



The Election Department Fully Resolved Most Findings from the Original Voting by Mail Audit

Audit Department
January 2026



togetherforbetter

Background

In July 2023, we audited the Voting by Mail process and identified the following three findings:

- The election system allowed a supervisor to adjudicate ballots without additional system authorization (High Risk)
- The sum of signatures verified, or the total population of signatures were not logged (Low Risk)
- Adjudication team assignments were not documented (Low Risk)

Objectives

We conducted this audit to determine whether the Election Department implemented corrective actions to resolve the original audit findings.

Conclusion

We found 2 of the 3 original audit findings were fully resolved while the remaining 1 was partially resolved.

Conclusion *(Continued)*

Implemented corrective actions include:

- Creation of an Adjudication Admin Log to record an additional supervisory employee;
- Creation of an Adjudication Board Log to record team assignment and assigned computer terminal;
- A process for senior management to review and compare the Adjudication Log to the Dominion Voting System activity report to ensure any ballot modifications were performed in a bipartisan team setting; Creation of an Adjudication Admin Log to record an additional supervisory employee anytime changes are made to a ballot by a supervisor;

Conclusion *(Continued)*

- Updates to the Automatic Signature Recognition (ASR) report; and
- An Adjudication Board Room Log to record team and computer terminal assignments.

Corrective Action Status

Original Audit Finding	Status
<p>While Adjudications Are Logged and All Actions Taken on a Ballot are Recorded in that Log, the Election System Allows a Supervisor Access to Adjudicate Ballots Without Additional System Authorization (High Risk)</p> <p>During the original audit, an adjudication supervisor working out of their own terminal could modify a ballot outside of the bipartisan team setting.</p>	<p>Fully Resolved - Election Department implemented an Adjudication Admin Log to record an additional supervisory employee, including a review process for management to compare the log to the election system report. The log and system report confirms a bipartisan team reviewed and approved ballot changes.</p> <p>We obtained the 2024 General Election Adjudication Log and system activity report. We reviewed the reports and confirmed the department implemented a log to record supervisor adjudication activity/override. We also confirmed Senior Election management reviewed the reports to ensure any ballot modifications were performed in a bipartisan team setting as evidenced by a documented signature.</p>

Corrective Action Status *(Continued)*

Original Audit Finding	Status
<p>The Election Department Does Not Separately Log the Sum of Signatures Verified or the Total Population (Low Risk)</p> <p>During the original audit, the Election Department performed daily audits of signatures verified by the automatic signature recognition (ASR) system. However, they were unable to confirm that at least 1% of the system verified signatures were reviewed.</p>	<p>Fully Resolved - The Department updated the daily ASR audit reports to include the total number of approved signatures and the percentage selected for review. During our initial follow up testing, we found the program was not rounding up. In some cases, this created a result that was below the 1% threshold. Based on our testing, the department modified the function to always round to the next highest number, to ensure that the sample captures at least 1% of the signature population for sampling and reviewing purposes pursuant to NRS 293.269937(2).</p> <p>We obtained an automatic signature recognition test report and program code print screen and believe the updated process is working properly.</p>

Corrective Action Status *(Continued)*

Original Audit Finding	Status
<p>Adjudication Team Assignments Not Documented (Low Risk)</p> <p>During the original audit, adjudication bipartisan teams worked under a computer terminal username. Although adjudications were performed in a secured room, this reduced accountability for each Adjudication Team member since changes made were not tied to a unique username. Also, the Department did not document adjudication team assignments for each terminal.</p>	<p>Partially Resolved - The Department implemented an Adjudication Board Log that requires each team member to sign in/out at their assigned station for each session. The log requires the member names and assigned station to improve accountability.</p> <p>We obtained the 2024 General Election Adjudication Board Log and the related system report. We reviewed the reports and identified 24 adjudication sessions. We found the Department recorded the team/terminal assignments on the Adjudication Board Log for only 18 sessions (75%). The remaining 6 sessions were missing one or two team member names on the log.</p>

Corrective Action Status *(Continued)*

Original Audit Finding	Status
	<p>Based on our testing, the Election Department implemented additional procedures that require a supervisor to review and sign off on the logs to verify they are complete and correct. We believe this will resolve the finding and have no further recommendations.</p>



togetherforbetter