the following: the different types of court actions, probation dispositions, SMYC dispositions, DCFS dispositions, and the number of youth certified.

A. Court Action Types by Referrals

Through the court process, as a result of a filed charge, a youth may receive the following types of formal court actions:

- Certification to Adult Status- As guided by Nevada Statutes, this is an action that sends a referral from the juvenile court system to be determined through the adult court system.
- Community Service- As a consequence, the youth is ordered to complete a certain number of community service hours.
- Counseling- As part of a court order, the youth is required to receive counseling services.

- Diversion- As a form of a graduated sanction, this action is taken in lieu of a more serious court action.
- Drug Court- As an option for drug related referrals, this action is taken to encourage and monitor the youth's ability to rehabilitate from drug use. Specifically, the youth will be required to take random drug testing.
- Fines and Fees- Monetary payments imposed as part of a resolution for the referral.
- Formal Parole- This action occurs for referred youth that have previously been committed to a State Correctional Facility. Upon release from the correctional facility, State Parole is to provide formal supervision of the youth.
- Formal Supervision- After consideration of the referral, the court decides to place the youth under formal supervision of the DJJS. This action would include the following: formal probation, electronic monitoring, and intensive supervision programs.
- Interstate Compact (ICOJ)- As a result of the court process, a formal agreement is made between states where a youth is placed under supervision in one state and supervised in another.
- Other – This option includes actions such as dismissing charges, no further action, or ordering the youth to follow conditions the Probation Department may deem appropriate. Finally, it includes any specific orders that are not covered in any of the other categories that the judge may impose as being uniquely suited to promoting the successful resolution of individual cases.
- Penalties/Consequences- An action taken in resolution of a referral through which the youth is required to make amends for their behavior.
- Commitment DCFS – As a result of the court process, an order is made to commit the youth to a State Correctional Facility.
- Placed OTHER- This action is used when the youth is ordered to live with relatives, in group homes, or alternative placements rather than DCFS or DJJS facilities.
- Placed SMYC- As a result of the court process, an order is made to place the youth into the Spring Mountain Youth Camp.
- Referred to DJJS- After a review of the referral, it is decided that the resolution can be determined by the DJJS. This would include referrals to probation or traffic.
- Referred to State Parole- After examining of the referral, it is decided that State Parole can determine the resolution. This would include youth that are currently under the formal supervision of State Parole.

- Residential Treatment- For rehabilitation purposes, the youth is ordered to receive residential treatment.
- Restitution- The youth is ordered to make payments to the victims of their offenses. The amount is to be determined by the Victim Assistance Program (VAP).
- Service Programs- As a resolution for a referral, the youth is required to attend programs and services of a therapeutic nature.
- Suspended Commitment- As a graduated sanction, the youth is given an opportunity to rehabilitate without being sent to a State Correctional Facility. However, should any other infractions occur, the youth will be committed to DCFS.
- Suspended Commitment/Formal Probation- In lieu of being committed to a State Correctional Facility, the youth is given formal probation. However, should any infractions occur under probation, the youth would be committed to DCFS.
- Suspended Placement SMYC- As a graduated sanction, the youth is given an opportunity to rehabilitate without being sent to the SMYC, should any other infractions occur, the youth would be placed at the SMYC.


As each individual charge on a referral may be tied to a specific court action, a single referral may generate statistics for multiple categories. As such, the categories below will not add up to the total number of referrals. Additionally, the dates represent the date of the action.

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Certified to Adult Status	107	75	-30%	77	3%	107	39%	63	-41%
Community Service	3,142	3,422	9%	3,247	-5%	3,151	-3%	2,588	-18%
Commitment DCFS	473	410	-13%	488	19%	391	-20%	310	-21%
Counseling	1,299	1,211	-7%	1,204	-1%	1,571	30%	1,487	-5%
Diversion	69	79	14%	71	-10%	62	-13%	36	-42%
Drug Court	213	189	-11%	183	-3%	291	59%	272	-7%
Fines and Fees	256	348	36%	483	39%	513	6%	327	-36%
Formal Parole	598	519	-13%	464	-11%	550	19%	429	-22%
Formal Supervision	3,475	3,419	-2%	3,172	-7%	3,325	5%	2,969	-11%
Interstate Compact (ICOJ)	242	222	-8%	219	-1%	222	1%	161	-27%
Other	8,848	8,526	-4%	8,142	-5%	7,561	-7%	7,087	-6%
Penalties/Consequences	3,187	3,226	1%	3,482	8%	3,618	4%	3,089	-15%
Placed Other	583	601	3%	602	0%	602	0%	582	-3%
Placed SMYC	321	296	-8%	305	3%	320	5%	276	-14%
Referred to DJJS	82	97	18%	62	-36%	26	-58%	23	-12%
Referred to State Parole	50	30	-40%	21	-30%	15	-29%	17	13%
Residential Treatment	44	18	-59%	13	-28%	13	0%	27	108%
Restitution	2,246	2,209	-2%	2,094	-5%	1,324	-37%	1,371	4%
Services/Programs	2,246	2,079	-7%	1,999	-4%	2,007	0%	1,416	-29%
Suspended Commitment	285	265	-7%	251	-5%	290	16%	246	-15%
Suspended Commitment / Formal Probation	895	958	7%	971	1%	869	-11%	801	-8%
Suspended Placement SMYC	357	335	-6%	288	-14%	338	17%	240	-29%
Total	9,957	9,798	-2%	9,283	-5%	8,674	-7%	8,044	-7%

B. Court Dispositions by Distinct Youth Count

This section details the distinct number of youth involved with each of the following court actions: formal probation, SMYC placement, DCFS commitments, and certifications.


1. Formal Probation Disposition by Ethnicity/Race (2011 Dispositions – 2,633)



a. Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	1,002	939	-6%	846	-10%	798	-6%	707	-11%
Asian/Pacific Islander	69	66	-4%	62	-6%	73	18%	76	4%
Hispanic	1,058	1,152	9%	1,183	3%	1,166	-1%	1,067	-8%
Other	78	93	19%	89	-4%	93	4%	86	-8%
White	892	815	-9%	811	0%	821	1%	697	-15%
Total	3,099	3,065	-1%	2,991	-2%	2,951	-1%	2,633	-11%


2. SMYC Dispositions by Ethnicity/Race (2011 Dispositions - 212)



a. Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	82	76	-7%	78	3%	93	19%	66	-29%
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	4	100%	4	0%	4	0%	5	25%
Hispanic	84	80	-5%	92	15%	88	-4%	87	-1%
Other	7	4	-43%	8	100%	7	-13%	6	-14%
White	59	55	-7%	34	-38%	53	56%	48	-9%
Total	234	219	-6%	216	-1%	245	13%	212	-13%


3. DCFS Commitments by Ethnicity/Race (2011 Commitments - 239)



a. Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	153	124	-19%	163	31%	101	-38%	80	-21%
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	2	0%	4	100%	5	25%	1	-80%
Hispanic	123	110	-11%	121	10%	112	-7%	103	-8%
Other	13	9	-31%	11	22%	9	-18%	9	0%
White	77	59	-23%	75	27%	47	-37%	46	-2%
Total	368	304	-17%	374	23%	274	-27%	239	-13%

4. Certifications by Ethnicity/Race (2011 Certifications - 53)



a. Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
African American/Black	45	23	-49%	27	17%	42	56%	24	-43%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	2	#DIV/0!	0	-100%	2	#DIV/0!	1	-50%
Hispanic	25	23	-8%	25	9%	34	36%	19	-44%
Other	1	2	100%	2	0%	1	-50%	1	0%
White	9	13	44%	7	-46%	10	43%	8	-20%
Total	80	63	-21%	61	-3%	89	46%	53	-40%

IX. Booking & Detention Statistics


This section combines statistics that are generated by the booking and detention divisions. Specifically, the following sections are covered: detention screening, utilization, average daily population, and average length of stay.

A. Detention Screening

The following statistics reflect the status of those referrals that have been screened by the booking division. As a procedure to booking a youth, the Risk Assessment Instrument (RAI) is utilized by the DJJS. The RAI is a guideline for determining whether or not a youth is placed into secure detention. To be more specific, the RAI utilizes a scoring system that weighs specified risk factors associated with public safety. The instrument was developed with the intent of finding an objective means to detaining a referred youth. The RAI was implemented into FamilyTRACS in 2005. The following is a description of the categories that are used:

- Detention Requirements Were Not Met- When a youth scores below 15 points and does not meet the mandatory detainment or special detainment circumstances and a discretionary override is not utilized.
- Discretionary Override- Occurs when a youth is detained because of a special circumstance that is not listed as a category in the RAI. To receive an override, approval must be granted by the detention manager and the assistant director of the DJJS.
- Met Scoring Requirements- Occurs when a youth has scored 15 points or more on the RAI.
- Met Requirements of Mandatory Detainment- Occurs when a youth has scored below 15 points on the RAI but meets the standards listed in the mandatory detainment category. Some examples listed in the mandatory detainment category include: judicial orders, previous escapee, and firearm use.
- Met Requirements of a Special Detainment Circumstance- Occurs when a youth has scored below 15 points on the RAI but meets the standards listed in the special detainment circumstance category. Some examples listed in the special detainment category include: Writ of Attachments, institutional holds, and when the youth is from another jurisdiction.

1. Overall Referral Screening (2011 Referrals – 7,462)




a. Historical Data with Ethnicity/Race (2007-2011)

		2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Detainment Requirements Were Not Met	African American/Black	1,592	1,536	-4%	1,430	-7%	1,183	-17%	1,071	-9%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	138	127	-8%	96	-24%	92	-4%	96	4%
	Hispanic	2,109	2,131	1%	2,139	0%	1,726	-19%	1,573	-9%
	Other	135	175	30%	164	-6%	151	-8%	126	-17%
	White	1,576	1,388	-12%	1,324	-5%	1,172	-11%	1,047	-11%
	Total	5,550	5,357	-3%	5,153	-4%	4,324	-16%	3,913	-10%
Discretionary Override	African American/Black	38	54	42%	49	-9%	46	-6%	77	67%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	1	1	0%	4	300%	1	-75%	0	-100%
	Hispanic	24	26	8%	47	81%	51	9%	57	12%
	Other	2	5	150%	2	-60%	12	500%	7	-42%
	White	37	23	-38%	33	43%	61	85%	53	-13%
	Total	102	109	7%	135	24%	171	27%	194	13%
Met RAI Scoring Requirements	African American/Black	648	579	-11%	581	0%	479	-18%	385	-20%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	20	21	5%	36	71%	27	-25%	29	7%
	Hispanic	406	480	18%	421	-12%	373	-11%	374	0%
	Other	39	44	13%	39	-11%	45	15%	31	-31%
	White	321	354	10%	272	-23%	281	3%	229	-19%
	Total	1,434	1,478	3%	1,349	-9%	1,205	-11%	1,048	-13%
Met Requirements of Mandatory Detainment	African American/Black	340	296	-13%	316	7%	317	0%	266	-16%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	15	12	-20%	18	50%	20	11%	20	0%
	Hispanic	413	448	8%	471	5%	477	1%	453	-5%
	Other	40	38	-5%	31	-18%	36	16%	25	-31%
	White	349	276	-21%	288	4%	327	14%	298	-9%
	Total	1,157	1,070	-8%	1,124	5%	1,177	5%	1,062	-10%
Met Requirements of Special Detainment Circumstances	African American/Black	608	561	-8%	470	-16%	424	-10%	454	7%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	17	14	-18%	16	14%	19	19%	25	32%
	Hispanic	534	556	4%	504	-9%	471	-7%	454	-4%
	Other	45	47	4%	50	6%	54	8%	62	15%
	White	420	363	-14%	378	4%	290	-23%	246	-15%
	Total	1,624	1,541	-5%	1,418	-8%	1,258	-11%	1,241	-1%
Other	African American/Black	12	9	-25%	5	-44%	7	40%	2	-71%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
	Hispanic	13	15	15%	16	7%	6	-63%	2	-67%
	Other	0	1	-	1	0%	1	0%	0	-100%
	White	9	7	-22%	9	29%	4	-56%	0	-100%
	Total	34	32	-6%	31	-3%	18	-42%	4	-78%
	Grand Total	9,901	9,587	-3%	9,210	-4%	8,153	-11%	7,462	-8%

2. Detained RAI Result Categories (2011 RAI Total – 3,361)

The following statistics represent only those referrals that resulted in a detainment.



a. Historical Statistics with Ethnicity/Race (2007-2011)

		2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Discretionary Override	African American/Black	38	54	42%	48	-11%	46	-4%	76	65%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	1	1	0%	4	300%	1	-75%	0	-100%
	Hispanic	24	26	8%	46	77%	51	11%	57	12%
	Other	2	5	150%	2	-60%	12	500%	7	-42%
	White	37	22	-41%	32	45%	61	91%	53	-13%
	Total	102	108	6%	132	22%	171	30%	193	13%
Met RAI Scoring Requirements	African American/Black	624	563	-10%	570	1%	470	-18%	374	-20%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	18	19	6%	35	84%	26	-26%	29	12%
	Hispanic	389	463	19%	415	-10%	370	-11%	371	0%
	Other	37	42	14%	38	-10%	44	16%	31	-30%
	White	303	346	14%	266	-23%	275	3%	225	-18%
	Total	1,371	1,433	5%	1,324	-8%	1,185	-10%	1,030	-13%
Met Requirements of Mandatory Detainment	African American/Black	311	278	-11%	301	8%	296	-2%	261	-12%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	14	11	-21%	17	55%	19	12%	19	0%
	Hispanic	381	424	11%	461	9%	468	2%	445	-5%
	Other	35	38	9%	29	-24%	35	21%	24	-31%
	White	323	263	-19%	279	6%	313	12%	292	-7%
	Total	1,064	1,014	-5%	1,087	7%	1,131	4%	1,041	-8%
Met Requirements of Special Detainment Circumstances	African American/Black	500	445	-11%	399	-10%	381	-5%	408	7%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	10	8	-20%	11	38%	17	55%	22	29%
	Hispanic	366	393	7%	371	-6%	408	10%	390	-4%
	Other	36	34	-6%	44	29%	48	9%	55	15%
	White	325	276	-15%	298	8%	246	-17%	221	-10%
	Total	1,237	1,156	-7%	1,123	-3%	1,100	-2%	1,096	0%
Other	African American/Black	3	7	133%	15	114%	7	-53%	0	-100%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-100%
	Hispanic	10	6	-40%	11	83%	4	-64%	0	-100%
	Other	1	1	0%	1	0%	0	-100%	0	-
	White	5	5	0%	7	40%	3	-57%	1	-67%
	Total	19	19	0%	34	79%	15	-56%	1	-93%
Grand Total		3,793	3,730	-2%	3,700	-1%	3,602	-3%	3,361	-7%

3. Detained RAI Result Category Detail (without overrides) (2007-2011)

The statistics of this section represents only those referrals that resulted in a detention. The following is a description of the categories used:

- Court Commitment DCFS- When a detention occurs for those youth who are committed to a State Correctional Facility.
- Court Placement SMYC- When a detention occurs for those youth who are being placed at Spring Mountain Youth Camp.
- Courtesy Hold- Occurs when another jurisdiction or public agency requests that a youth be detained.
- Escapee- When a detention occurs because the youth has previously escaped from the DJJS facility.
- Felony/GM Writ of Attachment- When a detention occurs because a felony or gross misdemeanor Writ of Attachment has been issued for the youth to appear in court.
- Fugitive Hold- When a youth is detained because they have been categorized as a fugitive in another jurisdiction.
- Hospitalization of Victim- A detention that occurs when the victim of the offender is hospitalized.
- Immigration Hold - Occurs when federal authorities request that a youth be detained for immigration proceedings.
- JO- When a detention occurs due to a judicial order.
- JSO Runaway/No placement- When a detention occurs because of the difficulty in finding a placement for a juvenile sexual offender or a runaway.
- Material Witness Order- When a detention occurs at the request of another jurisdiction or public agency for the purposes of ensuring that the youth provides testimony in an upcoming court proceeding.
- Misdemeanor Writ of Attachment- When a detention occurs because misdemeanor Writ of Attachment has been issued for the youth to appear in court. The following initials are used: "A" – Arrest, "B" – Bench, and "T" – Traffic.
- Offense Committed in Det Alt – When a detention occurs because the new offense was committed while the youth was in a detention alternative.

- Offense Committed on Post-Adj CCP – When a detainment occurs because the new offense was committed while the youth was under a post adjudication continuum of care program (CCP). CCP is an intensive supervision program that is administered by the DJJS probation officers.
- Offense Committed on SMYC Aftercare – When a detainment occurs because the new offense was committed while the youth was under the Aftercare program.
- OJ with Charge- This occurs when a youth is charged with an offense and the youth is residing from another jurisdiction.
- Recommended Commitment- When a probation officer is recommending commitment, the youth is detained in order to prevent the youth from being a flight risk.
- Scored 15 Points of More- At 15 points, a youth becomes eligible to be detained.
- SMYC – Institutional Hold – This detainment occurs when a youth from SMYC is being held at Detention. This may occur if a youth was on weekend leave and committed a minor offense.
- SMYC- New Offense – This detainment occurs if the youth commits a new offense during their placement.
- Use/Possession of Firearm- A youth may be detained if they have been charged with the use or possession of a firearm.

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Court Commitment									
DCFS	7	1	-86%	2	100%	0	-100%	2	-
Court Commitment									
SMYC	6	8	33%	2	-75%	1	-50%	3	200%
Courtesy Hold	101	64	-37%	88	38%	57	-35%	75	32%
Escapee	4	3	-25%	7	133%	4	-43%	6	50%
Federal Hold	0	0	-	1	-	1	0%	0	-100%
Felony/GM Arrest									
Warrant	79	44	-44%	84	91%	91	8%	54	-41%
Felony/GM Bench									
Warrant	235	188	-20%	195	4%	163	-16%	137	-16%
Felony/GM Traffic									
Warrant	0	1	-	0	-100%	0	-	0	-
Fugitive Hold	39	17	-56%	29	71%	24	-17%	37	54%
Hospitalization of									
Victim	0	0	-	0	-	2	-	1	-50%
Immigration Hold	2	0	-100%	0	-	0	-	0	-
JO- Drug Court	94	90	-4%	75	-17%	87	16%	130	49%
JO- Outlying Areas	13	18	38%	11	-39%	1	-91%	5	400%

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
JSO Runaway/No Placement	35	38	9%	38	0%	30	-21%	49	63%
Judicial Order	548	620	13%	659	6%	733	11%	640	-13%
Material Witness Order	5	6	20%	3	-50%	3	0%	5	67%
Misdemeanor A/W	400	442	11%	377	-15%	416	10%	332	-20%
Misdemeanor B/W	292	280	-4%	270	-4%	264	-2%	250	-5%
Misdemeanor T/W	6	3	-50%	1	-67%	1	0%	2	100%
Offense Committed in Det Alt	59	50	-15%	57	14%	49	-14%	103	110%
Offense Committed on Post-Adj CCP	113	68	-40%	106	56%	105	-1%	128	22%
Offense Committed on SMYC Aftercare	0	12	-	49	308%	73	49%	64	-12%
OJ with Charge	212	191	-10%	122	-36%	93	-24%	81	-13%
Other	19	19	0%	34	79%	15	-56%	1	-93%
Recommended Commitment	2	0	-100%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Scored 15 Points or More	1,371	1,433	5%	1,324	-8%	1,185	-10%	1,030	-13%
SMYC - Institutional Hold	0	1	-	1	0%	0	-100%	0	-
SMYC - New Offense Use/Possession of Firearm	19	7	-63%	14	100%	12	-14%	12	0%
	30	18	-40%	19	6%	21	11%	21	0%
Total	3,691	3,622	-2%	3,568	-1%	3,431	-4%	3,168	-8%

a. Percentage of Overall Detained Referrals

The figure below illustrates the top four categories of RAI results for those referrals that went through booking and resulted in a detention placement. As illustrated, the top four categories account for 71% of the total amount of referrals that led to a detention placement.




B. Utilization (2011 Detention Placements – 3,362)

This section details the number of youth held in detention at the start of the year, placed during the year, released during the year, and held in detention at the end of the year.

		2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Start of Year	African American/Black	65	66	2%	80	21%	65	-19%	59	-9%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	1	3	200%	2	-33%	2	0%	2	0%
	Hispanic	58	44	-24%	56	27%	48	-14%	54	13%
	Other	5	2	-60%	4	100%	3	-25%	4	33%
	White	50	39	-22%	35	-10%	44	26%	36	-18%
	Total	179	154	-14%	177	15%	162	-8%	155	-4%
New Detentions	African American/Black	1,488	1,357	-9%	1,340	-1%	1,208	-10%	1,119	-7%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	42	38	-10%	66	74%	64	-3%	70	9%
	Hispanic	1,171	1,312	12%	1,304	-1%	1,294	-1%	1,264	-2%
	Other	102	109	7%	109	0%	132	21%	117	-11%
	White	997	915	-8%	883	-3%	905	2%	792	-12%
	Total	3,800	3,731	-2%	3,702	-1%	3,603	-3%	3,362	-7%
Releases	African American/Black	1,487	1,343	-10%	1,355	1%	1,213	-10%	1,142	-6%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	40	39	-3%	66	69%	64	-3%	65	2%
	Hispanic	1,185	1,299	10%	1,312	1%	1,288	-2%	1,284	0%
	Other	105	107	2%	110	3%	132	20%	119	-10%
	White	1,008	920	-9%	874	-5%	913	4%	801	-12%
	Total	3,825	3,708	-3%	3,717	0%	3,610	-3%	3,411	-6%
End of Year	African American/Black	66	80	21%	65	-19%	60	-8%	36	-40%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	3	2	-33%	2	0%	2	0%	7	250%
	Hispanic	44	57	30%	48	-16%	54	13%	34	-37%
	Other	2	4	100%	3	-25%	3	0%	2	-33%
	White	39	34	-13%	44	29%	36	-18%	27	-25%
	Total	154	177	15%	162	-8%	155	-4%	106	-32%


C. Detention Average Length of Stay (2011 ALOS – 18 Days)



1. Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
JAN	18	17	-6%	18	7%	18	-1%	19	3%
FEB	21	22	6%	19	-16%	18	-5%	16	-11%
MAR	19	16	-19%	18	14%	17	-7%	17	2%
APR	16	18	13%	17	-4%	15	-12%	18	19%
MAY	19	20	3%	18	-7%	18	-2%	17	-7%
JUNE	17	17	-2%	18	8%	18	-2%	17	-6%
JULY	19	18	-3%	23	22%	20	-13%	20	1%
AUG	21	17	-16%	22	26%	18	-18%	18	3%
SEP	21	16	-25%	20	29%	18	-8%	18	0%
OCT	19	17	-12%	16	-5%	20	25%	21	7%
NOV	20	19	-3%	20	4%	18	-9%	21	13%
DEC	20	21	2%	22	6%	21	-3%	19	-8%
Total	19	18	-5%	19	6%	18	-5%	18	0%

D. Detention Average Daily Population (2011 ADP - 167)



1. Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
JAN	199.8	177.7	-11%	200.0	13%	178.1	-11%	168.1	-6%
FEB	219.6	187.7	-15%	192.1	2%	184.9	-4%	168.8	-9%
MAR	197.3	196.4	0%	189.3	-4%	160.7	-15%	177.1	10%
APR	191.8	203.0	6%	196.7	-3%	191.7	-3%	173.9	-9%
MAY	204.8	195.1	-5%	217.5	11%	199.5	-8%	190.0	-5%
JUNE	200.3	199.2	-1%	208.4	5%	192.5	-8%	170.6	-11%
JULY	205.0	182.6	-11%	200.7	10%	176.4	-12%	165.1	-6%
AUG	192.6	165.3	-14%	176.3	7%	172.1	-2%	164.1	-5%
SEP	201.6	166.8	-17%	170.4	2%	185.4	9%	175.8	-5%
OCT	214.0	187.1	-13%	195.2	4%	184.4	-6%	168.5	-9%
NOV	210.8	173.7	-18%	211.8	22%	179.8	-15%	153.9	-14%
DEC	188.6	172.0	-9%	184.1	7%	165.6	-10%	124.6	-25%
Total	202.1	183.8	-9%	195.2	6%	180.8	-7%	166.7	-8%

X. Alternatives to Detention (DRRP- Detention Review & Release Program)

This section illustrates statistics that are related to alternatives to detention: GPS and Home Management. Previously the department had the Electronic Monitoring Unit which includes numerous rules and conditions as well as requiring that a youth wear an electronic tracking device. In August of 2011, the Electronic Monitoring transitioned to two (2) GPS units. Namely: *Pre-Adjudicated and Post-Adjudicated. Home Management* is a program designed to supervise youth in their homes rather than in the secure detention facility.

A. Pre Adjudicated GPS

1. Utilization (2011 Placements - 144)

This section details the number of youth being monitored during the period Aug – Dec 2011.

		2011
New Placement	African American/Black	37
	Asian/Pacific Islander	3
	Hispanic	62
	Other	4
	White	38
	Total	144
Releases	African American/Black	29
	Asian/Pacific Islander	2
	Hispanic	49
	Other	3
	White	30
	Total	113
End of Year	African American/Black	8
	Asian/Pacific Islander	1
	Hispanic	13
	Other	1
	White	8
	Total	31

2. Average Length of Stay (2011 Aug - Dec ALOS - 22)

3. Average Daily Population (2011 Aug - Dec ADP - 23)

B. Post Adjudicated GPS

1. Utilization (2011 Placements - 301)

This section details the number of youth being monitored during the period Aug – Dec 2011.

		2011
New Placement	African American/Black	111
	Asian/Pacific Islander	5
	Hispanic	108
	Other	6
	White	71
	Total	301
Releases	African American/Black	74
	Asian/Pacific Islander	4
	Hispanic	85
	Other	6
	White	50
	Total	219
End of Year	African American/Black	37
	Asian/Pacific Islander	1
	Hispanic	23
	Other	0
	White	21
	Total	82

2. Average Length of Stay (2011 Aug - Dec ALOS- 33)

3. Average Daily Population (2011 Aug - Dec ADP - 70)

C. Home Management


1. Utilization (2011 Placements - 418)

This section details the number of youth on the program at the start of the year, placed during the year, released during the year, and on the program at the end of the year.

		2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Start of Year	African American/Black	23	13	-43%	8	-38%	14	75%	8	-43%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	1	0	-100%	1	-	3	200%	0	-100%
	Hispanic	14	13	-7%	5	-62%	14	180%	14	0%
	Other	1	3	200%	1	-67%	0	-100%	3	-
	White	21	19	-10%	11	-42%	15	36%	10	-33%
	Total	60	48	-20%	26	-46%	46	77%	35	-24%

		2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
New Placements	African American/Black	177	119	-33%	134	13%	150	12%	118	-21%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	6	11	83%	13	18%	8	-38%	10	25%
	Hispanic	172	169	-2%	157	-7%	173	10%	164	-5%
	Other	11	11	0%	11	0%	15	36%	18	20%
	White	131	110	-16%	121	10%	141	17%	108	-23%
	Total	497	420	-15%	436	4%	487	12%	418	-14%
Releases	African American/Black	187	124	-34%	129	4%	156	21%	115	-26%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	7	10	43%	10	0%	11	10%	7	-36%
	Hispanic	173	177	2%	147	-17%	173	18%	161	-7%
	Other	9	13	44%	12	-8%	12	0%	17	42%
	White	133	118	-11%	118	0%	146	24%	109	-25%
	Total	509	442	-13%	416	-6%	498	20%	409	-18%
End of Year	African American/Black	13	8	-38%	13	63%	8	-38%	11	38%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	0	1	-	4	300%	0	-100%	3	-
	Hispanic	13	5	-62%	15	200%	14	-7%	17	21%
	Other	3	1	-67%	0	-100%	3	-	4	33%
	White	19	11	-42%	14	27%	10	-29%	9	-10%
	Total	48	26	-46%	46	77%	35	-24%	44	26%


2. Average Length of Stay (2011 ALOS – 24 Days)



a) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
JAN	36	33	-6%	40	20%	24	-40%	20	-16%
FEB	33	38	13%	33	-13%	31	-6%	30	-4%
MAR	33	41	24%	31	-26%	31	3%	25	-21%
APR	40	22	-46%	38	73%	35	-8%	19	-45%
MAY	47	39	-17%	25	-35%	26	3%	25	-5%
JUNE	33	45	37%	34	-25%	25	-24%	33	30%
JULY	38	64	69%	42	-34%	23	-45%	25	10%
AUG	31	34	8%	44	31%	32	-28%	28	-13%
SEP	25	40	61%	35	-13%	34	-1%	26	-24%
OCT	34	36	4%	27	-25%	33	25%	21	-36%
NOV	51	50	0%	27	-46%	21	-21%	17	-20%
DEC	32	30	-5%	28	-8%	27	-1%	23	-16%
Total	36	40	9%	34	-14%	28	-17%	24	-14%

3. Average Daily Population (2011 ADP - 32)



a) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
JAN	56	54	-4%	27	-50%	39	46%	40	3%
FEB	60	41	-31%	30	-28%	33	13%	43	28%
MAR	58	29	-50%	34	17%	29	-16%	34	20%
APR	63	48	-24%	32	-35%	31	-3%	35	14%
MAY	62	48	-23%	34	-30%	34	-1%	32	-4%
JUNE	60	51	-16%	38	-25%	32	-17%	29	-8%
JULY	48	46	-4%	32	-31%	35	10%	28	-21%
AUG	46	31	-33%	31	0%	37	20%	28	-26%
SEP	58	30	-48%	37	22%	36	-2%	20	-45%
OCT	48	26	-46%	38	46%	37	-2%	20	-45%
NOV	39	24	-40%	41	74%	29	-29%	32	8%
DEC	42	25	-41%	46	85%	30	-35%	39	29%
Total	53	38	-29%	35	-7%	34	-4%	32	-6%

XI. Spring Mountain Youth Camp Statistics

This section includes statistics generated from the Spring Mountain Youth Camp and the Transition and Aftercare program. The Spring Mountain Youth Camp is a staff-secure facility, which houses male youth offenders, who have been adjudicated for serious or repeat delinquent acts by the Juvenile Court Judge. The Aftercare program is designed to transition the youth, who were placed at the camp, back into the community.


A. Spring Mountain Youth Camp

1. Utilization (2011 Placements - 225)

This section details the number of youth at the camp at the start of the year, placed during the year, released during the year, and still at the camp at the end of the year.

		2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Start of Year										
	African American/Black	40	40	0%	30	-25%	35	17%	38	9%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	1	0	-100%	3	-	4	33%	2	-50%
	Hispanic	28	35	25%	25	-29%	38	52%	34	-11%
	Other	5	3	-40%	1	-67%	5	400%	1	-80%
	White	18	20	11%	26	30%	18	-31%	25	39%
	Total	92	98	7%	85	-13%	100	18%	100	0%
New Placements										
	African American/Black	85	74	-13%	89	20%	98	10%	71	-28%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	3	3	0%	4	33%	4	0%	5	25%
	Hispanic	85	86	1%	95	10%	94	-1%	90	-4%
	Other	7	4	-43%	8	100%	9	13%	6	-33%
	White	67	59	-12%	40	-32%	52	30%	53	2%
	Total	247	226	-9%	236	4%	257	9%	225	-12%
Releases										
	African American/Black	85	84	-1%	84	0%	95	13%	76	-20%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	4	0	-100%	3	-	6	100%	3	-50%
	Hispanic	78	96	23%	82	-15%	99	21%	85	-14%
	Other	9	6	-33%	4	-33%	13	225%	6	-54%
	White	65	53	-18%	48	-9%	44	-8%	57	30%
	Total	241	239	-1%	221	-8%	257	16%	227	-12%
End of Year										
	African American/Black	40	30	-25%	35	17%	38	9%	33	-13%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	0	3	-!	4	33%	2	-50%	4	100%
	Hispanic	35	25	-29%	38	52%	33	-13%	39	18%
	Other	3	1	-67%	5	400%	1	-80%	1	0%
	White	20	26	30%	18	-31%	26	44%	21	-19%
	Total	98	85	-13%	100	18%	100	0%	98	-2%


2. Average Length of Stay (2011 ALOS – 154 Days)



a. Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
JAN	135	126	-7%	168	34%	150	-11%	119	-20%
FEB	182	158	-13%	173	10%	178	3%	151	-15%
MAR	160	148	-7%	165	11%	119	-28%	138	16%
APR	145	142	-2%	144	2%	147	2%	146	-1%
MAY	146	155	6%	139	-10%	126	-9%	178	41%
JUNE	145	161	11%	155	-3%	139	-10%	163	17%
JULY	156	152	-2%	129	-16%	150	17%	173	15%
AUG	160	138	-13%	172	24%	170	-1%	162	-5%
SEP	155	145	-6%	158	9%	132	-16%	146	11%
OCT	153	129	-15%	174	34%	151	-13%	132	-12%
NOV	135	156	16%	172	10%	158	-8%	162	3%
DEC	119	133	12%	140	5%	147	5%	161	10%
Total	149	146	-2%	157	7%	149	-5%	154	3%

3. Average Daily Population (2011 ADP – 98)



a. Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
JAN	98.7	97.9	-1%	91.5	-7%	99.1	8%	99.5	0%
FEB	98.3	97.8	-1%	95.5	-2%	99.1	4%	99.5	0%
MAR	96.9	98.2	1%	95.1	-3%	98.5	4%	93.5	-5%
APR	98.2	98.5	0%	95.2	-3%	100.2	5%	97.2	-3%
MAY	97.8	100.0	2%	98.8	-1%	99.7	1%	99.0	-1%
JUNE	98.8	98.5	0%	97.6	-1%	100.1	3%	98.8	-1%
JULY	99.2	96.5	-3%	100.2	4%	100.2	0%	98.7	-1%
AUG	99.6	99.1	0%	99.6	0%	104.7	5%	98.1	-6%
SEP	92.7	92.9	0%	98.6	6%	100.2	2%	98.6	-2%
OCT	97.9	96.8	-1%	100.4	4%	100.3	0%	99.7	-1%
NOV	98.4	96.2	-2%	99.8	4%	99.0	-1%	100.3	1%
DEC	98.6	84.2	-15%	96.1	14%	99.7	4%	97.0	-3%
Total	97.9	96.4	-2%	97.4	1%	100.1	3%	98.3	-2%


XII. Probation Statistics

This section details the statistics generated by the Probation division of the DJJS. The following areas will be illustrated: utilization, average length of stay, and the average daily caseload.

A. Utilization (2011 Placements– 2,633)

		2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Start of Year	African American/Black	690	907	31%	857	-6%	852	-1%	799	-6%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	42	52	24%	43	-17%	62	44%	53	-15%
	Hispanic	737	898	22%	988	10%	1,079	9%	1,079	0%
	Other	58	60	3%	75	25%	79	5%	86	9%
	White	762	787	3%	747	-5%	758	1%	760	0%
	Total	2,289	2,704	18%	2,710	0%	2,830	4%	2,777	-2%
Probation Placements	African American/Black	1,010	948	-6%	847	-11%	806	-5%	707	-12%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	68	65	-4%	62	-5%	73	18%	76	4%
	Hispanic	1,057	1,146	8%	1,174	2%	1,161	-1%	1,067	-8%
	Other	69	87	26%	86	-1%	88	2%	86	-2%
	White	894	818	-9%	814	0%	825	1%	697	-16%
	Total	3,098	3,064	-1%	2,983	-3%	2,953	-1%	2,633	-11%
Probations Ending	African American/Black	626	783	25%	669	-15%	635	-5%	555	-13%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	53	67	26%	42	-37%	64	52%	47	-27%
	Hispanic	770	846	10%	839	-1%	864	3%	876	1%
	Other	55	50	-9%	62	24%	56	-10%	68	21%
	White	751	710	-5%	649	-9%	629	-3%	629	0%
	Total	2,255	2,456	9%	2,261	-8%	2,248	-1%	2,175	-3%
End of Year	African American/Black	907	881	-3%	873	-1%	844	-3%	796	-6%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	52	45	-13%	62	38%	55	-11%	70	27%
	Hispanic	898	1,009	12%	1,110	10%	1,138	3%	1,049	-8%
	Other	60	82	37%	81	-1%	89	10%	82	-8%
	White	787	774	-2%	789	2%	806	2%	685	-15%
	Total	2,704	2,791	3%	2,915	4%	2,932	1%	2,682	-9%


B. Average Assignment Length (2011 Average – 400 Days)



1. Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
JAN	328	344	5%	362	5%	367	2%	420	14%
FEB	304	379	25%	553	46%	330	-40%	387	17%
MAR	343	340	-1%	385	13%	361	-6%	376	4%
APR	328	319	-3%	368	15%	365	-1%	382	5%
MAY	290	313	8%	412	32%	686	66%	416	-39%
JUNE	333	496	49%	378	-24%	340	-10%	417	23%
JULY	385	331	-14%	367	11%	340	-7%	367	8%
AUG	499	304	-39%	390	28%	393	1%	377	-4%
SEP	302	351	16%	384	9%	439	14%	526	20%
OCT	312	378	21%	373	-1%	391	5%	360	-8%
NOV	298	353	18%	345	-2%	326	-5%	378	16%
DEC	323	341	5%	372	9%	371	0%	358	-4%
Total	341	354	4%	390	10%	395	1%	400	1%

C. Average Probation Caseload (2011 Average – 2,830)



1. Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
JAN	2,328	2,741	18%	2,720	-1%	2,856	5%	2,799	-2%
FEB	2,379	2,811	18%	2,739	-3%	2,924	7%	2,829	-3%
MAR	2,428	2,885	19%	2,760	-4%	2,963	7%	2,868	-3%
APR	2,475	2,898	17%	2,795	-4%	2,987	7%	2,892	-3%
MAY	2,527	2,913	15%	2,849	-2%	3,021	6%	2,910	-4%
JUNE	2,591	2,896	12%	2,883	0%	3,044	6%	2,940	-3%
JULY	2,627	2,887	10%	2,911	1%	3,065	5%	2,894	-6%
AUG	2,625	2,891	10%	2,927	1%	3,043	4%	2,859	-6%
SEP	2,627	2,854	9%	2,919	2%	3,021	3%	2,812	-7%
OCT	2,670	2,845	7%	2,905	2%	3,009	4%	2,762	-8%
NOV	2,709	2,830	4%	2,899	2%	2,999	3%	2,715	-9%
DEC	2,722	2,807	3%	2,902	3%	2,948	2%	2,685	-9%
Total	2,560	2,855	12%	2,851	0%	2,990	5%	2,830	-5%

XIII. Intensive Supervision

This section provides more detail into intensive probation services, which involve increased contact and programming for higher risk youth. In particular, this section will cover the Intensive Supervision (ISU), Drug Court, and the Juvenile Sex Offense (JSO) units. The statistics in this section reflect both primary and secondary assignments.

A. ISU Statistics

The Intensive Supervision Unit (ISU) is a community based intensive supervision program for youth that would otherwise be committed to or placed in a juvenile institution. These programs for adjudicated youth include frequent probation officer contact and reporting requirements for daily programming.

1. Utilization (2011 Assignments - 393)

		2011
Assignments Starting	African American/Black	148
	Asian/Pacific Islander	3
	Hispanic	156
	Other	17
	White	69
	Total	393
Assignments Ending	African American/Black	77
	Asian/Pacific Islander	3
	Hispanic	88
	Other	8
	White	49
	Total	225

End of Year	African American/Black	110
	Asian/Pacific Islander	2
	Hispanic	109
	Other	13
	White	44
	Total	278

2. Average Assignment Length – (insufficient data for this year)

3. Average Caseload (2011 Average - 38)


B. Drug Court Statistics

The Drug Court Program is geared toward rehabilitating those youth who were adjudicated on a drug-related offense. As part of the program, the assigned youth are required to submit to drug testing.

1. Utilization (2011 Assignments - 159)

		2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Start of Year	African American/Black	7	14	100%	6	-57%	13	117%	12	-8%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	1	3	200%	3	0%	3	0%	7	133%
	Hispanic	34	54	59%	72	33%	59	-18%	68	15%
	Other	0	1	-	4	300%	1	-75%	7	600%
	White	51	43	-16%	45	5%	54	20%	87	61%
	Total	93	115	24%	130	13%	130	0%	181	39%
New Assignment	African American/Black	20	8	-60%	17	113%	17	0%	25	47%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	3	2	-33%	2	0%	4	100%	2	-50%
	Hispanic	66	55	-17%	58	5%	64	10%	75	17%
	Other	2	6	200%	2	-67%	7	250%	1	-86%
	White	60	49	-18%	50	2%	59	18%	56	-5%
	Total	151	120	-21%	129	8%	151	17%	159	5%
Assignment Ending	African American/Black	13	16	23%	10	-38%	17	70%	27	59%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	1	2	100%	2	0%	0	-100%	7	-
	Hispanic	46	37	-20%	71	92%	54	-24%	97	80%
	Other	1	3	200%	5	67%	1	-80%	7	600%
	White	68	47	-31%	37	-21%	26	-30%	108	315%
	Total	129	105	-19%	125	19%	98	-22%	246	151%
End of Year	African American/Black	14	6	-57%	13	117%	13	0%	10	-23%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	3	3	0%	3	0%	7	133%	2	-71%
	Hispanic	54	72	33%	59	-18%	69	17%	46	-33%
	Other	1	4	300%	1	-75%	7	600%	1	-86%
	White	43	45	5%	58	29%	87	50%	35	-60%
	Total	115	130	13%	134	3%	183	37%	94	-49%


2. Average Assignment Length (2011 Average – 393 Days)



a) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
JAN	168	70	-58%	314	348%	48	-85%	197	309%
FEB	180	92	-49%	340	271%	107	-69%	416	290%
MAR	185	106	-43%	312	195%	77	-75%	358	366%
APR	171	137	-20%	205	49%	160	-22%	79	-51%
MAY	167	157	-6%	342	118%	153	-55%	-	-
JUNE	185	168	-9%	271	61%	371	37%	399	8%
JULY	264	242	-9%	374	55%	307	-18%	532	73%
AUG	248	150	-40%	395	163%	230	-42%	399	74%
SEP	202	291	44%	338	16%	188	-45%	316	68%
OCT	194	229	18%	198	-14%	277	40%	230	-17%
NOV	290	254	-12%	25	-90%	214	746%	328	53%
DEC	253	288	14%	363	26%	309	-15%	254	-18%
Total	214	185	-14%	315	71%	233	-26%	393	68%

3. Average Caseload (2011 Average - 165)



a) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
JAN	94	117	24%	128	10%	135	5%	181	34%
FEB	97	122	26%	135	10%	144	7%	188	31%
MAR	97	130	34%	139	7%	158	13%	188	19%
APR	101	132	31%	141	7%	165	17%	204	23%
MAY	103	132	29%	145	10%	173	20%	212	22%
JUNE	108	137	27%	147	7%	178	22%	222	24%
JULY	108	141	31%	147	4%	166	13%	192	15%
AUG	104	142	36%	138	-3%	164	19%	140	-15%
SEP	108	145	35%	135	-7%	174	29%	122	-30%
OCT	114	139	21%	134	-3%	173	29%	121	-30%
NOV	108	136	26%	139	2%	179	29%	114	-36%
DEC	112	133	19%	138	4%	182	31%	105	-42%
Total	105	134	28%	139	4%	166	20%	165	0%


C. JSO Statistics

The Juvenile Sex Offense Unit is a probation supervision program that is set up specifically for youth that have been adjudicated on a sexual-related offense.

1. Utilization (2011 Assignments - 164)

		2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
Start of Year	African American/Black	90	100	11%	88	-12%	72	-18%	68	-6%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	4	7	75%	7	0%	6	-14%	9	50%
	Hispanic	95	103	8%	113	10%	85	-25%	72	-15%
	Other	16	13	-19%	15	15%	8	-47%	11	38%
	White	171	158	-8%	168	6%	130	-23%	120	-8%
	Total	376	381	1%	391	3%	301	-23%	280	-7%
New Assignment	African American/Black	67	75	12%	66	-12%	67	2%	54	-19%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	6	6	0%	7	17%	11	57%	5	-55%
	Hispanic	80	80	0%	91	14%	57	-37%	50	-12%
	Other	8	10	25%	3	-70%	7	133%	4	-43%
	White	91	104	14%	89	-14%	68	-24%	51	-25%
	Total	252	275	9%	256	-7%	210	-18%	164	-22%
Assignment Ending	African American/Black	57	87	53%	84	-3%	70	-17%	42	-40%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	3	6	100%	9	50%	8	-11%	5	-38%
	Hispanic	72	70	-3%	119	70%	70	-41%	43	-39%
	Other	11	8	-27%	10	25%	5	-50%	9	80%
	White	104	94	-10%	126	34%	78	-38%	58	-26%
	Total	247	265	7%	348	31%	231	-34%	157	-32%
End of Year	African American/Black	100	88	-12%	70	-20%	69	-1%	80	16%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	7	7	0%	5	-29%	9	80%	9	0%
	Hispanic	103	113	10%	85	-25%	72	-15%	79	10%
	Other	13	15	15%	8	-47%	10	25%	6	-40%
	White	158	168	6%	131	-22%	120	-8%	113	-6%
	Total	381	391	3%	299	-24%	280	-6%	287	3%


2. Average Assignment Length (2011 Average – 274 Days)



a) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
JAN	305	201	-34%	389	94%	273	-30%	283	4%
FEB	432	330	-24%	395	20%	316	-20%	287	-9%
MAR	138	227	65%	390	72%	324	-17%	282	-13%
APR	227	322	42%	402	25%	318	-21%	267	-16%
MAY	245	245	0%	405	65%	408	1%	266	-35%
JUNE	253	357	41%	410	15%	275	-33%	271	-2%
JULY	284	261	-8%	415	59%	190	-54%	274	45%
AUG	234	326	39%	409	26%	384	-6%	267	-30%
SEP	304	329	8%	373	13%	235	-37%	266	13%
OCT	286	419	46%	305	-27%	341	12%	270	-21%
NOV	331	266	-20%	303	14%	463	53%	274	-41%
DEC	371	462	25%	299	-35%	402	35%	282	-30%
Total	280	316	13%	374	19%	321	-14%	274	-15%

3. Average Caseload (2011 Average - 296)



a) Historical Statistics (2007-2011)

	2007	2008	% Diff	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff
JAN	376	381	1%	389	2%	298	-23%	119	-60%
FEB	377	376	0%	395	5%	293	-26%	296	1%
MAR	381	387	2%	390	1%	296	-24%	252	-15%
APR	385	387	0%	402	4%	301	-25%	380	26%
MAY	386	399	4%	405	1%	303	-25%	243	-20%
JUNE	383	403	5%	410	2%	299	-27%	321	7%
JULY	381	405	6%	415	2%	290	-30%	200	-31%
AUG	379	403	6%	409	2%	274	-33%	384	40%
SEP	381	401	5%	373	-7%	281	-25%	355	26%
OCT	372	399	7%	305	-24%	277	-9%	282	2%
NOV	385	392	2%	303	-23%	280	-8%	422	51%
DEC	386	395	3%	299	-25%	280	-6%	145	-48%
Total	381	394	3%	374	-5%	289	-23%	296	2%

XIV. Workload Summary

Referrals	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Referrals Received	26,711	25,877	25,313	23,910	21,059
Number of Youth Involved	17,066	16,422	15,915	15,246	13,695
Average Referral per Youth	1.57	1.58	1.59	1.57	1.54
Detention	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Youth Brought to Booking	9,901	9,587	9,210	8,153	7,462
New Detention Placements	3,800	3,731	3,702	3,603	3,362
Referrals Prior to Detainment (AVG)	5.37	5.56	5.80	6.18	6.08
Average Daily Population	202	184	195	181	167
Average Length of Stay in Detention (Days)	19	18	19	18	18
Alternatives to Detention	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Home Management					
Youth Placed On Home Management	497	420	436	487	418
Average Daily Population	53	38	35	34	32
Average Length of Stay (Days)	39	34	28	27	24
Referrals Prior to Placement (AVG)	4.01	4.40	4.08	3.94	3.13
Pre-Adj GPS					
Youth Placed On Post-Adj GPS					144
Average Daily Population					23
Average Length of Stay (Days)					22
Referrals Prior to Placement (AVG)					2.81
Post-Adj GPS					
Youth Placed On Post-Adj GPS					301
Average Daily Population					70
Average Length of Stay (Days)					33
Referrals Prior to Placement (AVG)					5.72
District Attorney	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Number of Petitions Filed	8,545	7,983	8,085	7,767	6,614
Direct File	45	52	52	30	22
Referrals Prior to Direct File (AVG)	7.64	7.56	9.72	7.41	8.88

Formal Probation	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Youth Placed On Field Probation	3,098	3,064	2,983	2,953	2,633
Referrals Prior to Field Probation (AVG)	3.26	3.48	3.76	3.91	3.25
Youth on Field Probation at End of Year	2,704	2,791	2,915	2,932	2,682
Intensive Services	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
ISU					
Youth Assigned to ISU					393
Referrals Prior to ISU (AVG)					7.19
Youth on ISU at End of Year					278
Drug Court					
Youth Assigned to Drug Court	151	120	129	151	175
Referrals Prior to Drug Court (AVG)	8.36	8.88	9.65	10.10	10.48
Youth on Drug Court at End of Year	115	130	134	183	114
JSO					
Youth Assigned to JSO	252	275	256	210	331
Referrals Prior to JSO Caseload (AVG)	2.87	3.56	3.76	2.54	1.86
Youth on JSO Caseload at End of Year	381	391	299	280	280
Spring Mountain Youth Camp	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Youth Placed at SMYC	247	226	236	257	225
Referrals Prior to SMYC Placement (AVG)	6.69	7.76	8.29	8.41	7.32
Youth at SMYC at End of Year	98	85	100	100	98
Youth Committed to DCFS	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Youth Committed to DCFS	368	304	373	273	239
Referrals Prior to Commitment DCFS (AVG)	9.46	9.71	9.64	10.83	9.65
Certifications	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Youth Certified as Adult	80	63	61	89	53
Referrals Prior to Certification (AVG)	7.33	5.83	8.13	8.53	8.47

**This report was produced in April 2012 by the
Applications, Research & Technology (ART) Unit
Department of Juvenile Justice Services
Clark County, Nevada**

For further information about this document, please contact:

**ART Unit
Clark County
Department of Juvenile Justice Services
601 N. Pecos Road
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101-2408
Phone: (702) 455-5210**