



togetherforbetter

Clark County Audit Committee

Clark County, Nevada

Michael Naft, Chair
April Becker, Vice Chair
William McCurdy II

The committee members of Clark County Audit Committee, met in joint regular session at the regular place of meeting in Clark County, Nevada, on Wednesday, April 29, 2026:

CLARK COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER
PUEBLO ROOM
500 S GRAND CENTRAL PKWY
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89106

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SEC. 1. CALL TO ORDER	3
CALL TO ORDER.....	3
SEC. 2. PUBLIC COMMENT	3
SEC. 3. AGENDA APPROVAL	3
SEC. 4. MINUTES APPROVAL FROM AUDIT COMMITTEE MEETING ON APRIL 29, 2026.	4
SEC. 5. RECEIVE A PRESENTATION FROM STAFF REGARDING THE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY CIS 1 AUDIT.	4
SEC. 9. PUBLIC COMMENTS	6
SEC. 10. ADJOURNMENT	6

SEC. 1. CALL TO ORDER

CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 9:33 a.m. by Chair Commissioner Michael Naft with the following members present:

Commissioners Present:

Michael Naft, Chair
April Becker, Vice Chair
William McCurdy II

Absent:

Also Present:

Abigail Frierson, Deputy County Manger
Lisa Kremer, Deputy County Manager
Les Lee Shell, County Manager
Jeffrey Rogan, Deputy District Attorney
Anna Danchik, Comptroller
Jessica Colvin, Chief Financial Officer
Jennifer Green, Director of Budget and Financial Planning
Pamela Kowalski, Deputy Director Finance
Eric Greene, Finance Manager
Darren Relyea, Senior Financial Analyst
David McCloud, Financial Analyst
Ashely Peterson, Manager Fiscal & Revenue Operations
Jamie Sorenson, Director of Social Services
Suzanne Noble, Deputy Chief Information Officer
Adrina Ramos King, Program Administrator (remote)
Angela Darragh, Audit Director
Cynthia Birney, Manager, HIPAA & Audit
Felix Luna, Principal Auditor
Mary Yanez, Auditor
Daniel Partida, Auditor
Scott Routsong, Auditor
Chris Hui, Information System Auditor
Joshua Cheney, Information System Auditor (remote)
Tracy Banks, Auditor
Ariana Garay, Executive Assistant

SEC. 2. PUBLIC COMMENT

There were no comments from the general public.

SEC. 3. AGENDA APPROVAL

MOTION

MICHAEL NAFT

Move to approval, all in favor say aye.

JEFFREY ROGAN

One moment, please chair.

ANGELA DARRAGH

Staff is requesting that to abey agenda item number five for the July 29th Audit Committee meeting.

MICHAEL NAFT

There is a motion for approval of the agenda with item number five being held in the July 29th meeting.
All in favor say aye.

VOTE

VOTING AYE: Michael Naft, April Becker, William McCurdy II

VOTING NAY: None

ABSENT: None

ABSTAIN: None

MICHAEL NAFT

Motion carries.

SEC. 4. MINUTES APPROVAL FROM AUDIT COMMITTEE MEETING ON JANUARY 28, 2026.

MOTION:

MICHAEL NAFT

Move for approval, all in favor say aye.

VOTE

VOTING AYE: Michael Naft, April Becker, William McCurdy II

VOTING NAY: None

ABSENT: None

ABSTAIN: None

MICHAEL NAFT

The motion carries.

SEC. 5. RECEIVE A PRESENTATION FROM STAFF REGARDING THE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY CIS 1 AUDIT.

ANGELA DARRAGH

On June 5, 2019, Senate Bill 302 was approved in Nevada. This bill amended NRS 603A.210 to require government agencies acting as data collectors to follow CIS Controls or NIST for electronic information security. Clark County selected CIS as its security framework. CIS Controls are a set of best cybersecurity practices designed to help organizations defend against common cyber threats.

CIS Control 1 requires active management of all enterprise assets, including end-user devices, mobile and portable devices, network equipment, IoT devices, and servers, and includes identifying unauthorized or unmanaged assets.

Clark County must also comply with additional data-security requirements, including HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules, PCI standards, and CJS standards.

The objective of this audit was to review Clark County's implementation of CIS 1, Inventory and Control of Enterprise Assets, and determine whether Clark County:

- Maintains a detailed enterprise asset inventory.
- Uses a passive and active asset discovery tool.
- Uses system logs to update the enterprise's asset inventory.
- Addresses unauthorized assets.

Overall, we found that the IT department has implemented most of CIS 1 and uses several tools, such as passive and active discovery, to stay aware of all enterprise assets connected to the County network. However, they do not maintain this information in a single, actively managed inventory.

Asset management practices could also be strengthened to ensure a consolidated and consistently updated inventory. We have two total findings.

First Finding: No detailed enterprise asset inventory. The Clark County IT Department performs an annual review of the Comptroller's inventory list as part of the County's annual inventory process; however, it does not include all devices that are connected to the county network.

While IT is aware of all other devices that are connected through the county network from other tools, it is not part of an all-encompassed list that is being actively maintained. This creates the risk that inactive or rogue devices may remain connected to the network for long periods. Also, due to not having an enterprise asset list, currently there is no process in place to use logs to update the enterprise asset inventory. This is part of the requirements identified within CIS Control 1 as well as other standards.

Recommendations: That the IT department create, maintain and regularly update a detailed list of enterprise assets connected to the county network. That they also Utilize DHCP logging on DHCP servers or Internet Protocol (IP) address management tool to update enterprise asset inventory in accordance with CIS Control 1.4

SUZANNE NOBLE

Management Response: We are working on getting a centralized enterprise asset and we hope to get it done by the end of next year.

MICHELA NAFT

Any questions from the department?

ANGELA DARRAGH

Second Finding: Not all devices connected to the network comply with the county's IT security policy, and no formal process exists to address unauthorized assets. We found that Clark County IT has controls that block unauthorized devices from accessing internal county network, but there are some improvements that could be made for wireless connections.

We also found that there are software and non-formal procedures that address unauthorized network assets; however, a formal procedure is not in place. Therefore, it is possible for non-compliant devices to remain on Clark County's network.

Recommendation: That Clark County IT implement a process to address unauthorized assets. Implement weekly reviews for unauthorized assets and document the review. Disallow access to the county secured network on non-compliant devices. Ensure all devices connected to the county secured network meet TD1 requirements.

SUZANNE NOBLE

Management Response: We are working to create a process to identify all these non-compliant active devices in the network with certificate-based authentication.

MICHAEL NAFT

By January 31st?

SUZANNE NOBLE

Yes, by the end of January next year.

MICHAEL NAFT

Thank you. Any questions?

SEC. 9. PUBLIC COMMENTS

No public comment was made by the general public.

END PUBLIC COMMENTS

SEC. 10. ADJOURNMENT

This meeting was adjourned by Commissioner Naft at 9:39 a.m.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED BY: _____
ANGELA DARRAGH, DIRECTOR OF THE AUDIT DEPARTMENT