



Clark County, Nevada
Department of Juvenile Justice Services

STATISTICAL REPORT
Calendar Year 2012

APPLICATIONS, RESEARCH, AND TECHNOLOGY UNIT
FAMILYTRACS

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. DEPARTMENT INFORMATION.....	2
A. How a case works its way through the DJJS	3
III. STATE OF NEVADA AND CLARK COUNTY STATISTICS	4
IV. YOUTH PROFILES	4
A. Demographic Information	5
1. Youth Male Population by Age Group (2012 Total – 139,719).....	5
2. Youth Female Population by Age Group (2012 Total – 133,024)	5
3. Youth Population by Gender (2012 Total – 272,743)	5
4. Youth Population by Ethnicity/Race (2012 Total – 272,743)	6
5. CCSD Population by Ethnicity/Race- All Grade Levels (2012 Total – 311,238).....	6
B. Background Information on Referred Youth Population	7
1. Gender of Referred Youth (2012 Referred Youth – 11,282).....	7
2. Ethnicity/Race of Referred Youth (2012 Referred Youth – 11,282).....	7
3. Age of Referred Youth by Referral Date (2012 Referrals- 17,668)	8
4. Household Composition of Referred Youth by Ethnicity/Race	8
5. Recorded Youth Gang Affiliation from Referred Population (2012 Total - 188)	11
6. Youth with Prior Referrals.....	12
7. Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals	15
8. Youth with a Felony or Gross Misdemeanor (F/GM) Referral	18
9. Top Ten Zip Codes of Referred Youth Population.....	21
10. Percentage Summary of Referred Youth Population in 2012.....	22
V. REFERRAL STATISTICS	22
A. Total Number of Referrals Compared to the Number of Involved Youth.....	23
1. Annual Percentage Difference	23
B. Referral Types.....	23
1. Referral Types (2012 Referrals – 17,668)	23
C. Sources of Referrals	24
1. Top Five Referral Sources by Percentage of Overall Referrals.....	24
D. Time of Offense	25
1. Referrals by Time of Offense	25
E. Maximum Charge Categories	26
1. Percentage of Overall Referrals by Charge Category	28
F. Top Ten Most Common Charges (All Charges)	29
1. Percentage of Overall Referrals	29
2. Top Ten Most Common Charges (All Charges) by Ethnicity/Race	29
G. Top Ten Most Common Felony/Gross Misdemeanor Charges.....	35
1. Percentage of Overall Felony/Gross Misdemeanor Referrals	35
2. Top Ten Most Common Charges Felony/Gross Misdemeanor Charges by Race	35

H. Prior Referral Statistics	41
1. Number of Prior Referrals	41
2. Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals.....	44
3. Issued Writ of Attachment Statistics.....	48
4. Served Writ of Attachment Statistics.....	49
5. Quashed Writ of Attachment Statistics.....	51
VI. INTAKE STATISTICS.....	52
A. Diversion Statistics	52
1. Diversion Types (2012 Diversions – 9,795).....	53
2. Diversions by Ethnicity/Race (2012 Diversions – 9,795)	54
B. Cases Referred to the District Attorney by Ethnicity (2012 Referrals – 6,424)...	54
1. Historical Statistics (2008-2012)	54
VII. DISTRICT ATTORNEY STATISTICS.....	55
A. Cases Filed by Ethnicity/Race (2012 Referrals Filed – 5,619).....	55
1. Historical Statistics (2008-2012)	55
B. Most Serious Charge Category of Filed Referral (2008-2012) (See descriptions on page 26-28)	55
C. Youth Filed Directly with the Adult Court System by Ethnicity/Race (2012 Youth Filed - 25)	56
1. Historical Statistics (2008-2012)	56
VIII. COURT ACTIONS.....	56
A. Court Action Types by Referrals.....	56
B. Court Dispositions by Distinct Youth Count.....	59
1. Formal Probation Disposition by Ethnicity/Race (2012 Dispositions – 2,330).....	59
2. DCFS Commitments by Ethnicity/Race (2012 Commitments - 184)	59
3. Certifications by Ethnicity/Race (2012 Certifications - 24)	60
IX. BOOKING & DETENTION STATISTICS.....	61
A. Detention Screening.....	61
1. Overall Referral Screening (2012 Referrals – 6,716)	61
2. Detained RAI Result Categories (2012 RAI Total – 3,191).....	63
3. Detained RAI Result Category Detail (without overrides) (2008-2012).....	64
B. Utilization (2012 Detention Placements – 3,192).....	67
C. Detention Average Length of Stay (2012 ALOS – 16 Days)	68
D. Detention Average Daily Population (2012 ADP - 138).....	69
1. Historical Statistics (2008-2012)	69
X. ALTERNATIVES TO DETENTION (PRRP - PROBATION REVIEW & RELEASE PROGRAM).....	70
A. Pre Adjudicated GPS.....	70
1. Utilization (2012 Placements - 328)	70
2. Average Length of Stay (2012 ALOS - 25).....	71

3. Average Daily Population (2012 ADP - 23).....	71
B. Post Adjudicated GPS.....	72
1. Utilization (2012 Placements - 855)	72
2. Average Length of Stay (2012 ALOS- 34).....	73
3. Average Daily Population (2012 ADP - 86).....	73
C. Home Management.....	73
1. Utilization (2012 Placements - 456)	73
2. Average Length of Stay (2012 ALOS – 35 Days).....	74
3. Average Daily Population (2012 ADP - 41).....	75
XI. SPRING MOUNTAIN YOUTH CAMP STATISTICS	76
A. Spring Mountain Youth Camp	76
1. Utilization (2012 Placements –210).....	76
2. Average Length of Stay (2012 ALOS – 162 Days).....	77
3. Average Daily Population (2012 ADP – 96)	77
XII. PROBATION STATISTICS	78
A. Utilization (2012 Placements– 2,330)	78
B. Average Assignment Length (2012 Average – 463 Days)	79
1. Historical Statistics (2008-2012)	79
C. Average Probation Caseload (2012 Average – 2,685)	79
1. Historical Statistics (2008-2012)	80
XIII. INTENSIVE SUPERVISION	81
A. ISP Statistics.....	81
1. Utilization (2012 Assignments - 316).....	81
2. Average Assignment Length – (2012 Average – 162 Days)	
3. Average Caseload (2012 Average - 342).....	82
B. Drug Court Statistics	82
1. Utilization (2012 Assignments – 214)	82
2. Average Assignment Length (2012 Average – 192 Days)	83
3. Average Caseload (2012 Average - 144).....	84
C. JSO Statistics	84
1. Utilization (2012 Assignments - 225).....	84
2. Average Assignment Length (2012 Average – 301 Days)	85
3. Average Caseload (2012 Average - 343).....	86
XIV. WORKLOAD SUMMARY	86

I. Introduction

The issues surrounding juvenile delinquency are complex and multifaceted. In particular, these issues may involve: education, family structure, mental health, social economics, and support systems. As a means to bring about a more positive impact towards reducing juvenile delinquency, each of these areas should continue to be addressed through programs, policies, and community organizations. Based on this premise, the Department of Juvenile Justice Services (DJJS) actively seeks collaborative partnerships with various government entities, community organizations, and the general public.

By increasing the involvement of stakeholders in the juvenile justice system of care, the objective is to develop and implement solutions that address the root causes of juvenile delinquency. By addressing the source of the issue, the intended byproduct is preventing the offense from occurring in the first place. In time, these proactive measures would thereby reduce the number of youth referrals to the DJJS.

In order to successfully identify the source of the issues, information sharing is critical. To effectively create solutions, stakeholders must make evidence-based decisions. With the juvenile justice information system (FamilyTRACs), the DJJS commits to providing its stakeholders with the relevant statistics necessary to make informed policy or program decisions. The following annual statistical report is one example of the department's commitment to sharing information.

The annual statistical report begins by providing background information on the DJJS. Then the report illustrates how a case works its way through the department. Next, the report provides statistics related to the State of Nevada and Clark County. Finally, the remaining sections are devoted to a collection of reports that have been generated through the FamilyTRACS database.

The reports from the database were selected as a means to provide an overview to the juvenile justice system. The objective of this overview is to provide stakeholders with access to valuable information relating to the dynamics of juvenile delinquency in Clark County. Additionally, the summary may be useful in planning for additional services and improved processes throughout the system of care for our community's juvenile population. The reports are by no means all-inclusive. The report will continue to evolve, as there is a progressive demand for more information.

II. Department Information

The DJJS is a unique and complex public service agency that performs more than the traditional Juvenile Court functions of Probation and Detention. In particular, DJJS provides intervention services, guidance and control for youth referred to the department for acts of delinquency.

To accomplish its mission, the department consists of the following divisions: Administration, Detention, Probation, Clinical Services, and the Spring Mountain Youth Camp (SMYC).

The Administration Division is responsible for managing the daily business operations of the department. Daily operations would include Human Resources, Records, Financial Services, and responding to public inquiries. Along with daily operations, this division is responsible for the Payment Center, which receives payments for court ordered fines, fees, work permits, restitution and custodial reimbursements. Also, under Administration is the Applications Technology & Research Unit tasks to the development and support of the information technology needs of the department. The ART unit also maintains and generates data for statistical, performance, and management reporting.


The Detention Center is a 192-bed facility located at the DJJS campus. The facility temporarily houses male and female youth with pending court hearings or correctional placements. In order to bridge the system of care, this facility provides medical and mental health services.

The Probation Division provides intake and diversionary services to youth referred to the department for acts of delinquency. For adjudicated youth, the division provides supervision and treatment services. Services are provided on the DJJS campus, within the five neighborhood community centers, or within the community itself.

The Clinical Services Division provides a full spectrum of assessment and treatment services to the youth involved with the DJJS and their families. These evaluation, counseling and other treatment services are offered in the institutions, in home and through office visits.

The SMYC is a staff-secure 100-bed correctional facility, which houses male youth between the ages of twelve and eighteen who have been adjudicated for serious or repeat delinquent acts by the Juvenile Court Judge. SMYC is located at Angels Peak in the Mt. Charleston Recreational/Toiyabe National Forest Area.

A. How a case works its way through the DJJS



III. State of Nevada and Clark County Statistics

- The entire state of Nevada covers an area of 110,567 square miles
- Clark County covers an area of 8,012 square miles (about 7% of the entire state)
- **2012 Population Statistics:**
 - The population of the entire state is estimated at 2,750,285
 - The population of Clark County is estimated at 1,988,546 (about 72% of the entire state)
 - The youth population (ages 8-17) of Clark County is estimated at 272,743
 - The Clark County School District (CCSD) had an enrollment of 311,238 students

Sources: Nevada State Demographer, Clark County School District, 2012 estimate


IV. Youth Profiles

The “Youth Profiles” segment provides demographic and background information on the clients we serve at the DJJS. This segment is divided into two sections. The first section details the demographic information of the Clark County youth population in 2012. This information has been collected from Nevada’s State Demographer’s Office and the Clark County School District (CCSD). The charts in this section address the demographic areas of age, gender, and ethnicity/race. The second section details demographic information that is specific to the referred youth population to the DJJS. This section’s information has been retrieved from the DJJS database.


Note: All percentages in this report are rounded to the nearest whole number.

A. Demographic Information


1. Youth Male Population by Age Group (2012 Total – 139,719)




2. Youth Female Population by Age Group (2012 Total – 133,024)




3. Youth Population by Gender (2012 Total – 272,743)



4. Youth Population by Ethnicity/Race (2012 Total – 272,743)




5. CCSD Population by Ethnicity/Race- All Grade Levels (2012 Total – 311,238)



B. Background Information on Referred Youth Population

This section provides a basic overview of some characteristics of the referred youth population. For 2012, the total number of referrals to the DJJS was 17,668. The distinct referred youth population was 11,282.


1. Gender of Referred Youth (2012 Referred Youth – 11,282)



a) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Female	5,185	5,232	1%	5,161	-1%	4,507	-13%	3,611	-20%
Male	11,236	10,682	-5%	10,085	-6%	9,198	-9%	7,671	-17%
Total	16,421	15,914	-3%	15,246	-4%	13,705	-10%	11,282	-18%


2. Ethnicity/Race of Referred Youth (2012 Referred Youth – 11,282)



a) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	4,110	3,915	-5%	3,651	-7%	3,426	-6%	3,108	-9%
Asian/Pacific Islander	477	507	6%	493	-3%	444	-10%	345	-22%
Hispanic	6,324	6,366	1%	6,226	-2%	5,620	-10%	4,527	-19%
Other	373	425	14%	439	3%	378	-14%	320	-15%
White	5,137	4,701	-8%	4,437	-6%	3,837	-14%	2,982	-22%
Total	16,421	15,914	-3%	15,246	-4%	13,705	-10%	11,282	-18%

3. Age of Referred Youth by Referral Date (2012 Referrals- 17,668)



As the age of the youth may change with multiple referral dates, each referral is counted.

a) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
8	20	27	35%	13	-52%	17	31%	15	-12%
9	48	43	-10%	50	16%	37	-26%	38	3%
10	78	78	0%	89	14%	85	-4%	77	-9%
11	323	347	7%	302	-13%	243	-20%	243	0%
12	954	821	-14%	874	6%	789	-10%	638	-19%
13	2,092	1,885	-10%	1,763	-6%	1,597	-9%	1,359	-15%
14	3,474	3,278	-6%	3,062	-7%	2,685	-12%	2,366	-12%
15	5,273	4,983	-5%	4,533	-9%	4,151	-8%	3,633	-12%
16	6,474	6,607	2%	6,053	-8%	5,302	-12%	4,169	-21%
17	6,576	6,583	0%	6,405	-3%	5,480	-14%	4,438	-19%
18+	565	662	17%	767	16%	697	-9%	692	-1%
Total	25,877	25,314	-2%	23,911	-6%	21,083	-12%	17,668	-16%


4. Household Composition of Referred Youth by Ethnicity/Race

The following charts illustrate the household composition of the referred youth population. The first chart is a summary for all ethnicity/race groups and the subsequent charts provide more detail on each individual ethnicity/race.

The following categories are listed:

- Intact- represents youth residing with two biological parents
- Reconstituted- represents youth residing with one biological parent and one non-biological parent
- Single Father- represents youth residing with biological father
- Single Mother- represents youth residing with biological mother
- Other- represents youth residing with siblings, extended family members or out-of-home placements.


a) Summary Household Composition (2012 Referred Youth – 11,282)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Intact	3,494	3,526	1%	3,254	-8%	2,749	-16%	2,118	-23%
Other	4,030	3,754	-7%	3,787	1%	3,826	1%	3,015	-21%
Reconstituted	1,564	1,557	0%	1,373	-12%	1,139	-17%	985	-14%
Single Father	1,242	1,203	-3%	1,084	-10%	941	-13%	824	-12%
Single Mother	6,091	5,874	-4%	5,748	-2%	5,050	-12%	4,340	-14%
Total	16,421	15,914	-3%	15,246	-4%	13,705	-10%	11,282	-18%


b) Household Composition for Referred African American/Black Youth (2012 Referred Youth – 3,108)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Intact	391	384	-2%	366	-5%	318	-13%	251	-21%
Other	1,106	1,018	-8%	1,014	0%	1,025	1%	923	-10%
Reconstituted	400	376	-6%	313	-17%	245	-22%	242	-1%
Single Father	208	205	-1%	178	-13%	179	1%	174	-3%
Single Mother	2,005	1,932	-4%	1,780	-8%	1,659	-7%	1,518	-8%
Total	4,110	3,915	-5%	3,651	-7%	3,426	-6%	3,108	-9%


c) Household Composition for Referred Asian/Pacific Islander Youth (2012 Referred Youth - 345)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Intact	144	138	-4%	112	-19%	98	-13%	86	-12%
Other	123	137	11%	157	15%	157	0%	97	-38%
Reconstituted	31	39	26%	31	-21%	31	0%	26	-16%
Single Father	42	42	0%	38	-10%	44	16%	33	-25%
Single Mother	137	151	10%	155	3%	114	-26%	103	-10%
Total	477	507	6%	493	-3%	444	-10%	345	-22%


d) Household Composition for Referred Hispanic Youth (2012 Referred Youth- 4,527)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Intact	1,800	1,837	2%	1,760	-4%	1,477	-16%	1,153	-22%
Other	1,278	1,299	2%	1,280	-1%	1,346	5%	1,028	-24%
Reconstituted	579	605	4%	539	-11%	481	-11%	404	-16%
Single Father	456	449	-2%	418	-7%	350	-16%	270	-23%
Single Mother	2,211	2,176	-2%	2,229	2%	1,966	-12%	1,672	-15%
Total	6,324	6,366	1%	6,226	-2%	5,620	-10%	4,527	-19%

**e) Household Composition for Referred White Youth
(2012 Referred Youth – 2,982)**




1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Intact	1,102	1,080	-2%	938	-13%	783	-17%	575	-27%
Other	1,431	1,208	-16%	1,225	1%	1,219	0%	899	-26%
Reconstituted	518	498	-4%	448	-10%	351	-22%	277	-21%
Single Father	515	467	-9%	416	-11%	334	-20%	308	-8%
Single Mother	1,571	1,448	-8%	1,410	-3%	1,150	-18%	923	-20%
Total	5,137	4,701	-8%	4,437	-6%	3,837	-14%	2,982	-22%

5. Recorded Youth Gang Affiliation from Referred Population (2012 Total - 188)

The following chart illustrates youth gang affiliations, as they are recorded within the DJJS database. Gang affiliations are self-reported. The statistics are retrieved based on the date of the referral. The statistics below are from 2012:




a) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	143	130	-9%	106	-18%	78	-26%	73	-6%
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	1	0%	2	100%	2	0%	3	50%
Hispanic	109	103	-6%	106	3%	105	-1%	97	-8%
Other	6	6	0%	10	67%	9	-10%	8	-11%
White	18	14	-22%	9	-36%	9	0%	7	-22%
Total	277	254	-8%	233	-8%	203	-13%	188	-7%

6. Youth with Prior Referrals

The following statistics illustrate whether or not a youth had a prior referral when they were referred to the DJJS in the year indicated. After providing an overview for the entire referred youth population, specific details will be provided for each ethnicity/race category. In 2012, approximately 54% of those referred to the department had a prior referral.


a) Summary Prior Referral Statistics (2012 Total Youth – 11,282)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Youth with Prior Referrals	8,707	8,537	-2%	8,240	-3%	7,260	-12%	6,069	-16%
Youth with No Prior Record	7,714	7,377	-4%	7,006	-5%	6,445	-8%	5,213	-19%
Total	16,421	15,914	-3%	15,246	-4%	13,705	-10%	11,282	-18%


**b) Prior Referral Statistics for African American/Black Youth
(2012 Referred Total – 3,108)**



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Youth with Prior Referrals	2,463	2,402	-2%	2,221	-8%	2,001	-10%	1,819	-9%
Youth with No Prior Record	1,647	1,513	-8%	1,430	-5%	1,425	0%	1,289	-10%
Total	4,110	3,915	-5%	3,651	-7%	3,426	-6%	3,108	-9%


**c) Prior Referral Statistics for Asian/Pacific Islander Youth
(2012 Referred Total - 345)**



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Youth with Prior Referrals	199	188	-6%	196	4%	189	-4%	135	-29%
Youth with No Prior Record	278	319	15%	297	-7%	255	-14%	210	-18%
Total	477	507	6%	493	-3%	444	-10%	345	-22%


d) Prior Referral Statistics for Hispanic Youth (2012 Referred Total – 4,527)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Youth with Prior Referrals	3,373	3,420	1%	3,407	0%	3,027	-11%	2,512	-17%
Youth with No Prior Record	2,951	2,946	0%	2,819	-4%	2,593	-8%	2,015	-22%
Total	6,324	6,366	1%	6,226	-2%	5,620	-10%	4,527	-19%


e) Prior Referral Statistics for White Youth (2012 Referred Total – 2,982)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Youth with Prior Referrals	2,467	2,308	-6%	2,191	-5%	1,822	-17%	1,405	-23%
Youth with No Prior Record	2,670	2,393	-10%	2,246	-6%	2,015	-10%	1,577	-22%
Total	5,137	4,701	-8%	4,437	-6%	3,837	-14%	2,982	-22%


f) Percentage Comparison of Youth with Prior Referrals by Ethnicity/Race



7. Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals

The following statistics illustrate whether or not a youth had a prior adjudicated referral when they were referred to the DJJS in the year indicated. After providing an overview for the entire referred youth population, specific details will be provided for each ethnicity/race category. In 2012, approximately 24% of those referred to the department had a prior adjudicated referral.


a) Summary Prior Adjudicated Referral Statistics (2012 Referred Youth – 11,282)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals	3,627	3,578	-1%	3,516	-2%	3,135	-11%	2,756	-12%
Youth with No Prior Adjudicated Referrals	12,794	12,336	-4%	11,730	-5%	10,570	-10%	8,526	-19%
Total	16,421	15,914	-3%	15,246	-4%	13,705	-10%	11,282	-18%


a) Prior Adjudicated Referral Statistics for African American/Black Youth (2012 Referred Youth – 3,108)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals	1,251	1,177	-6%	1,096	-7%	968	-12%	914	-6%
Youth with No Prior Adjudicated Referrals	2,859	2,738	-4%	2,555	-7%	2,458	-4%	2,194	-11%
Total	4,110	3,915	-5%	3,651	-7%	3,426	-6%	3,108	-9%


b) Prior Adjudicated Referral Statistics for Asian/Pacific Islander Youth (2012 Referred Youth - 345)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals	56	60	7%	53	-12%	64	21%	65	2%
Youth with No Prior Adjudicated Referrals	421	447	6%	440	-2%	380	-14%	280	-26%
Total	477	507	6%	493	-3%	444	-10%	345	-22%


**c) Prior Adjudicated Referral Statistics for Hispanic Youth
(2012 Referred Youth Total – 4,527)**



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals	1,329	1,369	3%	1,361	-1%	1,238	-9%	1,089	-12%
Youth with No Prior Adjudicated Referrals	4,995	4,997	0%	4,865	-3%	4,382	-10%	3,438	-22%
Total	6,324	6,366	1%	6,226	-2%	5,620	-10%	4,527	-19%


**d) Prior Adjudicated Referral Statistics for White Youth
(2012 Referred Youth – 2,982)**



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals	884	870	-2%	878	1%	751	-14%	586	-22%
Youth with No Prior Adjudicated Referrals	4,253	3,831	-10%	3,559	-7%	3,086	-13%	2,396	-22%
Total	5,137	4,701	-8%	4,437	-6%	3,837	-14%	2,982	-22%


f) Percentage Comparison of Youth with Prior Adjudicated Referrals by Ethnicity/Race



8. Youth with a Felony or Gross Misdemeanor (F/GM) Referral

The following statistics illustrate whether or not a youth had a felony or gross misdemeanor referral in the year indicated. After providing an overview for the entire referred youth population, specific details will be provided for each ethnicity/race category. In 2012, approximately 38% of those referred to the department had a felony or gross misdemeanor referral.


a) Summary of Youth Charged with a F/GM (2012 Referred Youth – 11,282)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Youth with a F/GM Referral	7,638	7,101	-7%	6,463	-9%	5,552	-14%	4,324	-22%
Youth without a F/GM Referral	8,783	8,813	0%	8,783	0%	8,153	-7%	6,958	-15%
Total	16,421	15,914	-3%	15,246	-4%	13,705	-10%	11,282	-18%


b) African American/Black Youth Charged with a F/GM (2012 Referred Youth – 3,108)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Youth with a F/GM Referral	2,304	2,122	-8%	1,876	-12%	1,631	-13%	1,342	-18%
Youth without a F/GM Referral	1,806	1,793	-1%	1,775	-1%	1,795	1%	1,766	-2%
Total	4,110	3,915	-5%	3,651	-7%	3,426	-6%	3,108	-9%


c) Asian/Pacific Islander Youth Charged with a F/GM (2012 Referred Youth - 345)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Youth with a F/GM Referral	180	168	-7%	154	-8%	160	4%	104	-35%
Youth without a F/GM Referral	297	339	14%	339	0%	284	-16%	241	-15%
Total	477	507	6%	493	-3%	444	-10%	345	-22%


d) Hispanic Youth Charged with a F/GM (2012 Referred Youth – 4,527)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Youth with a F/GM Referral	2,875	2,740	-5%	2,509	-8%	2,168	-14%	1,698	-22%
Youth without a F/GM Referral	3,449	3,626	5%	3,717	3%	3,452	-7%	2,829	-18%
Total	6,324	6,366	1%	6,226	-2%	5,620	-10%	4,527	-19%


e) White Youth Charged with a F/GM (2012 Referred Youth – 2,982)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Youth with a F/GM Referral	2,059	1,857	-10%	1,705	-8%	1,407	-17%	1,021	-27%
Youth without a F/GM Referral	3,078	2,844	-8%	2,732	-4%	2,430	-11%	1,961	-19%
Total	5,137	4,701	-8%	4,437	-6%	3,837	-14%	2,982	-22%


f) Percentage Comparison of F/GM Referrals by Ethnicity/Race




9. Top Ten Zip Codes of Referred Youth Population

The following top ten zip codes account for 44% of the referrals received in 2012.

b) a) Referrals per Top Ten Zip Codes



c) b) Percentage of Overall Referrals by Top Ten Zip Codes



c) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
89030	1,900	1,690	-11%	1,505	-11%	1,427	-5%	1,172	-18%
89110	1,581	1,694	7%	1,510	-11%	1,112	-26%	1,123	1%
89115	1,377	1,425	3%	1,217	-15%	1,174	-4%	1,131	-4%
89108	1,210	1,130	-7%	1,063	-6%	1,014	-5%	815	-20%
89101	1,179	1,178	0%	1,061	-10%	966	-9%	819	-15%
89031	960	901	-6%	866	-4%	833	-4%	665	-20%
89121	926	951	3%	934	-2%	724	-22%	560	-23%
89106	897	854	-5%	748	-12%	588	-21%	492	-16%
89032	746	664	-11%	661	0%	694	5%	545	-21%
89107	592	645	9%	691	7%	585	-15%	381	-35%
Total	11,368	11,132	-2%	10,256	-8%	9,117	-11%	7,703	-16%


10. Percentage Summary of Referred Youth Population in 2012

- **Gender:** Male- 68%, Female- 32%
- **Ethnicity/Race:** African American/Black- 28%, Asian/Pacific Islander- 3%, Hispanic- 40%, White- 26%
- **Age:** 15-17 Group- 69%
- **Household Composition:** Single mother- 38%, Intact- 19%
- **Self Reported Gang Affiliation:** 1.7% of Referred Youth Population
- **Prior Referral:** 54% of Referred Youth Population
- **Prior Adjudicated Referral:** 24% of Referred Youth Population
- **Youth with a F/GM Referral:** 38% of Referred Youth Population
- **Top Ten Zip Codes:** 44% of all Referrals

V. Referral Statistics

A referral is an action that involves bringing a youth to the attention of the DJJS. This section outlines the total number of referrals compared to the number of involved youth, the types of referrals, sources of referrals, charge categories, most common charges, most common felony and gross misdemeanor charges, the number of prior referrals, and the number of prior adjudicated referrals.

A. Total Number of Referrals Compared to the Number of Involved Youth




1. Annual Percentage Difference

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Referrals	25,877	25,314	-2%	23,912	-6%	21,083	-12%	17,668	-16%
Youth	16,421	15,914	-3%	15,247	-4%	13,705	-10%	11,282	-18%

B. Referral Types

Referrals to the Clark County DJJS are received in a variety of ways. A majority of youth comes to the attention of the DJJS through a citation, which involves law enforcement recording the charges of the youth and directing them to appear for an appointment at the Intake division of the DJJS. This process is similar to receiving a traffic ticket. Another major referral source is when the youth being presented to the staff of the DJJS. This “youth present” category would include law enforcement bookings, where a youth is physically taken to the DJJS to be booked and evaluated for detainment. Also, this category would include field bookings, where charges are added to a youth’s record without having the youth physically brought into booking. Other referrals are the result of a summons or a Writ of Attachment, which are court generated documents that require a youth to appear in court. The last type of referral falls under the ICOJ category. ICOJ stands for Interstate Compact on Juveniles, which is a formal process between states where a youth is placed under supervision in one state and supervised in another.

1. Referral Types (2012 Referrals – 17,668)




a) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Citation	14,094	13,944	-1%	13,510	-3%	11,673	-14%	9,508	-19%
ICOJ	176	130	-26%	123	-5%	110	-11%	100	-9%
Summons	570	644	13%	587	-9%	601	2%	79	-87%
Writ of Attachment	988	844	-15%	979	16%	702	-28%	839	20%
Youth Present	10,049	9,752	-3%	8,713	-11%	7,997	-8%	7,142	-11%
Total	25,877	25,314	-2%	23,912	-6%	21,083	-12%	17,668	-16%

C. Sources of Referrals

The following statistics illustrate the agency, law enforcement group, or entity that brought a referral to the attention of the DJJS.

1. Top Five Referral Sources by Percentage of Overall Referrals



a) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)


	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
LVMPD	12,641	12,123	-4%	10,744	-11%	8,914	-17%	6,934	-22%
CCSD Police	5,489	5,805	6%	6,063	4%	5,900	-3%	5,045	-14%
DJJS	1,845	1,906	3%	2,123	11%	2,049	-3%	2,210	8%
NLVPD	2,018	2,054	2%	1,824	-11%	1,744	-4%	1,415	-19%
HPD	1,585	1,389	-12%	1,440	4%	940	-35%	755	-20%
District Court	477	472	-1%	409	-13%	396	-3%	297	-25%
BCPD	295	224	-24%	201	-10%	181	-10%	159	-12%
Other	325	229	-30%	143	-38%	131	-8%	109	-17%
Mesquite Police Dept.	176	196	11%	175	-11%	182	4%	204	12%
NHP	224	253	13%	196	-23%	162	-17%	72	-56%
City Marshall's Unit	222	207	-7%	170	-18%	146	-14%	132	-10%
Clark County Park Police	332	234	-30%	113	-52%	62	-45%	102	65%
Nevada Youth Parole	128	107	-16%	187	75%	172	-8%	142	-17%
DCFS	52	27	-48%	24	-11%	28	17%	33	18%
Gaming Control Board	2	18	800%	34	89%	22	-35%	12	-45%

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Nevada Division of Wildlife	19	26	37%	18	-31%	9	-50%	5	-44%
UNLV Police	6	10	67%	3	-70%	12	300%	6	-50%
Clark County Fire Dept	5	1	-80%	2	100%	15	650%	10	-33%
Tribal Police	8	4	-50%	11	175%	1	-91%	4	300%
Henderson Fire Dept	12	3	-75%	6	100%	2	-67%	1	-50%
Las Vegas Fire & Rescue	2	7	250%	5	-29%	4	-20%	5	25%
College of Southern NV Police Dept	5	3	-40%	7	133%	3	-57%	4	33%
NLV Fire Dept	2	2	0%	4	100%	3	-25%	6	100%
Federal Marshall's Office	0	4	-100%	3	-25%	3	0%	1	-67%
DFS	4	0	-67%	0	-	1	-	4	300%
National Park Service	3	1	-67%	2	100%	0	-100%	0	-
Union Pacific Police	0	4	-	2	-50%	0	-100%	0	-
P&P - Nevada Adult Parole & Probation	0	2	-	0	-100%	1	-	1	0%
USPS - US Postal Service	0	2	-	0	-100%	0	-	0	-
BLM - Bureau of Land Management	0	1	-	0	-100%	0	-	0	-
CIA	0	0	-	1	-	0	-100%	0	-
FBI	0	0	-	1	-	0	-100%	0	-
Total	25,877	25,314	-2%	23,911	-6%	21,083	-12%	17,668	-16%

D. Time of Offense

The following statistics represent the time of the youth’s alleged offense. The first section is a line graph that illustrates the number of referrals that corresponds to the offense time. Using the graph, the lowest point is around 6:00am and the highest point is about 10:00am. The second section is the historical statistics for the past five years.

1. Referrals by Time of Offense



a) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
12:00 AM	1,266	1,168	-8%	1,028	-12%	889	-14%	617	-31%
1:00 AM	1,263	1,174	-7%	1,122	-4%	884	-21%	707	-20%
2:00 AM	1,000	960	-4%	927	-3%	749	-19%	573	-23%
3:00 AM	746	684	-8%	607	-11%	505	-17%	429	-15%
4:00 AM	456	450	-1%	436	-3%	369	-15%	314	-15%
5:00 AM	271	235	-13%	226	-4%	182	-19%	158	-13%
6:00 AM	190	179	-6%	161	-10%	149	-7%	94	-37%
7:00 AM	447	468	5%	388	-17%	406	5%	376	-7%
8:00 AM	920	832	-10%	841	1%	856	2%	722	-16%
9:00 AM	1,690	1,686	0%	1,650	-2%	1,462	-11%	1,201	-18%
10:00 AM	1,801	2,032	13%	2,090	3%	1,919	-8%	1,371	-29%
11:00 AM	1,615	1,524	-6%	1,525	0%	1,415	-7%	1,347	-5%
12:00 PM	1,380	1,487	8%	1,314	-12%	1,331	1%	1,223	-8%
1:00 PM	1,514	1,498	-1%	1,388	-7%	1,316	-5%	1,229	-7%
2:00 PM	1,423	1,311	-8%	1,377	5%	1,144	-17%	969	-15%
3:00 PM	1,205	1,144	-5%	1,229	7%	969	-21%	867	-11%
4:00 PM	1,166	1,181	1%	1,223	4%	1,101	-10%	904	-18%
5:00 PM	1,143	1,170	2%	1,094	-6%	914	-16%	791	-13%
6:00 PM	1,002	1,033	3%	913	-12%	789	-14%	605	-23%
7:00 PM	934	973	4%	861	-12%	718	-17%	578	-19%
8:00 PM	894	906	1%	906	0%	659	-27%	614	-7%
9:00 PM	1,007	927	-8%	813	-12%	676	-17%	646	-4%
10:00 PM	1,070	1,034	-3%	759	-27%	712	-6%	593	-17%
11:00 PM	1,298	1,129	-13%	912	-19%	859	-6%	640	-25%
Unknown	176	129	-27%	121	-6%	110	-9%	100	-9%
Total	25,877	25,314	-2%	23,911	-6%	21,083	-12%	17,668	-16%

E. Maximum Charge Categories

Nevada Revised Statutes group charges for which Juveniles can be referred into eleven categories based upon their nature and type of victims. The following category types are used throughout this report:

- **Crimes Against Persons:** A crime against the body of another human being.
- **Crimes Against Property:** A crime against another's property. These offenses included such charges as larceny, receiving stolen goods and embezzlement.
- **Crimes Against Public Health and Safety:** A crime traditionally viewed as endangering the whole of society. In Nevada, these offenses include those related to alcohol, tobacco, and weapons.
- **Crimes Against the Public:** A crime that impairs the administration of justice. For example - bribery, perjury, falsifying evidence and obstruction.
- **Drugs and Controlled Substance Crimes:** An offense that violates any of the laws designed to control the distribution, classification, sale, and use of certain drugs.

- **Local Code Crime:** A violation of a statute that relates to or operates in a particular locality rather than the entire state.
- **Malicious Mischief Crimes:** Offenses that involve intentionally destroying or damaging another's property.
- **Miscellaneous Crimes:** Offenses defined by what the legislature chose to put in Chapter 207 of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS). Included here, as major categories, are habitual criminal laws, vagrancy, interference with emergency communications, deceptive advertising, and racketeering. Also, in this category is a group called "Miscellaneous" which includes coercion, trespass, false 911 call, annoying a minor, false crime report and the "hate" crime enhancement.
- **Sexual Crimes:** An offense involving unlawful sexual conduct. In Nevada, these are found in NRS Chapter 200 under Crimes Against the Person.
- **Status Offenses:** A type of offense of which a person is guilty by being in a certain condition or of a specific character. Specifically in the Nevada juvenile system, these generally refer to offenses that would not be an offense if committed by an adult. This includes runaway, truancy, unmanageable and curfew.
- **Traffic Crimes:** The violation of prescribed rules of conduct to promote the orderly and safe flow of people, animals, vehicles and vessels.

In addition, the DJJS uses an additional category of "Charge Types" known as "Administrative Actions" in order to record actions that, though they are not actual offenses, are related to them. These actions, recorded as charges, include the following major actions:

Writ of Attachments
Courtesy Holds
Drug Court
Immigration Holds
Material Witness
Revocation of Release Agreement
Judicial Order


These "Administrative Actions" are not offenses in themselves and therefore do not lead to additional court actions such as petitions or dispositions. They are understood in the jurisdiction to be recordings of events and not as criminal acts. They are usually tied to an actual charge already existing for the juvenile's previous record, or one for which the District Attorney has compiled enough evidence to refer the youth and bring them into custody. For example, a Bench Writ of Attachment is most often issued when a youth fails to appear on a charge at a scheduled hearing. A Bench Writ of Attachment Served is recorded when the youth is brought into Booking, where he or she may or may not be detained. In another example, "Drug Court" is entered as a reason for detaining a youth who is ordered to spend a set number of days in detention as part of a drug treatment program.

The exception to this rule is that there are three actual charge areas under this category, which include: "Other Felony," "Other Gross Misdemeanor," and "Other Misdemeanor."

These entries occur when a charge not listed specifically in Family TRACS is used. Use of these entries requires that the specific name and nature of the charge be recorded in a text box. These charges, then, are subject to petition and disposition, if referred to and accepted by the District Attorney.

The following charge category statistics only reflect the most serious charge at the time of referral.

1. Percentage of Overall Referrals by Charge Category




a) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Administrative Actions	2,324	2,264	-3%	2,088	-8%	1,847	-12%	1,588	-14%
Crimes Against Persons	3,074	3,000	-2%	2,841	-5%	2,523	-11%	2,398	-5%
Crimes Against Property	4,645	4,574	-2%	4,149	-9%	3,432	-17%	2,879	-16%
Crimes Against Public Health and Safety	1,778	1,730	-3%	1,616	-7%	1,206	-25%	1,017	-16%
Crimes Against the Public	2,224	2,168	-3%	2,165	0%	2,143	-1%	2,151	0%
Drug and Controlled Substances Crimes	2,464	2,649	8%	2,941	11%	3,048	4%	2,717	-11%
Local Code Crimes	422	381	-10%	347	-9%	230	-34%	209	-9%
Malicious Mischief Crimes	1,130	1,014	-10%	737	-27%	614	-17%	512	-17%
Miscellaneous Crimes	1,243	1,270	2%	1,194	-6%	859	-28%	720	-16%
Sexual Crimes	201	165	-18%	149	-10%	142	-5%	177	25%
Status Offenses	4,682	4,515	-4%	4,413	-2%	3,890	-12%	2,755	-29%
Traffic Crimes	1,690	1,584	-6%	1,272	-20%	1,149	-10%	545	-53%
Total	25,877	25,314	-2%	23,912	-6%	21,083	-12%	17,668	-16%

F. Top Ten Most Common Charges (All Charges)

The following charge statistics only reflect the most serious charge at the time of referral.



1. Percentage of Overall Referrals


a) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Habitual Truancy	2,205	2,412	9%	2,511	4%	2,295	-9%	1,579	-31%
Battery	1,929	1,901	-1%	1,818	-4%	1,659	-9%	1,598	-4%
Petty Larceny	1,771	2,079	17%	2,023	-3%	1,577	-22%	1,327	-16%
Curfew - General	1,979	1,789	-10%	1,684	-6%	1,142	-32%	915	-20%
Possession of Marijuana	1,216	1,350	11%	1,341	-1%	1,321	-1%	1,173	-11%
Possession or Consumption of Alcohol	1,204	1,273	6%	1,261	-1%	864	-31%	727	-16%
Burglary	1,195	981	-18%	829	-15%	719	-13%	554	-23%
Violation of Probation	822	757	-8%	852	13%	827	-3%	958	16%
Judicial Order	718	706	-2%	712	1%	663	-7%	566	-15%
Trespass	753	793	5%	661	-17%	440	-33%	364	-17%

2. Top Ten Most Common Charges (All Charges) by Ethnicity/Race

For each charge, the first chart will illustrate 2012 statistics and the second chart will illustrate historical statistics for the maximum charges from 2008 to 2012.


a) Habitual Truancy (2012 Referrals – 1,577)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	345	421	22%	417	-1%	389	-7%	286	-26%
Asian/Pacific Islander	62	48	-23%	60	25%	61	2%	28	-54%
Hispanic	1,140	1,310	15%	1,458	11%	1,262	-13%	897	-29%
Other	68	87	28%	83	-5%	79	-5%	25	-68%
White	590	546	-7%	493	-10%	504	2%	341	-32%
Total	2,205	2,412	9%	2,511	4%	2,295	-9%	1,577	-31%


b) Petty Larceny (2012 Referrals – 1,327)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	630	567	-10%	601	6%	437	-27%	444	2%
Asian/Pacific Islander	69	103	49%	109	6%	86	-21%	78	-9%
Hispanic	542	740	37%	702	-5%	608	-13%	428	-30%
Other	34	54	59%	64	19%	50	-22%	33	-34%
White	496	615	24%	547	-11%	396	-28%	344	-13%
Total	1,771	2,079	17%	2,023	-3%	1,577	-22%	1,327	-16%


c) Battery (2012 Referrals – 1,600)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	533	531	0%	453	-15%	497	10%	511	3%
Asian/Pacific Islander	59	32	-46%	43	34%	34	-21%	33	-3%
Hispanic	612	610	0%	610	0%	507	-17%	498	-2%
Other	61	70	15%	80	14%	72	-10%	75	4%
White	664	658	-1%	632	-4%	549	-13%	483	-12%
Total	1,929	1,901	-1%	1,818	-4%	1,659	-9%	1,600	-4%


d) Curfew- General (2012 Referrals – 915)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	504	468	-7%	449	-4%	347	-23%	267	-23%
Asian/Pacific Islander	59	58	-2%	56	-3%	42	-25%	40	-5%
Hispanic	674	672	0%	595	-11%	355	-40%	317	-11%
Other	35	31	-11%	47	52%	30	-36%	21	-30%
White	707	560	-21%	537	-4%	368	-31%	270	-27%
Total	1,979	1,789	-10%	1,684	-6%	1,142	-32%	915	-20%


e) Possession of Marijuana (2012 Referrals – 1,173)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	269	275	2%	281	2%	277	-1%	253	-9%
Asian/Pacific Islander	27	41	52%	36	-12%	40	11%	35	-13%
Hispanic	427	555	30%	587	6%	590	1%	554	-6%
Other	22	28	27%	27	-4%	35	30%	32	-9%
White	471	451	-4%	410	-9%	379	-8%	299	-21%
Total	1,216	1,350	11%	1,341	-1%	1,321	-1%	1,173	-11%


f) Possession or Consumption of Alcohol (2012 Referrals – 727)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	130	103	-21%	132	28%	95	-28%	89	-6%
Asian/Pacific Islander	28	62	121%	49	-21%	32	-35%	21	-34%
Hispanic	459	571	24%	558	-2%	363	-35%	334	-8%
Other	22	27	23%	30	11%	24	-20%	24	0%
White	565	510	-10%	492	-4%	350	-29%	259	-26%
Total	1,204	1,273	6%	1,261	-1%	864	-31%	727	-16%


g) Violation of Probation (2012 Referrals - 958)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	306	246	-20%	257	4%	278	8%	372	34%
Asian/Pacific Islander	8	10	25%	13	30%	24	85%	18	-25%
Hispanic	283	283	0%	323	14%	290	-10%	328	13%
Other	31	25	-19%	33	32%	33	0%	33	0%
White	194	193	-1%	226	17%	202	-11%	207	2%
Total	822	757	-8%	852	13%	827	-3%	958	16%


h) Burglary (2012 Referrals - 554)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	369	344	-7%	268	-22%	223	-17%	213	-4%
Asian/Pacific Islander	30	26	-13%	19	-27%	19	0%	17	-11%
Hispanic	446	340	-24%	300	-12%	239	-20%	178	-26%
Other	40	30	-25%	28	-7%	25	-11%	18	-28%
White	310	241	-22%	214	-11%	213	0%	128	-40%
Total	1,195	981	-18%	829	-15%	719	-13%	554	-23%


i) Judicial Order (2012 Referrals - 566)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	156	171	10%	170	-1%	148	-13%	148	0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	10	10	0%	10	0%	13	30%	10	-23%
Hispanic	338	327	-3%	315	-4%	310	-2%	259	-16%
Other	27	18	-33%	21	17%	13	-38%	10	-23%
White	187	180	-4%	196	9%	179	-9%	139	-22%
Total	718	706	-2%	712	1%	663	-7%	566	-15%

j) Trespass (2012 Referrals - 364)




1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	270	335	24%	269	-20%	185	-31%	128	-31%
Asian/Pacific Islander	7	10	43%	6	-40%	9	50%	5	-44%
Hispanic	297	283	-5%	236	-17%	155	-34%	152	-2%
Other	28	14	-50%	15	7%	10	-33%	10	0%
White	151	151	0%	135	-11%	81	-40%	69	-15%
Total	753	793	5%	661	-17%	440	-33%	364	-17%

G. Top Ten Most Common Felony/Gross Misdemeanor Charges

The following charge statistics only reflect the most serious charge at the time of referral.

1. Percentage of Overall Felony/Gross Misdemeanor Referrals




a) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Burglary	1,195	981	-18%	829	-15%	719	-13%	554	-23%
Under the Influence or Use of a Controlled Substance	330	362	10%	441	22%	523	19%	521	0%
Graffiti	224	319	42%	267	-16%	203	-24%	180	-11%
Malicious Destruction of Property	328	284	-13%	160	-44%	153	-4%	109	-29%
Robbery	249	218	-12%	217	0%	164	-24%	152	-7%
Assault with a Deadly Weapon	200	204	2%	180	-12%	169	-6%	137	-19%
Possession of Controlled Substance	131	118	-10%	157	33%	233	48%	208	-11%
Grand Larceny	207	196	-5%	178	-9%	141	-21%	65	-54%
Home Invasion	190	187	-2%	132	-29%	97	-27%	81	-16%
Possession of Controlled Substance with Intent to Sell	135	120	-11%	173	44%	137	-21%	116	-15%

2. Top Ten Most Common Charges Felony/Gross Misdemeanor Charges by Ethnicity/Race

For each charge, the first chart will illustrate 2012 statistics and the second chart will illustrate historical statistics for the maximum felony/gross misdemeanor charges from 2008 to 2012.


a) Burglary (2012 Referrals - 554)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	369	344	-7%	268	-22%	223	-17%	213	-4%
Asian/Pacific Islander	30	26	-13%	19	-27%	19	0%	17	-11%
Hispanic	446	340	-24%	300	-12%	239	-20%	178	-26%
Other	40	30	-25%	28	-7%	25	-11%	18	-28%
White	310	241	-22%	214	-11%	213	0%	128	-40%
Total	1,195	981	-18%	829	-15%	719	-13%	554	-23%


b) Under the Influence of a Controlled Substance (2012 Referrals - 521)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	63	94	49%	103	10%	123	19%	139	13%
Asian/Pacific Islander	4	3	-25%	5	67%	11	120%	12	9%
Hispanic	142	129	-9%	164	27%	208	27%	241	16%
Other	12	13	8%	18	38%	20	11%	20	0%
White	109	123	13%	151	23%	161	7%	109	-32%
Total	330	362	10%	441	22%	523	19%	521	0%


c) Graffiti (2012 Referrals - 180)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	12	21	75%	12	-43%	13	8%	6	-54%
Asian/Pacific Islander	7	9	29%	10	11%	3	-70%	7	133%
Hispanic	164	236	44%	190	-19%	162	-15%	139	-14%
Other	3	7	133%	3	-57%	1	-67%	5	400%
White	38	46	21%	52	13%	24	-54%	23	-4%
Total	224	319	42%	267	-16%	203	-24%	180	-11%


d) Robbery (2012 Referrals - 152)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	141	127	-10%	122	-4%	83	-32%	91	10%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	4	-	2	-50%	2	0%	1	-50%
Hispanic	57	51	-11%	49	-4%	52	6%	31	-40%
Other	11	9	-18%	6	-33%	3	-50%	8	167%
White	40	27	-33%	38	41%	24	-37%	21	-13%
Total	249	218	-12%	217	0%	164	-24%	152	-7%


e) Grand Larceny (2012 Referrals - 65)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	62	56	-10%	56	0%	43	-23%	20	-53%
Asian/Pacific Islander	7	11	57%	7	-36%	7	0%	1	-86%
Hispanic	81	62	-23%	66	6%	52	-21%	26	-50%
Other	4	8	100%	7	-13%	9	29%	3	-67%
White	53	59	11%	42	-29%	30	-29%	15	-50%
Total	207	196	-5%	178	-9%	141	-21%	65	-54%


f) Assault with a Deadly Weapon (2012 Referrals - 137)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	79	73	-8%	54	-26%	53	-2%	52	-2%
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	4	33%	5	25%	6	20%	4	-33%
Hispanic	57	62	9%	63	2%	57	-10%	47	-18%
Other	4	10	150%	6	-40%	5	-17%	7	40%
White	57	55	-4%	52	-5%	48	-8%	27	-44%
Total	200	204	2%	180	-12%	169	-6%	137	-19%


g) Possession of Controlled Substance with Intent to Sell (2012 Referrals - 116)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	46	48	4%	49	2%	43	-12%	31	-28%
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	4	33%	8	100%	9	13%	4	-56%
Hispanic	53	37	-30%	63	70%	45	-29%	54	20%
Other	4	6	50%	3	-50%	7	133%	1	-86%
White	29	25	-14%	50	100%	33	-34%	26	-21%
Total	135	120	-11%	173	44%	137	-21%	116	-15%


h) Malicious Destruction of Property (2012 Referrals - 109)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	56	42	-25%	21	-50%	14	-33%	10	-29%
Asian/Pacific Islander	10	9	-10%	6	-33%	4	-33%	0	-100%
Hispanic	159	162	2%	92	-43%	94	2%	62	-34%
Other	14	10	-29%	3	-70%	5	67%	7	40%
White	89	61	-31%	38	-38%	36	-5%	30	-17%
Total	328	284	-13%	160	-44%	153	-4%	109	-29%


i) Possession of Controlled Substance (2012 Referrals - 208)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	19	18	-5%	25	39%	29	16%	38	31%
Asian/Pacific Islander	9	1	-89%	3	200%	10	233%	4	-60%
Hispanic	44	36	-18%	47	31%	94	100%	101	7%
Other	6	6	0%	4	-33%	4	0%	6	50%
White	53	57	8%	78	37%	96	23%	59	-39%
Total	131	118	-10%	157	33%	233	48%	208	-11%

j) Home Invasion (2012 Referrals - 81)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)


	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	93	77	-17%	71	-8%	53	-25%	53	0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	3	0%	0	-100%	0	-	1	-
Hispanic	58	56	-3%	40	-29%	26	-35%	20	-23%
Other	7	9	29%	2	-78%	4	100%	2	-50%
White	29	42	45%	19	-55%	14	-26%	5	-64%
Total	190	187	-2%	132	-29%	97	-27%	81	-16%

H. Prior Referral Statistics

1. Number of Prior Referrals

The following statistics illustrate the number of prior referrals that a youth had when they were referred in 2012 to the DJJS. After providing an overview for all referrals, specific details will be provided for each ethnicity/race category.


a) Summary of Prior Referrals (2012 Referrals – 17,668)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
1	4,387	4,251	-3%	3,988	-6%	3,458	-13%	2,835	-18%
2	2,825	2,665	-6%	2,502	-6%	2,195	-12%	1,776	-19%
3	1,970	1,925	-2%	1,788	-7%	1,549	-13%	1,237	-20%
4	1,483	1,502	1%	1,351	-10%	1,168	-14%	1,004	-14%
5	1,164	1,168	0%	1,052	-10%	915	-13%	784	-14%
6+	4,755	4,916	3%	4,828	-2%	4,196	-13%	3,890	-7%
None	9,293	8,887	-4%	8,402	-5%	7,602	-10%	6,142	-19%
Total	25,877	25,314	-2%	23,911	-6%	21,083	-12%	17,668	-16%


b) Prior Referral Statistics for African American/Black Youth (2012 Referrals – 5,197)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
1	1,085	1,057	-3%	918	-13%	877	-4%	821	-6%
2	775	700	-10%	657	-6%	581	-12%	540	-7%
3	580	536	-8%	493	-8%	435	-12%	401	-8%
4	452	441	-2%	397	-10%	317	-20%	316	0%
5	359	363	1%	326	-10%	271	-17%	235	-13%
6+	1,660	1,681	1%	1,607	-4%	1,385	-14%	1,327	-4%
None	2,039	1,863	-9%	1,763	-5%	1,730	-2%	1,557	-10%
Total	6,950	6,641	-4%	6,161	-7%	5,596	-9%	5,197	-7%


c) Prior Referral Statistics for Asian/Pacific Islander Youth (2012 Referrals - 469)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
1	125	108	-14%	120	11%	109	-9%	65	-40%
2	65	62	-5%	60	-3%	61	2%	44	-28%
3	27	42	56%	40	-5%	38	-5%	23	-39%
4	21	20	-5%	26	30%	31	19%	24	-23%
5	13	14	8%	20	43%	15	-25%	17	13%
6+	37	46	24%	48	4%	63	31%	61	-3%
None	320	350	9%	343	-2%	290	-15%	235	-19%
Total	608	642	6%	657	2%	607	-8%	469	-23%


d) Prior Referral Statistics for Hispanic Youth (2012 Referrals – 7,113)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
1	1,783	1,726	-3%	1,712	-1%	1,427	-17%	1,183	-17%
2	1,114	1,104	-1%	1,042	-6%	926	-11%	727	-21%
3	794	784	-1%	733	-7%	654	-11%	501	-23%
4	623	627	1%	550	-12%	498	-9%	412	-17%
5	474	487	3%	427	-12%	384	-10%	326	-15%
6+	1,754	2,007	14%	1,964	-2%	1,716	-13%	1,572	-8%
None	3,595	3,563	-1%	3,397	-5%	3,063	-10%	2,392	-22%
Total	10,137	10,298	2%	9,825	-5%	8,668	-12%	7,113	-18%


e) Prior Referral Statistics for White Youth (2012 Referrals – 4,338)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
1	1,312	1,252	-5%	1,155	-8%	960	-17%	689	-28%
2	803	734	-9%	676	-8%	559	-17%	410	-27%
3	523	499	-5%	467	-6%	369	-21%	263	-29%
4	353	370	5%	324	-12%	284	-12%	215	-24%
5	294	270	-8%	238	-12%	213	-11%	182	-15%
6+	1,094	1,045	-4%	1,042	0%	858	-18%	776	-10%
None	3,137	2,865	-9%	2,657	-7%	2,335	-12%	1,803	-23%
Total	7,516	7,035	-6%	6,559	-7%	5,578	-15%	4,338	-22%


f) Summary of the Number of Prior Referrals by Ethnicity and the Percentage of Overall Referrals in 2012



2. Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals

The following statistics illustrate the number of prior adjudicated referrals that a youth had when they were referred in 2012 to the DJJS. Unlike the previous section, the prior referrals must be adjudicated. After providing an overview for all referrals, specific details will be provided for each ethnicity/race category.


a) Summary of the Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals (2012 Referrals – 17,668)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
1	3,196	3,197	0%	2,954	-8%	2,615	-11%	2,202	-16%
2	2,168	2,013	-7%	1,932	-4%	1,651	-15%	1,384	-16%
3	1,309	1,273	-3%	1,238	-3%	1,140	-8%	1,027	-10%
4	757	781	3%	731	-6%	640	-12%	723	13%
5	484	544	12%	486	-11%	467	-4%	448	-4%
6+	626	719	15%	901	25%	664	-26%	708	7%
None	17,337	16,787	-3%	15,669	-7%	13,906	-11%	11,176	-20%
Total	25,877	25,314	-2%	23,911	-6%	21,083	-12%	17,668	-16%


b) Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals for each Referral of African American/Black Youth (2012 Referrals – 5,197)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
1	1,048	955	-9%	844	-12%	791	-6%	729	-8%
2	702	647	-8%	599	-7%	507	-15%	439	-13%
3	422	404	-4%	401	-1%	358	-11%	321	-10%
4	252	283	12%	217	-23%	199	-8%	250	26%
5	204	193	-5%	155	-20%	145	-6%	153	6%
6+	261	276	6%	343	24%	246	-28%	271	10%
None	4,061	3,883	-4%	3,602	-7%	3,350	-7%	3,034	-9%
Total	6,950	6,641	-4%	6,161	-7%	5,596	-9%	5,197	-7%


c) Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals for each Referral of Asian/Pacific Islander Youth (2012 Referrals - 469)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
1	46	58	26%	47	-19%	53	13%	58	9%
2	24	24	0%	33	38%	38	15%	25	-34%
3	9	22	144%	16	-27%	25	56%	24	-4%
4	9	5	-44%	11	120%	8	-27%	13	63%
5	6	5	-17%	10	100%	4	-60%	6	50%
6+	2	7	250%	4	-43%	11	175%	12	9%
None	512	521	2%	536	3%	468	-13%	331	-29%
Total	608	642	6%	657	2%	607	-8%	469	-23%


d) Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals for each Referral of Hispanic Youth (2012 Referrals – 7,113)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
1	1,233	1,265	3%	1,152	-9%	1,050	-9%	843	-20%
2	861	812	-6%	782	-4%	656	-16%	586	-11%
3	532	534	0%	475	-11%	448	-6%	429	-4%
4	268	304	13%	325	7%	262	-19%	291	11%
5	167	215	29%	187	-13%	212	13%	185	-13%
6+	200	261	31%	351	34%	254	-28%	271	7%
None	6,876	6,907	0%	6,553	-5%	5,786	-12%	4,508	-22%
Total	10,137	10,298	2%	9,825	-5%	8,668	-12%	7,113	-18%


e) Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals for each Referral of White Youth (2012 Referrals – 4,338)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
1	788	827	5%	797	-4%	622	-22%	488	-22%
2	519	478	-8%	446	-7%	385	-14%	294	-24%
3	299	270	-10%	317	17%	264	-17%	213	-19%
4	185	158	-15%	149	-6%	143	-4%	137	-4%
5	87	113	30%	109	-4%	90	-17%	84	-7%
6+	133	156	17%	169	8%	131	-22%	124	-5%
None	5,505	5,033	-9%	4,572	-9%	3,943	-14%	2,998	-24%
Total	7,516	7,035	-6%	6,559	-7%	5,578	-15%	4,338	-22%

f) Summary of the Number of Prior Adjudicated Referrals by Ethnicity and the Percentage of Overall Referrals in 2012




I. Writ of Attachment Statistics

The following statistics are based on the date the Writ of Attachment was issued, served, or quashed. There are three types of Writ of Attachments: AW- Arrest Writ, BW- Bench Writ, TW- Traffic Writ. *2012 law change and order was given to quash all remaining TW and these cases be referred to another court.

1. Issued Writ of Attachment Statistics


a) Issued Arrest Writ Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2012 Writs- 662)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	336	269	-20%	284	6%	199	-30%	248	25%
Asian/Pacific Islander	11	8	-27%	14	75%	13	-7%	7	-46%
Hispanic	272	248	-9%	277	12%	206	-26%	232	13%
Other	33	30	-9%	48	60%	30	-38%	26	-13%
White	230	177	-23%	222	25%	122	-45%	149	22%
Total	882	732	-17%	845	15%	570	-33%	662	16%

b) Issued Bench Writ Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2012 Writs- 687)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	437	446	2%	314	-30%	253	-19%	241	-5%
Asian/Pacific Islander	11	29	164%	17	-41%	18	6%	11	-39%
Hispanic	477	539	13%	338	-37%	331	-2%	269	-19%
Other	55	72	31%	33	-54%	26	-21%	28	8%
White	283	322	14%	199	-38%	148	-26%	138	-7%
Total	1,263	1,408	11%	901	-36%	776	-14%	687	-11%


c) Issued Traffic Writ of Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2012 Writs - 0)

1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	35	3	-91%	14	367%	14	0%	0	-100%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0	-	1	-!	2	100%	0	-100%
Hispanic	108	16	-85%	25	56%	22	-12%	0	-100%
Other	3	0	-100%	2	-	1	-50%	0	-100%
White	25	2	-92%	11	450%	10	-9%	0	-100%
Total	171	21	-88%	53	152%	49	-8%	0	-100%

2. Served Writ of Attachment Statistics


a) Served Arrest Writ Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2012 Writs - 472)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	284	234	-18%	242	3%	174	-28%	177	2%
Asian/Pacific Islander	6	10	67%	10	0%	12	20%	10	-17%
Hispanic	220	199	-10%	243	22%	176	-28%	163	-7%
Other	24	24	0%	26	8%	31	19%	18	-42%
White	174	156	-10%	175	12%	112	-36%	104	-7%
Total	708	623	-12%	696	12%	505	-27%	472	-7%

b) Served Bench Writ Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2012 Writs - 437)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	301	276	-8%	225	-18%	183	-19%	150	-18%
Asian/Pacific Islander	8	15	88%	9	-40%	13	44%	8	-38%
Hispanic	304	324	7%	230	-29%	218	-5%	165	-24%
Other	28	31	11%	23	-26%	17	-26%	20	18%
White	176	193	10%	131	-32%	95	-27%	94	-1%
Total	817	839	3%	618	-26%	526	-15%	437	-17%


c) Served Traffic Writ Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2012 Writs- 4)

1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	25	6	-76%	6	0%	8	33%	2	-75%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	1	-	0	-100%	2	-	0	-100%
Hispanic	46	16	-65%	13	-19%	9	-31%	0	-100%
Other	1	1	0%	1	0%	3	200%	0	-100%
White	11	2	-82%	0	-100%	3	-	2	-33%
Total	83	26	-69%	20	-23%	25	25%	4	-84%

3. Quashed Writ of Attachment Statistics


a) Quashed Arrest Writ Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2012 Writs – 150)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	43	27	-37%	41	52%	28	-32%	46	64%
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	3	200%	4	33%	2	-50%	1	-50%
Hispanic	28	35	25%	41	17%	22	-46%	54	145%
Other	2	6	200%	9	50%	7	-22%	7	0%
White	43	34	-21%	42	24%	31	-26%	42	35%
Total	117	105	-10%	137	30%	90	-34%	150	67%


b) Quashed Bench Writ Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2012 Writs - 180)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	96	86	-10%	176	105%	99	-44%	58	-41%
Asian/Pacific Islander	5	8	60%	19	138%	8	-58%	8	0%
Hispanic	87	140	61%	267	91%	146	-45%	61	-58%
Other	6	14	133%	62	343%	8	-87%	7	-13%
White	83	112	35%	171	53%	75	-56%	46	-39%
Total	277	360	30%	695	93%	336	-52%	180	-46%

c) Quashed Traffic Writ Statistics by Ethnicity/Race (2012 Writs - 172)



1) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	5	2	-60%	5	150%	11	120%	25	127%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0	-	0	-	1	-	3	200%
Hispanic	40	11	-73%	10	-9%	21	110%	107	410%
Other	2	0	-100%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	8	4	-50%	3	-25%	11	267%	37	236%
Total	55	17	-69%	18	6%	44	144%	172	291%

VI. Intake Statistics

This section represents two main areas of statistics generated by the intake division, which includes the number of cases diverted and the number of cases referred to the district attorney’s office. The dates reflected represent the date they were diverted or referred to the district attorney.

A. Diversion Statistics


For the purpose of this report, the diversion category represents actions taken by the intake division to deter the referral from moving forward through the court system, which includes the following:

- Case Closed- After a review of the referral, a decision is made to prevent the case from moving forward to the court system.
- Community Service- As a consequence, the youth is tasked with completing a certain number of community service hours.
- Fines and Fees- Monetary payments imposed as part of a resolution for the referral.
- Informal Supervision- The assessment and disposition of a referral is handled informally, without a court petition, but involves ongoing supervision by the DJJS for a period of time.
- Penalties/Consequences- An action taken in resolution of a referral through which the youth is required to make amends for their behavior.

- Referred to other agency- After a review of the referral, it is decided that the youth could be better served through the services of a different agency.
- Service Programs- As a resolution for a referral, the youth is required to attend programs and services of a therapeutic nature.
- Trial by Peers- A jury of the youth’s peers decides on an informal resolution.
- Victim Offender Mediation- As a resolution, the youth is required to participate in a mediation with the victim of their offense.
- Warn and Release- In contrast to informal supervision, the youth is given a warning for their offense and they are released without further supervision by DJJS staff.

1. Diversion Types (2012 Diversions – 9,795)

Through the informal process, the categories below are assigned to a particular charge. As a referral may have more than one charge, one referral may generate multiple diversion categories. Therefore, the subcategories will not add up to the grand total.




a) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Case Closed	4,622	3,790	-18%	2,302	-39%	2,316	1%	2,704	17%
Community Service	1,001	1,089	9%	1,063	-2%	1,046	-2%	710	-32%
Diversion Court	0	0	-	1	-	92	-	232	152%
Fines and Fees	1,077	764	-29%	725	-5%	618	-15%	335	-46%
Informal Supervision	4,487	5,478	22%	6,237	14%	4,568	-27%	3,941	-14%
Penalties/Consequences	1,166	1,106	-5%	1,126	2%	965	-14%	1,171	21%
Service Programs	3,121	3,355	7%	3,092	-8%	2,833	-8%	2,611	-8%
Trial By Peers	89	171	92%	195	14%	201	3%	180	-10%
VOM	134	172	28%	153	-11%	148	-3%	140	-5%
Warn and Release	1,695	1,878	11%	2,003	7%	1,696	-15%	1,581	-7%
Total	13,473	13,506	0%	12,919	-4%	10,380	-20%	9,795	-6%

2. Diversions by Ethnicity/Race (2012 Diversions – 9,795)

The statistics below are based on total referrals that were diverted in 2012.




a) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	3,083	3,086	0%	2,960	-4%	2,527	-15%	2,475	-2%
Asian/Pacific Islander	397	427	8%	419	-2%	335	-20%	295	-12%
Hispanic	5,434	5,740	6%	5,471	-5%	4,281	-22%	4,246	-1%
Other	255	269	5%	325	21%	252	-22%	243	-4%
White	4,304	3,985	-7%	3,744	-6%	2,985	-20%	2,537	-15%
Total	13,473	13,506	0%	12,919	-4%	10,380	-20%	9,795	-6%

B. Cases Referred to the District Attorney by Ethnicity (2012 Referrals – 6,424)

These statistics reflect intake referrals to the district attorney’s office. The date represents the date of referral and not the offense date.




1. Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	2,769	2,745	-1%	2,566	-7%	2,340	-9%	2,089	-11%
Asian/Pacific Islander	195	195	0%	203	4%	213	5%	173	-19%
Hispanic	3,510	3,678	5%	3,648	-1%	3,144	-14%	2,488	-21%
Other	281	302	7%	294	-3%	278	-5%	257	-8%
White	2,493	2,471	-1%	2,250	-9%	1,997	-11%	1,417	-29%
Total	9,248	9,391	2%	8,961	-5%	7,972	-11%	6,424	-19%

VII. District Attorney Statistics

This section illustrates statistics that are generated by the district attorney's office. In particular, the following statistics include: the number of cases filed, the most serious charge category of a filed referral, and the number of youth filed directly with the adult court system.

A. Cases Filed by Ethnicity/Race (2012 Referrals Filed – 5,619)




1. Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	2,438	2,365	-3%	2,208	-7%	1,924	-13%	1,809	-6%
Asian/Pacific Islander	161	169	5%	155	-8%	157	1%	146	-7%
Hispanic	3,057	3,184	4%	3,163	-1%	2,648	-16%	2,190	-17%
Other	253	269	6%	260	-3%	237	-9%	234	-1%
White	2,074	2,097	1%	1,980	-6%	1,648	-17%	1,240	-25%
Total	7,983	8,084	1%	7,766	-4%	6,614	-15%	5,619	-15%

B. Most Serious Charge Category of Filed Referral (2008-2012) (See descriptions on page 26-28)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Administrative Actions	1	0	-100%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Crimes Against Persons	1,354	1,336	-1%	1,243	-7%	1,060	-15%	951	-10%
Crimes Against Property	2,308	1,951	-15%	1,595	-18%	1,272	-20%	1,179	-7%
Crimes Against Public Health and Safety	722	662	-8%	571	-14%	442	-23%	387	-12%
Crimes Against the Public	712	727	2%	779	7%	831	7%	857	3%
Drug and Controlled Substances Crimes	1,049	1,182	13%	1,364	15%	1,330	-2%	1,203	-10%
Local Code Crimes	89	104	17%	74	-29%	84	14%	76	-10%
Malicious Mischief Crimes	688	692	1%	510	-26%	398	-22%	385	-3%
Miscellaneous Crimes	370	395	7%	349	-12%	278	-20%	226	-19%
Sexual Crimes	118	113	-4%	95	-16%	86	-9%	107	24%
Status Offenses	374	768	105%	1,051	37%	715	-32%	143	-80%
Traffic Crimes	198	154	-22%	135	-12%	118	-13%	105	-11%
Total	7,983	8,084	1%	7,766	-4%	6,614	-15%	5,619	-15%

C. Youth Filed Directly with the Adult Court System by Ethnicity/Race (2012 Youth Filed - 25)



1. Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	25	32	28%	16	-50%	10	-38%	12	20%
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	1	0%	0	-100%	0	-	0	-
Hispanic	21	15	-29%	10	-33%	9	-10%	12	33%
Other	0	2	-	0	-100%	0	-	0	-
White	5	2	-60%	4	100%	3	-25%	1	-67%
Total	52	52	0%	30	-42%	22	-27%	25	14%

VIII. Court Actions

The following statistics represent decisions made through the formal court process. To reach this level, the District Attorney has filed the charges of the referral. With the charges filed in court, each case is placed under the court’s consideration. The statistics of this section are divided into the following: the different types of court actions, probation dispositions, SMYC dispositions, DCFS dispositions, and the number of youth certified.

A. Court Action Types by Referrals

Through the court process, as a result of a filed charge, a youth may receive the following types of formal court actions:

- Certification to Adult Status- As guided by Nevada Statutes, this is an action that sends a referral from the juvenile court system to be determined through the adult court system.
- Community Service- As a consequence, the youth is ordered to complete a certain number of community service hours.
- Counseling- As part of a court order, the youth is required to receive counseling services.
- Diversion- As a form of a graduated sanction, this action is taken in lieu of a more serious court action.

- Drug Court- As an option for drug related referrals, this action is taken to encourage and monitor the youth's ability to rehabilitate from drug use. Specifically, the youth will be required to take random drug testing.
- Fines and Fees- Monetary payments imposed as part of a resolution for the referral.
- Formal Parole- This action occurs for referred youth that have previously been committed to a State Correctional Facility. Upon release from the correctional facility, State Parole is to provide formal supervision of the youth.
- Formal Supervision- After consideration of the referral, the court decides to place the youth under formal supervision of the DJJS. This action would include the following: formal probation, electronic monitoring, and intensive supervision programs.
- Interstate Compact (ICOJ)- As a result of the court process, a formal agreement is made between states where a youth is placed under supervision in one state and supervised in another.
- Other – This option includes actions such as dismissing charges, no further action, or ordering the youth to follow conditions the Probation Department may deem appropriate. Finally, it includes any specific orders that are not covered in any of the other categories that the judge may impose as being uniquely suited to promoting the successful resolution of individual cases.
- Penalties/Consequences- An action taken in resolution of a referral through which the youth is required to make amends for their behavior.
- Commitment DCFS – As a result of the court process, an order is made to commit the youth to a State Correctional Facility.
- Placed OTHER- This action is used when the youth is ordered to live with relatives, in group homes, or alternative placements rather than DCFS or DJJS facilities.
- Placed SMYC- As a result of the court process, an order is made to place the youth into the Spring Mountain Youth Camp.
- Referred to DJJS- After a review of the referral, it is decided that the resolution can be determined by the DJJS. This would include referrals to probation or traffic.
- Referred to State Parole- After examining of the referral, it is decided that State Parole can determine the resolution. This would include youth that are currently under the formal supervision of State Parole.
- Residential Treatment- For rehabilitation purposes, the youth is ordered to receive residential treatment.

- Restitution- The youth is ordered to make payments to the victims of their offenses. The amount is to be determined by the Victim Assistance Program (VAP).
- Service Programs- As a resolution for a referral, the youth is required to attend programs and services of a therapeutic nature.
- Suspended Commitment- As a graduated sanction, the youth is given an opportunity to rehabilitate without being sent to a State Correctional Facility. However, should any other infractions occur, the youth will be committed to DCFS.
- Suspended Commitment/Formal Probation- In lieu of being committed to a State Correctional Facility, the youth is given formal probation. However, should any infractions occur under probation, the youth would be committed to DCFS.
- Suspended Placement SMYC- As a graduated sanction, the youth is given an opportunity to rehabilitate without being sent to the SMYC, should any other infractions occur, the youth would be placed at the SMYC.


As each individual charge on a referral may be tied to a specific court action, a single referral may generate statistics for multiple categories. As such, the categories below will not add up to the total number of referrals. Additionally, the dates represent the date of the action.

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Certified to Adult Status	75	77	3%	112	45%	63	-44%	36	-43%
Community Service	3,422	3,247	-5%	3,152	-3%	2,590	-18%	2,283	-12%
Commitment DCFS	410	488	19%	392	-20%	310	-21%	228	-26%
Counseling	1,211	1,204	-1%	1,571	30%	1,595	2%	1,547	-3%
Diversion	79	71	-10%	63	-11%	152	141%	707	365%
Drug Court	189	184	-3%	291	58%	275	-5%	340	24%
Fines and Fees	348	483	39%	513	6%	327	-36%	313	-4%
Formal Parole	519	466	-10%	549	18%	439	-20%	380	-13%
Formal Supervision	3,419	3,173	-7%	3,325	5%	2,982	-10%	2,740	-8%
Interstate Compact (ICOJ)	222	219	-1%	222	1%	164	-26%	121	-26%
Other	8,526	8,142	-5%	7,562	-7%	7,095	-6%	5,836	-18%
Penalties/Consequences	3,226	3,482	8%	3,618	4%	3,098	-14%	2,616	-16%
Placed Other	601	601	0%	602	0%	583	-3%	495	-15%
Placed SMYC	296	306	3%	320	5%	276	-14%	272	-1%
Referred to DJJS	97	62	-36%	26	-58%	23	-12%	34	48%
Referred to State Parole	30	22	-27%	15	-32%	18	20%	9	-50%
Residential Treatment	18	13	-28%	13	0%	27	108%	10	-63%
Restitution	2,209	2,094	-5%	1,324	-37%	1,373	4%	1,100	-20%
Services/Programs	2,079	2,000	-4%	2,007	0%	1,437	-28%	1,299	-10%
Suspended Commitment	265	252	-5%	290	15%	246	-15%	229	-7%
Suspended Commitment / Formal Probation	958	970	1%	868	-11%	804	-7%	702	-13%
Suspended Placement SMYC	335	288	-14%	338	17%	240	-29%	201	-16%
Total	9,798	9,285	-5%	8,681	-7%	8,057	-7%	6,659	-17%

B. Court Dispositions by Distinct Youth Count

This section details the distinct number of youth involved with each of the following court actions: formal probation, SMYC placement, DCFS commitments, and certifications.


1. Formal Probation Disposition by Ethnicity/Race (2012 Dispositions – 2,330)



a) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	939	846	-10%	798	-6%	709	-11%	698	-2%
Asian/Pacific Islander	66	63	-5%	73	16%	75	3%	63	-16%
Hispanic	1,153	1,186	3%	1,165	-2%	1,067	-8%	908	-15%
Other	93	88	-5%	94	7%	87	-7%	89	2%
White	814	810	0%	821	1%	700	-15%	572	-18%
Total	3,065	2,993	-2%	2,951	-1%	2,638	-11%	2,330	-12%


2. DCFS Commitments by Ethnicity/Race (2012 Commitments - 184)



a) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	124	163	31%	100	-39%	79	-21%	70	-11%
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	4	100%	5	25%	1	-80%	6	500%
Hispanic	110	121	10%	113	-7%	103	-9%	66	-36%
Other	9	11	22%	9	-18%	9	0%	10	11%
White	59	75	27%	48	-36%	46	-4%	32	-30%
Total	304	374	23%	275	-26%	238	-13%	184	-23%

3. Certifications by Ethnicity/Race (2012 Certifications - 24)



a) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
African American/Black	23	27	17%	42	56%	24	-43%	13	-46%
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	0	-100%	2	-	1	-50%	1	0%
Hispanic	23	25	9%	34	36%	19	-44%	7	-63%
Other	2	2	0%	1	-50%	1	0%	0	-100%
White	13	7	-46%	10	43%	8	-20%	3	-63%
Total	63	61	-3%	89	46%	53	-40%	24	-55%

IX. Booking & Detention Statistics


This section combines statistics that are generated by the booking and detention divisions. Specifically, the following sections are covered: detention screening, utilization, average daily population, and average length of stay.

A. Detention Screening

The following statistics reflect the status of those referrals that have been screened by the booking division. As a procedure to booking a youth, the Risk Assessment Instrument (RAI) is utilized by the DJJS. The RAI is a guideline for determining whether or not a youth is placed into secure detention. To be more specific, the RAI utilizes a scoring system that weighs specified risk factors associated with public safety. The instrument was developed with the intent of finding an objective means to detaining a referred youth. The RAI was implemented into FamilyTRACS in 2005. The following is a description of the categories that are used:

- Detention Requirements Were Not Met- When a youth scores below 15 points and does not meet the mandatory detainment or special detainment circumstances and a discretionary override is not utilized.
- Discretionary Override- Occurs when a youth is detained because of a special circumstance that is not listed as a category in the RAI. To receive an override, approval must be granted by the detention manager and the assistant director of the DJJS.
- Met Scoring Requirements- Occurs when a youth has scored 15 points or more on the RAI.
- Met Requirements of Mandatory Detainment- Occurs when a youth has scored below 15 points on the RAI but meets the standards listed in the mandatory detainment category. Some examples listed in the mandatory detainment category include: judicial orders, previous escapee, and firearm use.
- Met Requirements of a Special Detainment Circumstance- Occurs when a youth has scored below 15 points on the RAI but meets the standards listed in the special detainment circumstance category. Some examples listed in the special detainment category include: Writ of Attachments, institutional holds, and when the youth is from another jurisdiction.

1. Overall Referral Screening (2012 Referrals – 6,716)




a) Historical Data with Ethnicity/Race (2008-2012)

		2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Detainment Requirements Were Not Met	African American/Black	1,536	1,429	-7%	1,183	-17%	1,066	-10%	998	-6%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	127	96	-24%	92	-4%	96	4%	58	-40%
	Hispanic	2,131	2,140	0%	1,728	-19%	1,572	-9%	1,463	-7%
	Other	175	164	-6%	150	-9%	136	-9%	139	2%
	White	1,388	1,324	-5%	1,171	-12%	1,042	-11%	746	-28%
	Total	5,357	5,153	-4%	4,324	-16%	3,912	-10%	3,404	-13%
Discretionary Override	African American/Black	54	49	-9%	46	-6%	76	65%	68	-11%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	1	4	300%	1	-75%	0	-100%	12	-
	Hispanic	26	47	81%	50	6%	56	12%	59	5%
	Other	5	2	-60%	12	500%	8	-33%	14	75%
	White	23	33	43%	62	88%	53	-15%	55	4%
	Total	109	135	24%	171	27%	193	13%	208	8%
Met RAI Scoring Reqts	African American/Black	579	580	0%	480	-17%	383	-20%	386	1%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	21	36	71%	27	-25%	29	7%	20	-31%
	Hispanic	480	423	-12%	372	-12%	375	1%	293	-22%
	Other	44	39	-11%	44	13%	32	-27%	36	13%
	White	354	271	-23%	282	4%	229	-19%	182	-21%
	Total	1,478	1,349	-9%	1,205	-11%	1,048	-13%	917	-13%
Met Requirements of Mandatory Detainment	African American/Black	296	316	7%	315	0%	264	-16%	286	8%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	12	19	58%	20	5%	20	0%	17	-15%
	Hispanic	449	471	5%	476	1%	451	-5%	403	-11%
	Other	38	30	-21%	38	27%	27	-29%	21	-22%
	White	275	288	5%	328	14%	300	-9%	234	-22%
	Total	1,070	1,124	5%	1,177	5%	1,062	-10%	961	-10%
Met Requirements of Special Detainment Circumstances	African American/Black	561	469	-16%	424	-10%	454	7%	481	6%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	14	16	14%	19	19%	25	32%	27	8%
	Hispanic	556	507	-9%	469	-7%	452	-4%	413	-9%
	Other	47	50	6%	55	10%	62	13%	54	-13%
	White	363	377	4%	291	-23%	248	-15%	246	-1%
	Total	1,541	1,419	-8%	1,258	-11%	1,241	-1%	1,221	-2%
Other	African American/Black	9	5	-44%	7	40%	1	-86%	3	200%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
	Hispanic	15	15	0%	6	-60%	2	-67%	2	0%
	Other	1	1	0%	1	0%	0	-100%	0	-
	White	7	9	29%	4	-56%	0	-100%	0	-
	Total	32	30	-6%	18	-40%	3	-83%	5	67%
Grand Total		9,587	9,210	-4%	8,153	-11%	7,459	-9%	6,716	-10%

2. Detained RAI Result Categories (2012 RAI Total – 3,191)

The following statistics represent only those referrals that resulted in a detainment.



d) Historical Statistics with Ethnicity/Race (2008-2012)

		2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Discretionary Override	African American/Black	54	48	-11%	46	-4%	75	63%	68	-9%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	1	4	300%	1	-75%	0	-100%	12	-
	Hispanic	26	46	77%	50	9%	56	12%	58	4%
	Other	5	2	-60%	12	500%	8	-33%	14	75%
	White	22	32	45%	62	94%	53	-15%	55	4%
	Total	108	132	22%	171	30%	192	12%	207	8%
Met RAI Scoring Requirements	African American/Black	563	569	1%	471	-17%	372	-21%	380	2%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	19	35	84%	26	-26%	29	12%	20	-31%
	Hispanic	463	417	-10%	369	-12%	372	1%	286	-23%
	Other	42	38	-10%	43	13%	32	-26%	34	6%
	White	346	265	-23%	276	4%	225	-18%	179	-20%
	Total	1,433	1,324	-8%	1,185	-10%	1,030	-13%	899	-13%
Met Requirements of Mandatory Detainment	African American/Black	278	301	8%	294	-2%	259	-12%	277	7%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	11	18	64%	19	6%	19	0%	17	-11%
	Hispanic	425	461	8%	467	1%	443	-5%	397	-10%
	Other	38	28	-26%	37	32%	26	-30%	21	-19%
	White	262	279	6%	314	13%	294	-6%	228	-22%
	Total	1,014	1,087	7%	1,131	4%	1,041	-8%	940	-10%
Met Requirements of Special Detainment Circumstances	African American/Black	445	398	-11%	381	-4%	408	7%	455	12%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	8	11	38%	17	55%	22	29%	22	0%
	Hispanic	393	373	-5%	406	9%	388	-4%	381	-2%
	Other	34	44	29%	49	11%	55	12%	52	-5%
	White	276	297	8%	247	-17%	223	-10%	234	5%
	Total	1,156	1,123	-3%	1,100	-2%	1,096	0%	1,144	4%
Other	African American/Black	7	15	114%	7	-53%	0	-100%	0	-
	Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0	-	1	-	0	-100%	0	-
	Hispanic	6	11	83%	4	-64%	1	-75%	1	0%
	Other	1	1	0%	0	-100%	0	-	0	-
	White	5	7	40%	3	-57%	1	-67%	0	-100%
	Total	19	34	79%	15	-56%	2	-87%	1	-50%
Grand Total		3,730	3,700	-1%	3,602	-3%	3,361	-7%	3,191	-5%

3. Detained RAI Result Category Detail (without overrides) (2008-2012)

The statistics of this section represents only those referrals that resulted in a detainment. The following is a description of the categories used:

- Court Commitment DCFS- When a detainment occurs for those youth who are committed to a State Correctional Facility.
- Court Placement SMYC- When a detainment occurs for those youth who are being placed at Spring Mountain Youth Camp.
- Courtesy Hold- Occurs when another jurisdiction or public agency requests that a youth be detained.
- Escapee- When a detainment occurs because the youth has previously escaped from the DJJS facility.
- Felony/GM Writ of Attachment- When a detainment occurs because a felony or gross misdemeanor Writ of Attachment has been issued for the youth to appear in court.
- Fugitive Hold- When a youth is detained because they have been categorized as a fugitive in another jurisdiction.
- Hospitalization of Victim- A detainment that occurs when the victim of the offender is hospitalized.
- Immigration Hold - Occurs when federal authorities request that a youth be detained for immigration proceedings.
- JO- When a detainment occurs due to a judicial order.
- JSO Runaway/No placement- When a detainment occurs because of the difficulty in finding a placement for a juvenile sexual offender or a runaway.
- Material Witness Order- When a detainment occurs at the request of another jurisdiction or public agency for the purposes of ensuring that the youth provides testimony in an upcoming court proceeding.
- Misdemeanor Writ of Attachment- When a detainment occurs because misdemeanor Writ of Attachment has been issued for the youth to appear in court. The following initials are used: “A” – Arrest, “B” – Bench, and “T” – Traffic.
- Offense Committed in Det Alt – When a detainment occurs because the new offense was committed while the youth was in a detention alternative.

- Offense Committed on Post-Adj ISP – When a detainment occurs because the new offense was committed while the youth was under a post adjudication Intensive Supervision Program (ISP).
- Offense Committed on SMYC Aftercare – When a detainment occurs because the new offense was committed while the youth was under the Aftercare program.
- OJ with Charge- This occurs when a youth is charged with an offense and the youth is residing from another jurisdiction.
- Recommended Commitment- When a probation officer is recommending commitment, the youth is detained in order to prevent the youth from being a flight risk.
- Scored 15 Points or More- At 15 points, a youth becomes eligible to be detained.
- SMYC – Institutional Hold – This detainment occurs when a youth from SMYC is being held at Detention. This may occur if a youth was on weekend leave and committed a minor offense.
- SMYC- New Offense – This detainment occurs if the youth commits a new offense during their placement.
- Use/Possession of Firearm- A youth may be detained if they have been charged with the use or possession of a firearm.

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Court Commitment DCFS	1	2	100%	0	-100%	2	-	0	-100%
Court Commitment SMYC	8	2	-75%	1	-50%	3	200%	2	-33%
Courtesy Hold	64	88	38%	57	-35%	75	32%	71	-5%
Escapee	3	7	133%	4	-43%	6	50%	1	-83%
Federal Hold	0	1	-	1	0%	0	-100%	0	-
Felony/GM Arrest Warrant	44	84	91%	91	8%	54	-41%	65	20%
Felony/GM Bench Warrant	188	195	4%	163	-16%	137	-16%	122	-11%
Felony/GM Traffic Warrant	1	0	-100%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Fugitive Hold	17	29	71%	24	-17%	37	54%	17	-54%
Hospitalization of Victim	0	0	-	2	-	1	-50%	0	-100%
JO- Drug Court	90	75	-17%	87	16%	130	49%	124	-5%
JO- Outlying Areas	18	11	-39%	1	-91%	5	400%	1	-80%
JSO Runaway/No Placement	38	38	0%	30	-21%	49	63%	29	-41%
Judicial Order	620	659	6%	733	11%	640	-13%	594	-7%
Material Witness Order	6	3	-50%	3	0%	5	67%	4	-20%
Misdemeanor A/W	442	377	-15%	416	10%	332	-20%	318	-4%
Misdemeanor B/W	280	270	-4%	264	-2%	250	-5%	222	-11%
Misdemeanor T/W	3	1	-67%	1	0%	2	100%	1	-50%
Offense Committed in Det Alt	50	57	14%	49	-14%	103	110%	254	147%
Offense Committed on Post-Adj ISP	68	106	56%	105	-1%	128	22%	86	-33%
Offense Committed on SMYC Aftercare	12	49	308%	73	49%	64	-12%	54	-16%
OJ with Charge	191	122	-36%	93	-24%	81	-13%	89	10%
Other	19	34	79%	15	-56%	2	-87%	1	-50%
Scored 15 Points or More	1,433	1,324	-8%	1,185	-10%	1,030	-13%	899	-13%
SMYC - Institutional Hold	1	1	0%	0	-100%	0	-	3	-
SMYC - New Offense Use/Possession of Firearm	7	14	100%	12	-14%	12	0%	17	42%
	18	19	6%	21	11%	21	0%	10	-52%
Total	3,622	3,568	-1%	3,431	-4%	3,169	-8%	2,984	-6%

a) Percentage of Overall Detained Referrals

The figure below illustrates the top four categories of RAI results for those referrals that went through booking and resulted in a detention placement. As illustrated, the top four categories account for 69% of the total amount of referrals that led to a detention placement.




B. Utilization (2012 Detention Placements – 3,192)

This section details the number of youth held in detention at the start of the year, placed during the year, released during the year, and held in detention at the end of the year.

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Start of Year									
African American/Black	66	80	21%	65	-19%	59	-9%	36	-39%
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	2	-33%	2	0%	2	0%	7	250%
Hispanic	44	56	27%	48	-14%	54	13%	34	-37%
Other	2	4	100%	3	-25%	4	33%	2	-50%
White	39	35	-10%	44	26%	36	-18%	27	-25%
Total	154	177	15%	162	-8%	155	-4%	106	-32%
New Detentions									
African American/Black	1,357	1,340	-1%	1,208	-10%	1,119	-7%	1,181	6%
Asian/Pacific Islander	38	66	74%	64	-3%	70	9%	71	1%
Hispanic	1,312	1,304	-1%	1,294	-1%	1,264	-2%	1,123	-11%
Other	109	109	0%	132	21%	117	-11%	121	3%
White	915	883	-3%	905	2%	792	-12%	696	-12%
Total	3,731	3,702	-1%	3,603	-3%	3,362	-7%	3,192	-5%
Releases									
African American/Black	1,343	1,355	1%	1,213	-10%	1,142	-6%	1,168	2%
Asian/Pacific Islander	39	66	69%	64	-3%	65	2%	76	17%
Hispanic	1,299	1,312	1%	1,288	-2%	1,284	0%	1,110	-14%
Other	107	110	3%	132	20%	119	-10%	120	1%
White	920	874	-5%	913	4%	801	-12%	702	-12%
Total	3,708	3,717	0%	3,610	-3%	3,411	-6%	3,176	-7%
End of Year									
African American/Black	80	65	-19%	60	-8%	36	-40%	49	36%
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	2	0%	2	0%	7	250%	2	-71%
Hispanic	57	48	-16%	54	13%	34	-37%	47	38%
Other	4	3	-25%	3	0%	2	-33%	3	50%
White	34	44	29%	36	-18%	27	-25%	21	-22%
Total	177	162	-8%	155	-4%	106	-32%	122	15%


C. Detention Average Length of Stay (2012 ALOS – 16 Days)



1. Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
JAN	17	18	7%	18	-1%	19	3%	13	-31%
FEB	22	19	-16%	18	-5%	16	-11%	15	-4%
MAR	16	18	14%	17	-7%	17	2%	17	-2%
APR	18	17	-4%	15	-12%	18	19%	13	-31%
MAY	20	18	-7%	18	-2%	17	-7%	14	-16%
JUNE	17	18	8%	18	-2%	17	-6%	16	-8%
JULY	18	23	22%	20	-13%	20	1%	16	-21%
AUG	17	22	26%	18	-18%	18	3%	19	3%
SEP	16	20	29%	18	-8%	18	0%	16	-11%
OCT	17	16	-5%	20	25%	21	7%	15	-27%
NOV	19	20	4%	18	-9%	21	13%	17	-20%
DEC	21	22	6%	21	-3%	19	-8%	19	0%
Total	18	19	6%	18	-5%	18	0%	16	-13%

D. Detention Average Daily Population (2012 ADP - 138)



1. Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
JAN	177.7	200	13%	178.1	-11%	168.1	-6%	135	-20%
FEB	187.7	192.1	2%	184.9	-4%	168.8	-9%	138	-18%
MAR	196.4	189.3	-4%	160.7	-15%	177.1	10%	146	-17%
APR	203	196.7	-3%	191.7	-3%	173.9	-9%	135	-22%
MAY	195.1	217.5	11%	199.5	-8%	190	-5%	146	-23%
JUNE	199.2	208.4	5%	192.5	-8%	170.6	-11%	135	-21%
JULY	182.6	200.7	10%	176.4	-12%	165.1	-6%	145	-12%
AUG	165.3	176.3	7%	172.1	-2%	164.1	-5%	136	-17%
SEP	166.8	170.4	2%	185.4	9%	175.8	-5%	135	-23%
OCT	187.1	195.2	4%	184.4	-6%	168.5	-9%	139	-18%
NOV	173.7	211.8	22%	179.8	-15%	153.9	-14%	139	-9%
DEC	172	184.1	7%	165.6	-10%	124.6	-25%	131	5%
Total	184	195	6%	181	-7%	167	-8%	138	-17%

X. Alternatives to Detention (PRRP - Probation Review & Release Program)

This section illustrates statistics that are related to alternatives to detention: GPS and Home Management. Previously the department had the Electronic Monitoring Unit which includes numerous rules and conditions as well as requiring that a youth wear an electronic tracking device. In August of 2011, the Electronic Monitoring transitioned to two (2) GPS units. Namely: *Pre-Adjudicated and Post-Adjudicated. Home Management* is a program designed to supervise youth in their homes rather than in the secure detention facility.


A. Pre Adjudicated GPS

1. Utilization (2012 Placements - 328)


This section details the number of youth being monitored as of Aug 2011.

		2011	2012	% Diff
Start of Year	African American/Black		8	
	Asian/Pacific Islander		1	
	Hispanic		13	
	Other		1	
	White		8	
	Total		31	
New Detentions	African American/Black	37	123	232%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	3	7	133%
	Hispanic	62	110	77%
	Other	4	19	375%
	White	38	69	82%
	Total	144	328	128%
Releases	African American/Black	29	123	324%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	2	7	250%
	Hispanic	49	116	137%
	Other	3	19	533%
	White	30	71	137%
	Total	113	336	197%
End of Year	African American/Black	8	8	0%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	1	1	0%
	Hispanic	13	7	-46%
	Other	1	1	0%
	White	8	6	-25%
	Total	31	23	-26%

2. Average Length of Stay (2012 ALOS - 25)



3. Average Daily Population (2012 ADP - 23)




B. Post Adjudicated GPS**1. Utilization (2012 Placements - 855)**


This section details the number of youth being monitored as of Aug 2011.

		2011	2012	% Diff
Start of Year	African American/Black		38	
	Asian/Pacific Islander		1	
	Hispanic		23	
	Other		0	
	White		21	
	Total			83
New Detentions	African American/Black	111	324	192%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	5	18	260%
	Hispanic	108	305	182%
	Other	6	37	517%
	White	71	171	141%
	Total	301	855	184%
Releases	African American/Black	74	329	345%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	4	19	375%
	Hispanic	85	304	258%
	Other	6	34	467%
	White	50	174	248%
	Total	219	860	293%
End of Year	African American/Black	37	33	-11%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	1	0	-100%
	Hispanic	23	24	4%
	Other	0	3	-
	White	21	18	-14%
	Total	83	78	-5%

2. Average Length of Stay (2012 ALOS- 34)



3. Average Daily Population (2012 ADP - 86)



C. Home Management


1. Utilization (2012 Placements - 456)

This section details the number of youth on the program at the start of the year, placed during the year, released during the year, and on the program at the end of the year.

		2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Start of Year	African American/Black	13	8	-38%	14	75%	8	-43%	11	38%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	0	1	-	3	200%	0	-100%	3	-
	Hispanic	13	5	-62%	14	180%	14	0%	17	21%
	Other	3	1	-67%	0	-100%	3	-	4	33%
	White	19	11	-42%	15	36%	10	-33%	9	-10%
	Total	48	26	-46%	46	77%	35	-24%	44	26%
New Placements	African American/Black	119	134	13%	150	12%	118	-21%	160	36%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	11	13	18%	8	-38%	10	25%	13	30%
	Hispanic	169	157	-7%	173	10%	164	-5%	168	2%
	Other	11	11	0%	15	36%	18	20%	22	22%

		2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Releases	White	110	121	10%	141	17%	108	-23%	93	-14%
	Total	420	436	4%	487	12%	418	-14%	456	9%
	African American/Black	124	129	4%	156	21%	115	-26%	154	34%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	10	10	0	11	10%	7	-36%	16	129%
	Hispanic	177	147	-17%	173	18%	161	-7%	159	-1%
	Other	13	12	-8%	12	0%	17	42%	25	47%
End of Year	White	118	118	0%	146	24%	109	-25%	94	-14%
	Total	442	416	-6%	498	20%	409	-18%	448	10%
	African American/Black	8	13	63%	8	-38%	11	38%	17	55%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	1	4	300%	0	-100%	3	-	0	-100%
	Hispanic	5	15	200%	14	-7%	17	21%	26	53%
	Other	1	0	-100%	3	-	4	33%	1	-75%
	White	11	14	27%	10	-29%	9	-10%	8	-11%
	Total	26	46	77%	35	-24%	44	26%	52	18%


2. Average Length of Stay (2012 ALOS – 35 Days)



a) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
JAN	33	40	20%	24	-40%	20	-16%	32	58%
FEB	38	33	-13%	31	-6%	30	-4%	38	28%
MAR	41	31	-26%	31	3%	25	-21%	41	65%
APR	22	38	73%	35	-8%	19	-45%	25	33%
MAY	39	25	-35%	26	3%	25	-5%	40	60%
JUNE	45	34	-25%	25	-24%	33	30%	45	37%
JULY	64	42	-34%	23	-45%	25	10%	58	131%
AUG	34	44	31%	32	-28%	28	-13%	20	-27%
SEP	40	35	-13%	34	-1%	26	-24%	19	-28%
OCT	36	27	-25%	33	25%	21	-36%	27	28%
NOV	50	27	-46%	21	-21%	17	-20%	30	74%
DEC	30	28	-8%	27	-1%	23	-16%	27	20%
Total	40	34	-14%	28	-17%	24	-14%	35	44%

3. Average Daily Population (2012 ADP - 41)



a) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
JAN	54	27	-50%	39	46%	40	3%	49	23%
FEB	41	30	-28%	33	13%	43	28%	51	18%
MAR	29	34	17%	29	-16%	34	20%	56	65%
APR	48	32	-35%	31	-3%	35	14%	51	45%
MAY	48	34	-30%	34	-1%	32	-4%	43	34%
JUNE	51	38	-25%	32	-17%	29	-8%	42	44%
JULY	46	32	-31%	35	10%	28	-21%	28	0%
AUG	31	31	0%	37	20%	28	-26%	23	-16%
SEP	30	37	22%	36	-2%	20	-45%	32	61%
OCT	26	38	46%	37	-2%	20	-45%	33	65%
NOV	24	41	74%	29	-29%	32	8%	38	19%
DEC	25	46	85%	30	-35%	39	29%	44	13%
Total	38	35	-7%	34	-4%	32	-6%	41	28%

XI. Spring Mountain Youth Camp Statistics

This section includes statistics generated from the Spring Mountain Youth Camp and the Transition and Aftercare program. The Spring Mountain Youth Camp is a staff-secure facility, which houses male youth offenders, who have been adjudicated for serious or repeat delinquent acts by the Juvenile Court Judge. The Aftercare program is designed to transition the youth, who were placed at the camp, back into the community.


A. Spring Mountain Youth Camp

1. Utilization (2012 Placements - 210)

This section details the number of youth at the camp at the start of the year, placed during the year, released during the year, and still at the camp at the end of the year.

		2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Start of Year	African American/Black	40	30	-25%	35	17%	38	9%	33	-13%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	0	3	-	4	33%	2	-50%	4	100%
	Hispanic	35	25	-29%	38	52%	34	-11%	38	12%
	Other	3	1	-67%	5	400%	1	-80%	1	0%
	White	20	26	30%	18	-31%	25	39%	22	-12%
	Total	98	85	-13%	100	18%	100	0%	98	-2%
New Placements	African American/Black	74	89	20%	98	10%	71	-28%	91	28%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	3	4	33%	4	0%	5	25%	1	-80%
	Hispanic	86	95	10%	94	-1%	90	-4%	75	-17%
	Other	4	8	100%	9	13%	6	-33%	9	50%
	White	59	40	-32%	52	30%	53	2%	34	-36%
	Total	226	236	4%	257	9%	225	-12%	210	-7%
Releases	African American/Black	84	84	0%	95	13%	76	-20%	93	22%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	0	3	-	6	100%	3	-50%	5	67%
	Hispanic	96	82	-15%	99	21%	85	-14%	73	-14%
	Other	6	4	-33%	13	225%	6	-54%	7	17%
	White	53	48	-9%	44	-8%	57	30%	44	-23%
	Total	239	221	-8%	257	16%	227	-12%	223	-2%
End of Year	African American/Black	30	35	17%	38	9%	33	-13%	30	-9%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	3	4	33%	2	-50%	4	100%	0	-100%
	Hispanic	25	38	52%	33	-13%	39	18%	40	3%
	Other	1	5	400%	1	-80%	1	0%	3	200%
	White	26	18	-31%	26	44%	21	-19%	12	-43%
	Total	85	100	18%	100	0%	98	-2%	85	-13%


2. Average Length of Stay (2012 ALOS – 162 Days)



a) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
JAN	126	168	34%	150	-11%	119	-20%	166	40%
FEB	158	173	10%	178	3%	151	-15%	174	15%
MAR	148	165	11%	119	-28%	138	16%	152	10%
APR	142	144	2%	147	2%	146	-1%	150	3%
MAY	155	139	-10%	126	-9%	178	41%	174	-2%
JUNE	161	155	-3%	139	-10%	163	17%	189	16%
JULY	152	129	-16%	150	17%	173	15%	160	-7%
AUG	138	172	24%	170	-1%	162	-5%	171	5%
SEP	145	158	9%	132	-16%	146	11%	150	3%
OCT	129	174	34%	151	-13%	132	-12%	150	14%
NOV	156	172	10%	158	-8%	162	3%	170	5%
DEC	133	140	5%	147	5%	161	10%	135	16%
Total	146	157	7%	149	-5%	154	3%	162	5%

3. Average Daily Population (2012 ADP – 96)



a) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
JAN	98	92	-7%	99	8%	100	0%	96	-3%
FEB	98	96	-2%	99	4%	100	0%	98	-2%
MAR	98	95	-3%	99	4%	94	-5%	91	-2%
APR	99	95	-3%	100	5%	97	-3%	97	-1%
MAY	100	99	-1%	100	1%	99	-1%	98	-1%
JUNE	99	98	-1%	100	3%	99	-1%	100	1%
JULY	97	100	4%	100	0%	99	-1%	100	1%
AUG	99	100	0%	105	5%	98	-6%	101	3%
SEP	93	99	6%	100	2%	99	-2%	98	0%
OCT	97	100	4%	100	0%	100	-1%	99	-1%
NOV	96	100	4%	99	-1%	100	1%	91	-9%
DEC	84	96	14%	100	4%	97	-3%	85	-12%
Total	96	97	1%	100	3%	98	-2%	96	-2%


XII. Probation Statistics

This section details the statistics generated by the Probation division of the DJJS. The following areas will be illustrated: utilization, average length of stay, and the average daily caseload.

A. Utilization (2012 Placements– 2,330)

		2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Start of Year	African American/Black	907	857	-6%	852	-1%	799	-6%	765	-4%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	52	43	-0.17	62	44%	53	-15%	67	26%
	Hispanic	898	988	10%	1079	9%	1079	0%	1030	-5%
	Other	60	75	25%	79	5%	86	9%	81	-6%
	White	787	747	-5%	758	1%	760	0%	675	-11%
	Total	2704	2710	0%	2830	4%	2777	-2%	2618	-6%
Probation Placements	African American/Black	948	847	-11%	806	-5%	707	-12%	698	-1%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	65	62	-5%	73	18%	76	4%	63	-17%
	Hispanic	1146	1174	2%	1161	-1%	1067	-8%	908	-15%
	Other	87	86	-1%	88	2%	86	-2%	89	3%
	White	818	814	0%	825	1%	697	-16%	572	-18%
	Total	3064	2983	-3%	2953	-1%	2633	-11%	2330	-12%
Probations Ending	African American/Black	783	669	-15%	635	-5%	555	-13%	422	-24%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	67	42	-0.37	64	52%	47	-27%	56	19%
	Hispanic	846	839	-1%	864	3%	876	1%	682	-22%
	Other	50	62	24%	56	-10%	68	21%	70	3%
	White	710	649	-9%	629	-3%	629	0%	482	-23%
	Total	2456	2261	-8%	2248	-1%	2175	-3%	1712	-21%
End of Year	African American/Black	881	873	-1%	844	-3%	796	-6%	843	6%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	45	62	38%	55	-11%	70	27%	61	-13%
	Hispanic	1009	1110	10%	1138	3%	1049	-8%	1028	-2%
	Other	82	81	-1%	89	10%	82	-8%	84	2%
	White	774	789	2%	806	2%	685	-15%	644	-6%
	Total	2791	2915	4%	2932	1%	2682	-9%	2660	-1%


B. Average Assignment Length (2012 Average – 463 Days)



1. Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
JAN	344	362	5%	367	2%	420	14%	670	60%
FEB	379	553	46%	330	-40%	387	17%	404	4%
MAR	340	385	13%	361	-6%	376	4%	488	30%
APR	319	368	15%	365	-1%	382	5%	506	33%
MAY	313	412	32%	686	66%	416	-39%	449	8%
JUNE	496	378	-24%	340	-10%	417	23%	419	1%
JULY	331	367	11%	340	-7%	367	8%	484	32%
AUG	304	390	28%	393	1%	377	-4%	395	5%
SEP	351	384	9%	439	14%	526	20%	418	-20%
OCT	378	373	-1%	391	5%	360	-8%	459	28%
NOV	353	345	-2%	326	-5%	378	16%	386	2%
DEC	341	372	9%	371	0%	358	-4%	404	13%
Total	354	390	10%	395	1%	400	1%	463	16%

C. Average Probation Caseload (2012 Average – 2,685)



1. Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
JAN	2741	2720	-1%	2856	5%	2799	-2%	2626	-6%
FEB	2811	2739	-3%	2924	7%	2829	-3%	2649	-6%
MAR	2885	2760	-4%	2963	7%	2868	-3%	2656	-7%
APR	2898	2795	-4%	2987	7%	2892	-3%	2680	-7%
MAY	2913	2849	-2%	3021	6%	2910	-4%	2703	-7%
JUNE	2896	2883	0%	3044	6%	2940	-3%	2734	-7%
JULY	2887	2911	1%	3065	5%	2894	-6%	2745	-5%
AUG	2891	2927	1%	3043	4%	2859	-6%	2718	-5%
SEP	2854	2919	2%	3021	3%	2812	-7%	2705	-4%
OCT	2845	2905	2%	3009	4%	2762	-8%	2677	-3%
NOV	2830	2899	2%	2999	3%	2715	-9%	2663	-2%
DEC	2807	2902	3%	2948	2%	2685	-9%	2664	-1%
Total	2855	2851	0%	2990	5%	2830	-5%	2685	-5%

VIII. Intensive Supervision

This section provides more detail into intensive probation services, which involve increased contact and programming for higher risk youth. In particular, this section will cover the Intensive Supervision (ISP), Drug Court, and the Juvenile Sex Offense (JSO) units. The statistics in this section reflect both primary and secondary assignments.


A. ISP Statistics

The Intensive Supervision Program (ISP) is a community based intensive supervision program for youth that would otherwise be committed to or placed in a juvenile institution. These programs for adjudicated youth include frequent probation officer contact and reporting requirements for daily programming.


1. Utilization (2012 Assignments - 316)

		2011	2012	% Diff
Start of Year	African American/Black		112	
	Asian/Pacific Islander		2	
	Hispanic		118	
	Other		14	
	White		43	
	Total		289	
Assignments Starting	African American/Black	148	140	-5%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	3	3	0%
	Hispanic	156	117	-25%
	Other	17	13	-24%
	White	69	43	-38%
	Total	393	316	-20%
Assignments Ending	African American/Black	77	153	99%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	3	3	0%
	Hispanic	88	141	60%
	Other	8	20	150%
	White	49	56	14%
	Total	225	373	66%
End of Year	African American/Black	110	115	5%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	2	2	0%
	Hispanic	109	107	-2%
	Other	13	9	-31%
	White	44	35	-20%
	Total	289	268	-4%

2. Average Assignment Length – (2012 Average – 162 Days)



3. Average Caseload (2012 Average - 342)



B. Drug Court Statistics


The Drug Court Program is geared toward rehabilitating those youth who were adjudicated on a drug-related offense. As part of the program, the assigned youth are required to submit to drug testing.

1. Utilization (2012 Assignments – 214)

		2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Start of Year	African American/Black	14	6	-57%	13	117%	12	-8%	10	-17%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	3	3	0	3	0%	7	133%	3	-57%
	Hispanic	54	72	33%	59	-18%	68	15%	60	-12%
	Other	1	4	300%	1	-75%	7	600%	3	-57%
	White	43	45	5%	54	20%	87	61%	38	-56%
	Total	115	130	13%	130	0%	181	39%	114	-37%
New Assignment	African American/Black	8	17	113%	17	0%	25	47%	34	36%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	2	2	0%	4	100%	2	-50%	5	150%
	Hispanic	55	58	5%	64	10%	75	17%	104	39%
	Other	6	2	-67%	7	250%	1	-86%	6	500%
	White	49	50	2%	59	18%	56	-5%	65	16%

		2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Assignment Ending	Total	120	129	8%	151	17%	159	5%	214	35%
	African American/Black	16	10	-38%	17	70%	27	59%	21	-22%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	2	2	0	0	-100%	7	-	2	-71%
	Hispanic	37	71	92%	54	-24%	97	80%	77	-21%
	Other	3	5	67%	1	-80%	7	600%	4	-43%
	White	47	37	-21%	26	-30%	108	315%	54	-50%
End of Year	Total	105	125	19%	98	-22%	246	151%	158	-36%
	African American/Black	6	13	117%	13	0%	10	-23%	24	140%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	3	3	0%	7	133%	2	-71%	5	150%
	Hispanic	72	59	-18%	69	17%	46	-33%	83	80%
	Other	4	1	-75%	7	600%	1	-86%	5	400%
	White	45	58	29%	87	50%	35	-60%	51	46%
	Total	130	134	3%	183	37%	94	-49%	168	79%


2. Average Assignment Length (2012 Average – 192 Days)



a) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
JAN	70	314	348%	48	-85%	197	309%	176	-11%
FEB	92	340	271%	107	-69%	416	290%	124	-70%
MAR	106	312	195%	77	-75%	358	366%	194	-46%
APR	137	205	49%	160	-22%	79	-51%	139	75%
MAY	157	342	118%	153	-55%	-	-	270	-
JUNE	168	271	61%	371	37%	399	8%	142	-65%
JULY	242	374	55%	307	-18%	532	73%	177	-67%
AUG	150	395	163%	230	-42%	399	74%	155	-61%
SEP	291	338	16%	188	-45%	316	68%	254	-20%
OCT	229	198	-14%	277	40%	230	-17%	192	-16%
NOV	254	25	-90%	214	746%	328	53%	231	-30%
DEC	288	363	26%	309	-15%	254	-18%	295	16%
Total	185	315	71%	233	-26%	393	68%	192	-51%

3. Average Caseload (2012 Average - 144)



a) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
JAN	117	128	10%	135	5%	181	34%	118	-35%
FEB	122	135	10%	144	7%	188	31%	127	-32%
MAR	130	139	7%	158	13%	188	19%	129	-31%
APR	132	141	7%	165	17%	204	23%	132	-36%
MAY	132	145	10%	173	20%	212	22%	135	-36%
JUNE	137	147	7%	178	22%	222	24%	142	-36%
JULY	141	147	4%	166	13%	192	15%	154	-20%
AUG	142	138	-3%	164	19%	140	-15%	153	9%
SEP	145	135	-7%	174	29%	122	-30%	155	27%
OCT	139	134	-3%	173	29%	121	-30%	159	32%
NOV	136	139	2%	179	29%	114	-36%	162	42%
DEC	133	138	4%	182	31%	105	-42%	158	51%
Total	134	139	4%	166	20%	165	0%	144	-13%

C. JSO Statistics


The Juvenile Sex Offense Unit is a probation supervision program that is set up specifically for youth that have been adjudicated on a sexual-related offense.

1. Utilization (2012 Assignments - 225)

		2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Start of Year	African American/Black	100	88	-12%	72	-18%	68	-6%	89	31%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	7	7	0	6	-14%	9	50%	9	0%
	Hispanic	103	113	10%	85	-25%	72	-15%	89	24%
	Other	13	15	15%	8	-47%	11	38%	16	45%
	White	158	168	6%	130	-23%	120	-8%	119	-1%
	Total	381	391	3%	301	-23%	280	-7%	322	15%
New Assignment	African American/Black	75	66	-12%	67	2%	54	-19%	78	44%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	6	7	17%	11	57%	5	-55%	4	-20%

		2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
Assignment Ending	Hispanic	80	91	14%	57	-37%	50	-12%	60	20%
	Other	10	3	-70%	7	133%	4	-43%	7	75%
	White	104	89	-14%	68	-24%	51	-25%	76	49%
	Total	275	256	-7%	210	-18%	164	-22%	225	37%
	African American/Black	87	84	-3%	70	-17%	42	-40%	64	52%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	6	9	0.5	8	-11%	5	-38%	7	40%
	Hispanic	70	119	70%	70	-41%	43	-39%	49	14%
	Other	8	10	25%	5	-50%	9	80%	8	-11%
	White	94	126	34%	78	-38%	58	-26%	86	48%
	Total	265	348	31%	231	-34%	157	-32%	214	36%
End of Year	African American/Black	88	70	-20%	69	-1%	80	16%	109	36%
	Asian/Pacific Islander	7	5	-29%	9	80%	9	0%	7	-22%
	Hispanic	113	85	-25%	72	-15%	79	10%	106	34%
	Other	15	8	-47%	10	25%	6	-40%	17	183%
	White	168	131	-22%	120	-8%	113	-6%	120	6%
	Total	391	299	-24%	280	-6%	287	3%	359	25%


2. Average Assignment Length (2012 Average – 301 Days)



a) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
JAN	201	389	94%	273	-30%	283	4%	401	42%
FEB	330	395	20%	316	-20%	287	-9%	247	-14%
MAR	227	390	72%	324	-17%	282	-13%	188	-33%
APR	322	402	25%	318	-21%	267	-16%	310	16%
MAY	245	405	65%	408	1%	266	-35%	362	36%
JUNE	357	410	15%	275	-33%	271	-2%	375	38%
JULY	261	415	59%	190	-54%	274	45%	366	34%
AUG	326	409	26%	384	-6%	267	-30%	375	40%
SEP	329	373	13%	235	-37%	266	13%	237	-11%
OCT	419	305	-27%	341	12%	270	-21%	251	-7%
NOV	266	303	14%	463	53%	274	-41%	205	-25%
DEC	462	299	-35%	402	35%	282	-30%	267	-5%
Total	316	374	19%	321	-14%	274	-15%	301	10%

3. Average Caseload (2012 Average - 343)



a) Historical Statistics (2008-2012)

	2008	2009	% Diff	2010	% Diff	2011	% Diff	2012	% Diff
JAN	381	389	2%	298	-23%	119	-60%	330	177%
FEB	376	395	5%	293	-26%	296	1%	334	13%
MAR	387	390	1%	296	-24%	252	-15%	338	34%
APR	387	402	4%	301	-25%	380	26%	349	-8%
MAY	399	405	1%	303	-25%	243	-20%	344	42%
JUNE	403	410	2%	299	-27%	321	7%	339	6%
JULY	405	415	2%	290	-30%	200	-31%	333	67%
AUG	403	409	2%	274	-33%	384	40%	333	-13%
SEP	401	373	-7%	281	-25%	355	26%	336	-5%
OCT	399	305	-24%	277	-9%	282	2%	351	25%
NOV	392	303	-23%	280	-8%	422	51%	362	-14%
DEC	395	299	-25%	280	-6%	145	-48%	366	152%
Total	394	374	-5%	289	-23%	296	2%	343	16%

XIII. Workload Summary

Referrals	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Referrals Received	25,877	25,314	23,911	21,084	17,668
Number of Youth Involved	16,421	15,914	15,246	13,706	11,282
Average Referral per Youth	1.58	1.59	1.57	1.54	1.57
Detention	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Youth Brought to Booking (RAI)	9,587	9,210	8,153	7,459	6,716
New Detention Placements	3,731	3,702	3,603	3,362	3,192
Referrals Prior to Detainment (AVG)	5.56	5.8	6.18	6.08	6.67
Average Daily Population	184	195	181	167	138
Average Length of Stay in Detention (Days)	18	19	18	18	16
Alternatives to Detention	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Home Management					

Youth Placed On Home Management	420	436	487	418	456
Average Daily Population	38	35	34	32	35
Average Length of Stay (Days)	34	28	27	24	41
Referrals Prior to Placement (AVG)	4.4	4.08	3.94	3.13	3.98
Pre-Adj GPS					
Youth Placed On Post-Adj GPS				144	328
Average Daily Population				23	25
Average Length of Stay (Days)				22	23
Referrals Prior to Placement (AVG)				2.81	2.99
Post-Adj GPS					
Youth Placed On Post-Adj GPS				301	855
Average Daily Population				70	86
Average Length of Stay (Days)				33	34
Referrals Prior to Placement (AVG)				5.72	6.32
District Attorney	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Number of Petitions Filed	7,983	8,084	7,766	6,614	5,619
Direct File	52	52	30	22	25
Referrals Prior to Direct File (AVG)	7.56	9.72	7.41	8.88	9.53
Formal Probation	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Youth Placed On Field Probation	3064	2983	2953	2633	2330
Referrals Prior to Field Probation (AVG)	3.48	3.76	3.91	3.25	3.37
Youth on Field Probation at End of Year	2,791	2,915	2,932	2,682	2,660
Intensive Services	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
ISP					
Youth Assigned to ISP				393	316
Referrals Prior to ISP (AVG)				7.19	7.89
Youth on ISP at End of Year				278	268
Drug Court					
Youth Assigned to Drug Court	120	129	151	175	214
Referrals Prior to Drug Court (AVG)	8.88	9.65	10.1	10.48	11.58
Youth on Drug Court at End of Year	130	134	183	114	168
JSO					
Youth Assigned to JSO	275	256	210	164	225
Referrals Prior to JSO Caseload (AVG)	3.56	3.76	2.54	1.86	2.24
Youth on JSO Caseload at End of Year	391	299	280	280	359
Spring Mountain Youth Camp	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Youth Placed at SMYC	226	236	257	225	210
Referrals Prior to SMYC Placement (AVG)	7.76	8.29	8.41	7.32	8.43
Youth at SMYC at End of Year	85	100	100	98	85
Youth Committed to DCFS	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Youth Committed to DCFS	304	373	273	239	184
Referrals Prior to Commitment DCFS (AVG)	9.71	9.64	10.83	9.65	11.15
Certifications	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Youth Certified as Adult	63	61	89	53	24
Referrals Prior to Certification (AVG)	5.83	8.13	8.53	8.47	9.38

**This report was produced in April 2013 by the
Applications, Research & Technology (ART) Unit
Department of Juvenile Justice Services
Clark County, Nevada**

For further information about this document, please contact:

**ART Unit
Clark County
Department of Juvenile Justice Services
601 N. Pecos Road
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101-2408
Phone: (702) 455-5210**