



**Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife
Government Center
500 S. Grand Central Parkway (Pueblo
Room) Las Vegas, NV 89155
September 23, 2025 (5:30 PM)
Meeting Minutes**

Join the meeting link: (You may also attend online if you wish not to attend in person)

Join from the meeting link:

https://www.clarkcountynv.gov/government/departments/environment_and_sustainability/ccabmw-meeting-11-11-2020

Scroll down to the All-Meetings Section and “[Click here to join the meeting.](#)”

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer, mobile app, or room device.

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

Meeting ID: 274 964 608 543 9

Passcode: qM3Rv6VP [Download](#)

[Teams](#) | [Join on the web](#) (dial in by

phone)

[+1 725-696-5982,,53215951#](#) United States, Las Vegas

Phone Conference ID: 532 159 51#

NOTE:

- Items on the agenda may be taken out of order.
- The CCABMW members may combine two (2) or more agenda items for its consideration.
- CCABMW may remove an item from the agenda or delay discussion relating to an item at any time.
- No action may be taken on any matter not listed on the posted agenda.
- Please turn off or mute all cell phones and other electronic devices.
- Please take all private conversations outside the room.
- With a forty-eight (48) hour advance request, a sign language interpreter, or other reasonable efforts to assist and accommodate people with physical disabilities, may be made available by calling (702) 455-3530, TDD at (702) 385-7486, or Relay Nevada toll-free at (800) 326-6868, TD/TDD
- Supporting material provided to CCABMW members for this meeting may be requested from Secretary Darlene Kretunski at (702) 455-1402 and is/will be available on the County's website at www.clarkcountynv.gov.
- If you do not wish to attend the meeting in person but desire to provide written public comments on an individual agenda item, please submit your comments prior to 2:30 p.m. September

Darlene.Kretunski@ClarkCountyNV.gov. Please make sure to include your name, address, the agenda item number on which you are providing comment, and your comment. All comments will be compiled into a document and shared with members of the public body, meeting attendees and on the public body's website.

CLARK COUNTY ADVISORY BOARD TO MANAGE WILDLIFE BOARD

Paul Dixon (**Chairman**)

Dan Gilbert (**Vice- Chairman**)

John Hiatt, Board Member

Annoula Wylderich, Board Member Jacob

Thompson, Board Member Dave Talaga,

Board Member Alexander Harper, Board

Member

Secretary: Darlene Kretunski

Email: Darlene.Kretunski@ClarkCountyNV.gov (DES)

Department of Environment and Sustainability 4701 W.

Russell Rd, Suite 200, 2nd Floor

Las Vegas, NV 89118 702

455-1402

County Liaison: Marci Henson

Email: mhenson@ClarkCountyNV.gov

(DES) Department of Environment and Sustainability 4701 W.

Russell Rd, Ste 200, 2nd Floor

Las Vegas, NV 89118 (702)

455-1600

I. Call to Order-Roll call of board members for determination of a quorum:

(If no quorum is present, the meeting cannot begin and will be cancel

- Meeting started at 5:31 PM
- Members of the public and the CAB, all sang "Happy Birthday" to Board Member Dave Talaga.
- Secretary Darlene Kretunski did a roll call, the following CAB members were present in person: Present-Chair Paul Dixon, Vice Chair Dan Gilbert, Board Members- John Hiatt, Annoula Wyldrerich, Jacob Thompson. Dave Talaga (online).

- Absent board member- Board Member Alexander Harper.
- **A quorum was established.**

II. Pledge of Allegiance-Chair Paul Dixon asked (Brian Patterson, member of the public) to lead in the Pledge of Allegiance.

III. Public Comment- This is a period devoted to comments by the public about items on this agenda. No discussion, action, or votes may be taken on this agenda item. You will be given the opportunity to speak on Individual Public Hearing Items at the time they are presented. If you wish to speak to the Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife about items within its jurisdiction but not appearing on this agenda, you must wait until the **“Comments by the General Public”** period listed at the end of this agenda. Comments will be limited to three (3) minutes. Please clearly state your name, address, and please spell your first and last name for the record. If any member of the CCABMW wishes to extend the length of the presentation, this will be done by the Chair or the Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife by majority vote.

- Chair Paul Dixon introduced this topic.
- Chair Paul Dixon explained that if any member of the public cannot stay through the entirety of the meeting, they may at this time give their public comments for the record with a limit of three minutes only.
- Public Comments: (*Brian Patterson, member of the public*):He stated he that the “First Come, First-Served tags, he feels is working well for the program due to the prompt response, the tags are only sitting in the queue for a total of twenty to thirty seconds. He stated that seen three deer tags this morning in the queue and these tags were retrieved quickly. He stated he is uncertain if someone is gaming the system and grabbing the tags quickly for themselves. He stated retrieving tags from this site needs to be completed expeditiously.
- Chair Paul Dixon stated to (*Brain Patterson, member of the public*) that the Chukar Partridge looked good this season therefore he believes this is why the tags are going quickly on the “First Come, First-Served” basis.
- FYI- Chukar Partridge (*Alectoris chukar*)- colorful bird in the pheasant family that are larger than quail, 14 inches long over a pound in weight. The season is from October 11, 2025 – February 1, 2026.
- FYI- (FCFS) First Come, First-Served, this queue offers NDOW customers the ability to purchase any tags remaining after the second draw or any returned tags without an eligible alternate. Waiting periods and bonus-point costs apply for (FCFS) tags.

- **Chair Paul Dixon advised that this item is hereby closed.**

IV. Approval of the Minutes for August 12, 2025, Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife Meeting. (*For possible action*).

- Chair Paul Dixon introduced this topic.
- Chair Paul Dixon asked Secretary Darlene Kretunski what the process of placement of the meeting minutes.
- Secretary Darlene Kretunski advised that she puts the transcription of the meeting minutes on the Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife website to view, and transcriptions can also be ordered.
- Secretary Darlene Kretunski stated that there will be a decision made regarding the minutes and if these minutes will continue to stay on the website for viewing or placed in another folder and the transcripts must be ordered. She stated it is unknown at this point due to need for space to prioritize important issues that need posting. She stated that discussion will be made by the IT Department.
- Chair Paul Dixon advised that he just wanted clarification on the process for board members as well as the members of the public, he thanked Secretary Darlene Kretunski.
- Board Member John Hiatt advised a motion to approve the minutes for the August 12, 2025, Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife meeting as presented.
- Vice Chair Dan Gilbert seconds the motion.
- **Motion passes 6-0.**

V. Approval of the Agenda for September 23, 2025. Agenda items may be Held, Combined, or Deleted (*For possible action*).

- Chair Paul Dixon introduced this topic.
- Chair Paul Dixon explained that on tonight's agenda, the action items (d) Presentation on Hunting, Fishing and Trapping Trespass Regulation & (e) Presentation on Regulatory Modifications to Link Master Guide and Sub guide accountability, there will be presentations on both action items at the Commissioners meeting on Friday, September 26, 2025 & Saturday, September 27, 2025.
- Chair Paul Dixon asked members from (NDOW) Nevada Department of Wildlife if they would like to give any background on these two action items, if they would like to then they may do so at this time.
- Chair Paul Dixon did not receive a response, therefore he continued and advised to members of the public if they would like to view the presentation that they could do so by two options: 1) they may attend the Commission

meeting on those dates; 2) if they are not able to attend then they may view the Commission website and it will be posted.

- Public Comments: (None)
- Vice Chair Dan Gilbert advised a motion to approve the agenda for the Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife for September 23, 2025, as presented.
- Board Member Annoula Wylderich seconds the motion.
- **Motion passes 6-0.**

VI. Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife Member

Items/Announcements/Correspondence: (*Informational*) Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife members may present emergent items. No action may be taken by the CCABMW. Any item requiring CCABMW action will be scheduled for a future CCABMW Agenda. CCABMW board members may discuss any correspondence sent or received. CCABMW board members must provide hard copies of their correspondence for the written record.

- Chair Paul Dixon introduced this topic.
- Chair Paul Dixon will go to each board member and ask if they have received or sent any correspondence or have any announcements that they would like to discuss at this time.
- Board Member John Hiatt: (No)
- Vice Chair Dan Gilbert: (No)
- Board Member Annoula Wylderich: (Yes): She advised that she still has the same question she previously asked in the last two CAB meetings regarding NDOW's effort to provide to anglers any information at the fishing clinics or when the fishing license is obtained and issued to anglers to explain the proper disposal of fishing lines and hooks at their favorite fishing location.
- Board Member Annoula Wylderich advised that these same issues still are taking place where waterfowls and other birds are still getting tangled in fishing lines and hooks. She reiterated the question to NDOW employees in tonight's meeting, if NDOW provides precautionary information on proper disposal of hooks and fishing lines. She stated even with signage and having the proper disposal areas for the anglers at some fishing locations, the issue is still happening.
- Public Comments: (*Brandon Senger, NDOW Supervisory Fish Biologist*) explained to Board Member Annoula Wylderich that the educators who teach the courses at NDOW, this includes educating anglers on proper disposal as part of the curriculum.

He stated that there are many urban ponds, giving naming (Sunset Park) for example, where there are areas to properly dispose of the fishing lines.

- Board Member Annoula Wylderich advised that the park she is discussing is Sunset Park. She explained that there is not always proper disposal of those materials and that she has had volunteers check the park frequently and collect fishing line and hooks etc., and stated she will bring the items collected from the park in at the next meeting to show that this is an ongoing issue this past summer with catfishing ponds as well, She stated that maybe introduce something to step up efforts on this issue.
- Board Member Jacob Thompson: (No)
- Board Member Dave Talaga: (No)
- Chair Paul Dixon: (No)
- **Chair Paul Dixon stated this item is hereby closed.**

VII. Review of Action Items of August 15, 2025 & August 16, 2025, Commission meeting in Ely, Nevada attended by Chair Paul Dixon (Informational).

- Chair Paul Dixon introduced this topic.
- **Commission Meeting on Friday August 15, 2025 (Meeting Minutes):**
- Public Comments: (*Paul Dixon, Chairman for the Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife*): He commented on issues with excess fishing line and hooks discarded along the shores of urban ponds, mostly in Las Vegas. He stated that Canadian geese and other waterfowl are victims and are becoming tangled in discarded lines. He acknowledged that there are steps being taken (signage, trash receptacles) but felt regular cleanups, organizing groups to assist and stated that law enforcement cannot do it alone. He stated this will not eliminate the issue at hand, but it would help to lessen the issue and protect wildlife.
- Public Comments: (*Rebecca Goff, Nevada Humane World for Animals*): She expressed disappointment in Commission approval of rules for wildlife killing contests. She felt that the contests should not end these events, the Commission actions endorse them. She felt it was embarrassing to the state of Nevada and pandered to the

contest participants. She cited bipartisan polling which showed most Nevadans oppose killing contests and value carnivores as essential to ecosystems. She described contests as being cruel, unscientific, damaging to the reputation of Nevada, criticized the Commission for citing with small minority of citizens instead of the majority who respect wildlife. She asked the Commission to revisit their decisions, align policy with ethics and science and ban these killing contests for protection of Nevada's ecosystems and reputation.

- Commissioner Caviglia moved to elect Commissioner Rodgers as Chairman and Commissioner Young as Vice-Chairman. **Commission Caviglia moved to elect Commissioner Rogers as Chairman and Commissioner Young as Vice Chairman of the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commission. Commission Booth seconded the motion. The motion carried 8-0 with Commissioner Walther was absent.**
- **Approved Commission Agenda for August 15, 2025, as presented:** Vice Chairman Young moved to approve the agenda as presented for August 15, 2025. Commissioner Boren seconded the motion. The motion carried 8-0 Commissioner Walther was absent.
- **Approval of the Commission Meeting Minutes for May 2, 2025 & May 3, 2025, as presented.**
- **Approval of the Commission Meeting Minutes for June 13, 2025 & June 14, 2025, as presented:** Vice Chairman Young moved to approve the minutes from the May 2025 Commission Meeting as presented, and to defer consideration for possible action of the June 2025 Meeting Minutes to the September 2025 Commission Meeting. Commissioner Kiel, Commissioner Munn, and Commissioner Mesna Abstained. Commissioner Walther was absent.
- **Commission Meeting (Member Items/Announcements and Correspondence (Informational):**
- Deputy Director Michael Scott recognized Secretary Alan Jenne for his 30 years of service with the Nevada Department of Wildlife.
- Commissioner Booth thanked Director Jenne for his service, recognized several individuals that attended

Mason Ortiz Youth Outdoor Skills Camp, Commissioner Booth explained that this camp is in memory of Mason T. Ortiz and its foundation. He thanked NDOW and volunteers (*Logan Klonicke, Zac Campbell, Margaret Lord, Tru Hubbard, Jan Nemec, Dalton Kinamon and Captain Jake Kramer*).

- **County Advisory Boards to Manage Wildlife (CABMW) Members Items (Informational):**
- Public Comments: (*Rob Boehmer, Chair of Carson City County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife*): He stated that he had been re-appointed as Chair. He explained that multiple residents had contacted him regarding urban wildlife issues in Carson City. He advised that the CAB has been working with NDOW, and the Carson City Board of Supervisors, and Mayor Lori Bagwell to establish week or month for urban wildlife awareness and education. He explained that these efforts include collaboration with the Greenhouse Garden Center to support habitat-related initiatives. He stated himself and Zac Campbell, Departments Conservation Education Division) presented annual report on urban wildlife to Board of Supervisors previously. He advised there is planning to update version at the next meeting featuring infographic developed by (*Zac Campbell, Departments Conservation Education Division*). He stated the concerns of residents in Lake Glenn area for habitat degradation and stated this area is experiencing habitat decline. He stated he will connect NDOW with (HOA) Lake Glenn Homeowners Association to explore potential restoration efforts and public outreach opportunities.
- **Division Activity Report (Informational):**
- Secretary Jenne and Division Administrators – introduced the Division Activity Reports and advised a copy of the Division Activity Reports can be found using the following link: Division Activity Report- August 2025.
- **Litigation Report** (*Deputy Attorney General Craig Burkett*)- He provided a litigation report; a copy can be found using the following link: August 2025 Litigation Report
- **Wildlife Health Update-Wildlife Health Specialist Dr. Nathaniel Lattue (Informational):**

- Dr. Nathaniel LaHue, Wildlife Health Specialist, provided presentation on the status of Chronic Wasting Disease in mule deer *Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae* (M. ovi) in various bighorn sheep herds in Nevada and Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza (H5N1). A copy can be found using the following link: Wildlife Health Update Presentation.
- Commission Caviglia asked question if self-sampling kits were available for sportsmen.
- Wildlife Health Specialist Dr. Nathaniel LaHue advised that there was progress advised kit are available statewide. He stated tag holders were sent emails directing to a Microsoft form. Tag holders would then get the kit mailed to them and an uploaded sampling video on YouTube would show them how to take the sample.
- Vice Chairman Young asked if there has been progress on live sampling.
- Dr. Nathaniel LaHue, NDOW Wildlife Health Specialist advised that progress was made with quaking induced conversation (RT-QuIC) testing, with upcoming discussion planned with the (USDA) United States Department of Agriculture. He advised that federal-level delays had impacted timelines, the feasibility of live animal testing as a supplemental tool rather than a replacement for current models is something that the Department was exploring. He stated that interpreting negative results from such tests remained complex and potentially unreliable. There were concerns regarding direct-to-hunter testing due to lack of data sharing with agencies and the absence of validated sensitivity and specificity. A joint letter from (WAFA) Western Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies and (AFWA) Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies has addressed these issues. He emphasized that the tests were not approved for food safety purposes, especially when using muscle tissue, which tend to show the lowest and slowest detection rates. He stated additionally the Department considered environmental testing methods such as fecal sampling and analysis of runoff or carcasses in high-density deer areas. These approaches were being evaluated as part of broader surveillance strategies. He

continued to discuss *Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae* (M. ovi.) portion of his presentation.

- Commissioner Booth asked if there was news on the Jefferson range.
- Dr. Nathaniel LaHue, NDOW Wildlife Health Specialist advised no new strains were detected.
- Vice Chairman Young asked Dr. Nathaniel LaHue, NDOW Wildlife Health Specialist if he had communicated with Arizona Game and Fish regarding the strain out of Arizona.
- Dr. Nathaniel LaHue, NDOW Wildlife Health Specialist advised it had been variable but had significant impacts in some of the herds, he continued to discuss Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza (H5N1) of his presentation.
- **Nevada Revised Statutes 338 Public Works Contracts (Informational):**
- Deputy Director Jordan Goshert- Presented report on NRS 338 Public Works Contracts. Deputy Director Jordan Goshert presented a report on NRS 338 Public Works Contracts. A copy of the report can be found at link: NRS 338 Public Works Contracts for FY25.
- **Mule Deer Population Ecology and Management in Nevada (Informational)-:**
- Presented by Wildlife Staff Specialist Cody Schroeder- A New Equilibrium and Short film on Area 22 Migration: can be found on the following link: (*Mule Deer Population Ecology and Management in Nevada Presentation, Area 22 Migration Video*)
- Cody Schroeder, NDOW Staff Specialist stated that mule deer that 79 percent survive the winter. He advised harvest rate of total deer population is four to five percent, with the most recent population for mule deer is 72,000.
- Commissioner Boren asked the question if spring fencing could be done on wilderness areas.
- Deputy Director McAdoo stated it could be done; it would require Extensive (NEPA) National Environmental Policy Act analysis.
- Commissioner Boren questioned if NDOW was considering conducting study in Bailey Meloy and Mud Springs area, asking the number of acres remaining on Morey Bench Habitat Project.

- Cody Schroeder stated that there was no consideration for Bailey Meloy and Mud Springs area, and he was uncertain how many acres remained on Morey Bench Habitat Project.
- Vice Chairman Young expressed excitement over deer collar data and asked if there are any plans to include hunt groups 111, 115, 231 for future collaring projects.
- Cody Schroeder, NDOW Staff Specialist- he stated that there were no current plans in those hunt groups named it felt it was warranted.
- Commissioner Kiel asked Cody Schroeder, NDOW Staff Specialist, what was resource gap in terms of funding or staffing (referring to recent podcast from Dr. Keith Monteith out of Wyoming).
- (*Cody Schroeder, NDOW Staff Specialist*), advised Dr. Keith Monteith to have higher funding authority having post-doctoral, masters, and graduate students working on the project.
- Chairman Rodgers asked question to (*Cody Schroeder, NDOW Staff Specialist*) asked question to Cody Schroeder, NDOW Staff Specialist which state had highest survival rate.
- (*Cody Schroeder, NDOW Staff Specialist*), stated he was not certain but will revisit the report to find the answer.
- Chairman Rodgers praised new collar data collected in hunt groups 12, 13 and 22, stated highly valuable. He stated he supported Vice Chair Young's suggestion for exploring collaring efforts in Areas 111 and 231. He stated current study now 18 months end has shown significant insights. (Data was stated to have confirmation on some previous assumptions and challenging others). He stated he would like expansion in study to additional areas for further enhancement understanding. He advised (*Cody Schroeder, NDOW, Staff Specialist*) for his attendance in upcoming meetings more often with an emphasis on importance of updates continuously with the current focus on the mule deer populations.
- Commissioner Boren asked if habitat improvement projects were planned along trail in Hunt Group 13.
- (*Cody Schroeder, NDOW Staff Specialist*), stated that some additional projects were planned but not as far south

as Wells Summit, in which some of that area is limited but (NEPA) process.

- Commissioner Caviglia asked what's the highest survival rate for mule deer is.
- (*Cody Schroeder NDOW Staff Specialist*) stated between 80-86 percent.
- **Bats and Abandoned Mine Lands** -A summary of Statewide Bat Conservation efforts under NDOW's Abandoned Mine Lands (AML) Program, focusing on habitat assessments, mine closures that protect roosting sites and interagency partnerships to mitigate impacts while supporting bat populations.
- (*Joey Danielson, NDOW Wildlife Biologist*)-provided presentation on Bats and Abandoned Mine Lands, link: [Bats and Abandoned Mine Lands](#)
- Commission Booth asked the question whether bats presented any danger to public.
- (*Joey Danielson, NDOW Wildlife Biologist*)- he stated that bats carry rabies at a similar rate to other mammal species. He advised if a bat is visible and it is daytime, then the bat is not doing well.
- Commissioner Munn asked if other species were managed by NDOW who could be monitored using modus.
- (*Joey Danielson, NDOW Wildlife Biologist*), stated several species that the department had been tagging.
- Commission Munn expressed interest in monitoring efforts.
- (*Joey Danielson, NDOW Wildlife Biologist*), that tags would be inexpensive and durable.
- Vice Chairman Young asked theory on how White Nose Syndrome entered in United States in 2006.
- (*Joey Danielson, NDOW Wildlife Biologist*), stated it entered after cavers who explored Europe returned to NY and continued caving.
- **Commission Meeting on Saturday, August 16, 2025 (Meeting Minutes):**
- Public Comments: (*Zeke Stanton, member of the public*): He criticized NDOW for mishandling funding and credit for June desert bighorn sheep trap and transplant project in the Muddies. He stated that at a Commission meeting in January 2025 that NDOW acknowledged urgent water

shortage with sheep overpopulation and sought funding from multiple conservation groups, with each group requesting \$100,000 and NDOW requested 500,000 from the Heritage Fund. NDOW also requested 250,000 contributions from Grand Slam Club Ovis and KUIU and Deputy Director Mike Scott promising KUIU exclusive credit and media rights for project.

- Public Comments: (*Clint Bentley, member of the public*): He stated that other groups including the Fraternity of the Desert Bighorn and The Wild Sheep Foundation provided funding but unfortunately their roles in the project were downplayed. He gave examples that Fraternity gave \$34,500 for capture effort while Utah paid for 38 sheep. Mr. Bentley argued that KUIU had continued to claim sole credit on social media. He stated that when The Wild Sheep Foundation tried to participate in media coverage, NDOW blocked them and cited exclusivity deal with KUIU. (*Clint Bentley, member of the public*) gave kudos to NDOW's staff on the ground for excellent execution of the transplant but condemned NDOW leadership for lack of transparency, poor communication and favoritism and requested for Deputy Director Mike Scott to retire.
- **Approval of Agenda for the Commission Meeting for August 16, 2025, as presented:**
- **Vice Chairman Young moved to approve the agenda for August 16, 2025, as presented. Commissioner Mesna seconded the motion. Motion carried 8-0. Commissioner Walther was absent.**
- **Commission Meeting (Member Items/Announcements and Correspondence (*Informational*): (*There was no new correspondence or emergent items presented*).**
- **County Advisory Boards to Manage Wildlife (CABMW) Members Items:**
- (*Paul Dixon, Chair for the Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife*): He inquired whether the Commission would consider reinstating the former Public Lands Committee, which previously addressed issues such as horses, wind, solar energy and public lands. He advised that the committee could provide regular updates at Commission meetings to keep members informed of challenges and stated that recent tours showed potential

problems that exist or that are approaching. He stated that this committee could help relieve the burden of the Department and explained that he would gladly be the Chair if needed.

- Chairman Rogers responded by saying that the committee assignments would be announced later, it is still active committee even while public lands committee was adjusted slightly.

- **Emerging Technologies Committee**

- Report:(Informational):**

- Commissioner Caviglia summarized the report with three main topics: Review proposed language from Department to ban fish-finding sonar devices statewide, the committee supported forwarding this issue to the Commission as they did not consider such devices fair chase. Discussion electronic scopes and archery sights that calculate ballistics and make automatic adjustments, asking the Department to draft potential banning language, though that issue would remain at the committee level for now. The committee addressed thermal imaging and requested draft language to prohibit thermal devices outright in certain hunt units during big game hunts, expanding on existing restrictions.

- **(TAAHC) Tag Allocation and Application Hunt Committee Report (Informational)**

- Commissioner Caviglia reported on the Tag Allocation and Application Hunt Committee meeting, which covered three issues: 1) Public concerns about the horn shorter than ear antelope hunt, department presentation but after it was determined that no further action needed to be taken. 2) Discussion on mountain lion hound permits, with consideration of options of issuing hounding permits, conducting more harvest surveys. 3) Committee considered regulations on shed antlers and more input from both the Department and Game Wardens for continuation at next meeting, therefore lion hound permits, shed antler regulations both remaining going forward on agenda for committee.
- Commissioner Caviglia felt uncertainty regarding the outcome with the hounds' men who initiated this request due to division amongst them.

- **Statewide Raptor Activities (Informational):**
- (*Mackenzie Jeffress, Diversity Biologist & Moira Kolada, NDOW Habitat Biologist*): presentation was presented on statewide raptor activities; the presentation can be found on this link: Statewide Raptor Activities.
- Commissioner Kiel thanked Chairman Rogers and the Department staff for the presentation and for the participation in the tour on Friday, August 15, 2025, and stated that there is large amount of time discussing hunting, fishing, and coyote issues. He thanked Department for their passion and hard work.
- Commission Caviglia expressed appreciation for presentation and asked questions about impacts at the White Pine County wind farm.
- (*Mackenzie Jeffress, NDOW Diversity Biologist*) stated that several Golden Eagle mortalities occurred seven to eight years ago but take levels have not raised concerns. She advised that staff have been monitoring a raptor nest in Spring Valley that is successful with fledglings dispersing beyond the monitored area.
- Commission Boren thanked staff for presentation and wanted to know details on Ferruginous Hawk (lifespan, nesting territory size, funding sources for research).
- (*Mackenzie Jeffress, NDOW Diversity Biologist*) stated 46 percent mortality rates in the first year, and were very high, with some tracked for five years. Due to difficulty in capturing adult birds there is limited data on adult nesting territories with funding coming from Heritage funds, Spring Valley wind mitigation and federal programs for transmitters and monitoring. She stated bird bands are provided by (USFWS) United States Fish and Wildlife Service and advised the current funding is to cover transmitter data needs through the device's lifespans.
- (*Jasmine Kleiber, NDOW Biodiversity Administrator*) gave update on program funding, and stated avian programs were supported by federal grants to include Pittman-Robertson Act, Wildlife and Sport Fish Restoration programs and State Wildlife Grants. She stated that project-specific funding was secured through applications to partner agencies such as (BLM) Bureau of

Land Management or (USFWS) United States Fish and Wildlife Services remaining in place until duration of each project.

- Commissioner Mesna had knowledge that the study had produced valuable new information on Ferruginous Hawks and wanted to know why (BLM) Bureau of Land Management requested this project.
- (*Mackenzie Jeffress, NDOW Diversity Biologist*) explained that (BLM) Bureau of Land Management wanted a better understanding of the needs of Ferruginous Hawks habitat intersected with sage-grouse. (NEPA) National Environmental Policy Act completed analysis was the determination of the initial study areas chosen and results informing BLM and Department habitat projects. She explained that the Ferruginous Hawks was not listed on Endangered Species Act but classified as sensitive species by (BLM) Bureau of Land Management and species of concern by (USFWS) United States Fish and Wildlife Services.
- **Chairman Rogers closed this discussion on this matter with thanks for the evening tour and with education provided including on bats.**
- **Trespass Discussion Nevada Cattlemen's Association Mr. Pete Mori (Informational):**
- (*Pete Mori, representative of the Nevada Cattlemen's Association*) emphasized serious and growing problem of trespassing on private lands during hunting, fishing and trapping seasons. He discussed recently property damage, vandalized signs, cut fences, gunplay and advised current laws are not a deterrent. He stated most hunters are ethical, but minority of violators disregard the rights of landowners by going in pursuit of wildlife onto private property without permission. He brought photos to show (shot signs, broken gates, cut fences, and trespassing with notices that were clear to see, and advised of cost, dangers, and hardship of the ranchers of actions that were imposed on ranchers. He stated on behalf of (*Nevada Cattlemen's Association*) he called for stronger penalties, including twelve demerit points, and two-year waiting period for tags, guide license revocation for violators. He

advised he understood the need for consistency in treating all forms of trespass as willful acts, and to have collaboration between landowners, law enforcement, wildlife officials, and advised that (*Nevada Cattlemen's Association*) intention to seek legislation to close loopholes with trespass laws for a solution.

- Commission Kiel asked for (*Kristy Knight, NDOW, Chief Game Warden*) to elaborate on the current trespass laws.
- (*Kristy Knight, NDOW, Chief Game Warden*) addressed three specific ideas involving trespassing violations. 1) The current penalty is nine demerit points but could increase to twelve by Commission choice. 2) She stated on the waiting period, the conviction for a twelve-demerit misdemeanor violation currently carried up to a three-year revocation, but a two-year revocation could be implemented instead, which would also prevent the individual from applying and would result in loss of bonus points. 3) Regarding guides committing trespass, she gave clarification that existing guidelines allowed for license revocation, with language (“may” rather than “shall”) with no set timeframe provided. She stated Commission could refine these measures based on direction from the Commission.
- Commission Kiel asked the number of violations of trespass that law enforcement gave annually.
- (*Kristy Knight, NDOW Chief Game Warden*): She stated that she did not have the exact numbers, but it was quite a few annually. She gave examples of trespassing situation in order to showcase the source of the issue giving the following examples: 1) She stated that in one scenario the hunter was caught hunting in the wrong unit on private land, but was prosecuted because he pulled his catch into the correct unit that he was suppose to be hunting at 2) She stated there was a law enforcement deputy was caught cutting locks to access private property for hunting. She advised that trespassing was a widespread issue handled by both her division as well as county law enforcement.
- Commission Kiel reiterated his appreciation for the Departments work to deal with this issue and stated the importance of catching bad actors out there.
- Commissioner Caviglia commented that he was a sub

guide and if sub guides get into trouble the master guide has no repercussions and gave example of contemporary commercial laws and how all these types of business are directly responsible for employees of theirs. He advised that there needs to be more teeth for consequences.

- *(Kristy Knight, NDOW Chief Game Warden: She stated that there are presently laws for destruction of property, and these laws can be joined and written into present regulations.*
- Chairman Rogers asked where Commission ability overlaps with the counties writing laws, asking question if it was overstepping or under stepping the existing laws.
- *(Kristi Knight, NDOW Chief Game Warden): She stated that primary overlap related to property posting requirements and advised that (NRS) 207.200 Nevada Revised Statue states that proper posting is the responsibility of landowners. She advised beyond this regulation the law simply makes it unlawful for person to hunt, fish in navigable waters, trap on private property, therefore the only real overlap lies in the posting requirements.*
- Commission Boren agreed with Commissioner Caviglia thoughts on sub guide issue discussed previously and added the problem of trespassing will increase as the tags prices increase and will continue.
- Chairman Rogers stated Commission supports further development of these regulations and will go deeper during committee discussions.
- **Commission General Regulation 515-Demerit Points Associated with trail cameras and thermal imaging devices: (For possible action)**
- *(Kristy Knight, NDOW Chief Game Warden): She presented language for Commission General Regulation 515-Dermit Points Associated with Trail Cameras and Thermal Imaging Devices and a PowerPoint, please view the link: **Commission General Regulation 515 Language Commission General Regulation 515 PowerPoint.***
- Commission Munn asked for clarification on significance of receiving twelve demerits.
- *(Kristy Knight, NDOW Chief Game Warden): She stated*

that with the demerits individual could lose their hunting, fishing and trapping license for a period of three years and placed on the Interstate Violator Compact List.

- Commissioner Caviglia stated the prevalence of this issue with people ignoring the consequences as they stand.
- (*Kristy Knight, NDOW Chief Game Warden*): She explained that with current nine demerits points with the violation, a hunter safety course to lower these demerits could be done to go down to 5 points.
- Commissioner Booth asked if hunters could take this safety course with 12 demerits.
- (*Kristy Knight, NDOW Chief Game Warden*): She gave clarification that this is not an option with violations with twelve demerit points.
- Commission Booth stated that he was in favor of the enhancements of the violation and tied this with the previous conversation from (*Pete Mori, representative of the Nevada Cattlemen's Association*) on trespass and disregard by certain some hunters for the current laws.
- Public Comments: (*Paul Dixon, CCABMW Chairman*): He expressed support for the Commission direction on this issue but said two concerns: 1) He questioned the wording on page three on thermals, with no regulation that applies to being caught using them and not possessing them. He advised to clarify language to prevent confusion on possession solely being a violation. 2) He requested clarification on why regulation set different start dates for trail camera restrictions of July 1 for his ability to give accurate explanation for his CABMW.
- (*Joe Crawford, Board Member for Lyon CABMW*): He stated support for increase of demerits for willful violations regarding trail cameras and thermal imaging. He stated his concerns about wording for possession of thermal imaging devices.
- Chairman Rogers asked (*Kristy Knight, NDOW Chief Game Warden*) to address (*Paul Dixon, Chairman for Clark County CCABMW*) previous questions: 1) He questioned the wording on page three on thermals, with no regulation that applies to being caught using them and not possessing them. He advised to clarify language to prevent confusion on possession solely being a violation. 2) He

requested clarification on why regulation set different start dates for trail camera restrictions of July 1 for his ability to give accurate explanation for his CABMW.

- *(Kristy Knight, NDOW Chief Game Warden)*: She stated language in Commission General Regulation 492, R035-20, states that no person shall possess thermal imaging during hunting or taking of wildlife.
- Chairman Rogers asked for clarification on transmitting versus non transmitting detail regarding the regulation.
- Commissioner Caviglia responded, stating that language added was done long ago and did not understand why language was written in this manner.
- Chairman Rogers stated he supports increasing demerits and advised need for violations to have more repercussions for hunters that violate the laws.
- **Commissioner Caviglia moved forward Commission General Regulation 515, demerit points associated with trail cameras and thermal imaging devices, as presented for adoption. Commissioner Boren seconds the motion, Commissioner Walther was absent. Motion carried 8-0.**
- **Commissioner General Regulation 521-Junior Mule Deer Tag Transfer-Data and Technology Services Division Administrator Matt Maples:**
- *(Matt Maples NDOW Data and Technology Division Administrator)*: He presented language for Commission General Regulation 521-Junior Mule Deer Tag Transfer and PowerPoint. A copy can be found using the following link: [Commission General Regulation 521 Language](#), [Commission General Regulation 521 PowerPoint](#)
- Commissioner Booth thanked *(Matt Maples, NDOW Data and Technology Division)* for the presentation and advised his support for allowance of youth tag transfers going to youth tag holders without requirement of original tag holder to be present while hunt is taking place. He stated that he questioned how this could be enforced and advised in cases of elderly grandparents transferring tag with participation due to medical reasons or they are deceased. He inquired what exactly the Commission decided to have in place for these types of issues.
- *(Matt Maples, NDOW Data and Technology Division)*: He

explained that under Section 1, subsection #9 it detailed proposed language and asked assistance from (*Kristy Knight, NDOW Chief Game Warden*) on questions on enforcement on this discussion.

- **FYI**-(*Section 1. Chapter 502 of NAC is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section to read as follows: 9. The holder of a tag to hunt mule deer who transfers the tag pursuant to this section shall accompany and directly supervise the person receiving the tag into the field and have on his or her person a copy of the affidavit. As used in this subsection, “accompany and directly supervise” means maintain close visual and verbal contact with, provide adequate direction to and maintain the ability readily to assume control of any firearm or weapon*).
- Commissioner Booth stated purpose of oversight on youth tag transfers is prevention of abuse with the system. He advised he has support on transferring tags to the junior tag holder. He advised that **youth hunters are supposed** to be accompanied by an adult or guardian over the age of 18, stating this would assist with concerns. He asked (*Kristy Knight, NDOW, Chief Game Warden*) to specify requirements.
- (*Kristy Knight, NDOW, Chief Game Warden*): She advised current language where the original tag holder was unable to participate due to things such as injuries made problems and advised that the language was in place for mentorship for a youth. This could be others besides a parent, legal guardian that may not be able to take the youth out, therefore the language of this regulation made it problematic.
- Commissioner Mesna expressed support for current regulations and stated things such as penalties (point loss) and limiting transfers to once in a lifetime is an enough of prevention against misuse. He stated his concerns about the complications in mentorship style transfer in cases especially when original tag holder is injured therefore stopping the youth from hunting. He stated flexibility in which families can let multiple children share the tag for the family to hunt together and advised need for balance of practical considerations of enforcement against abuse.
- Vice Chairman Young expressed support for concept of

Senate Bill 311 (2023 Legislative Session) and questioned funding for this. He inquired of the \$400,000 potential project is unfunded recommendation or is associated fiscal note.

- Secretary Jenne gave clarification that original language expressed Commission “shall establish” a program and create a fiscal note and explained it was later changed to “may establish”.
- Vice Chair Young expressed support for bills goal with increased tag access for juniors but required clarification on the financial aspects. He asked the cost to implement the change to allow juniors to draw only one tag which is the step toward reaching 98% compliance.
- (*Matt Maples, NDOW, Data and Technology Division*) stated he didn’t think the cost associated with this project would change.
- Commissioner Munn wanted to be informed on gaming of system and asked for worst case scenario Would be like that affects youth opportunity.
- (*Matt Maples, NDOW, Data and Technology Division*): He stated he could see in future current nonhunters and other adults putting in for single youth who had no intent to draw tag for themselves.
- Commissioner Booth asked the question of allowance of one tag per youth if they already have a tag then they wouldn’t be eligible for the transfer.
- (*Matt Maples, NDOW, Data and Technology Division*): He stated yes and explained that tag returns were still allowed up to opening season and advised he expected other tags to be returned at that time.
- Commissioner Booth advised his dislike of the word “cannot” included in the regulation’s language.
- Secretary Jenne gave clarification that language is draft and specific language not included in concept itself.
- (*Matt Maples, NDOW, Data and Technology Division*): advised alternative plan he has with junior tags limited to only junior category allowing transfers outside of the category giving more tags could be given to youths avoiding unintended consequences.
- Commissioner Mesna wanted clarification that if alternative route was taken program would not limit to

- youth that was unsuccessful.
- *(Matt Maples, NDOW Data and Technology Division):* He stated program would be only to those individuals,
 - Commissioner Booth asked the question if the youth would have to apply for a tag in that year to qualify for a tag transfer.
 - *(Matt Maples, NDOW, Data and Technology Division):* He stated no, youth would not have to apply for tag in that year to qualify, only need client number and register in Department licensing system.
 - Chairman Rogers asked if Arizona had similar issues with consequences that were unintended implementing the same system.
 - *(Matt Maples, NDOW Data and Technology Division):* He advised that he reached out to Arizona but unfortunately no data driven response received. He advised that he heard people submitting applications on behalf of youth for a tag.
 - Chairman Rogers inquired about shorter than ears tags and if youth success rate attributed to unusual number of tags offered this year with sustainability.
 - *(Matt Maples, NDOW, Data and Technology Division):* He stated that outstanding youth tags were for primitive weapon tags primarily. He advised with opportunity to update regulations language to balance out the primitive season with legal weapon increase to open additional youth hunts possibly.
 - Commissioner Caviglia addressed the problem of gaming the system and discussed current probability of gamed junior hunt being extra low and uncertainty of direction of language.
 - Secretary Jenne gave clarification of timeframe of implementation for junior tag transfer program that would be in 2029 with opportunity still available for youth to get tag.
 - Commissioner Caviglia stated the Commission could give higher percentage of tags for juniors with continuation offer horns shorter than ears tags.
 - Vice Chairman Young echoed his support for intent with desire to push item to the committee or receive input from original individuals who were writers of Senate Bill 311.

- Commissioner Boren was in agreeance with Vice Chairman Young and advised his dislike for supplementary portion of subsection 9.
- Commissioner Caviglia agreed with statement of Commissioner Boren about portion of subsection 9 and approved to have this forwarded to the (TAAHC) Tag Allocation and Application Hunt Committee
- Public Comments: (*Paul Dixon, Chair of Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife*): He supported proposal and advised Clark CAB to have discussions on possible gaming issues. He stated implementing changes would require significant effort. He stated that he appreciated idea of making one junior tag available for increase opportunities and that this was not part of the CABs recommendation. He stated his concerns with juniors allowed to receive tags for trophy hunts and advised that transfers should be limited to lower-tier tags. He highlighted difficulties that juniors are going to have drawing and transferring tags and stated that even if they have families that are gaming families it will still be difficult to obtain these tags.
- Public Comments: (*Clint Bentley, member of the public*): He stated his concerns that requirements for the transfer to happen within sight of transferee are restrictive and would restrict hunting opportunities for younger recipient giving example (friend's child) compared to previous practices therefore compromising quality of youth's hunt.
- (*Steve Marquez, Board Member, White Pine CABMW*) explained that proposal for youth tag transfers was simple family-oriented process now overcomplicated. He stated that current restriction of one transfer per transferor is difficult for families with multiple children. He advised youth recipients should have priority. He stated acknowledgment of gaming and networking issues and gave suggestion that only individuals who applied or received a deer tag previously in one or two years should be eligible to transfer thus preventing manipulation of this system in place. He stated he did not agree with Section 1 subsection 9 referencing who could accompany youth on hunt but stated he would like to provide input and participate in upcoming (TAAHC) Tag Allocation and

- Application Hunt Committee discussions.
- Public Comments: (*Joe Crawford, Board Member, Lyon CABMW*): He advised that his CAB did not support youth tag transfer program. He stated importance of youth having hunting opportunities but concerned that certain restrictions referring to transferor to be present makes program difficult to implement. He stated accommodation has been made for youth hunting significantly, therefore there should be limitations for additional transfer provisions. He stated these tags that are left provide major opportunities for youth regardless of if in preferred location.
- Commissioner Caviglia stated that if moved to (TAAHC) Tag Allocation and Application Hunt Committee he would like to have discussion to look for **alternative plans with investigation for limit of one tag for a youth** in every category.
- No action taken. Commission General Regulation 521, Junior Mule Deer Tag Transfer, was referred to the (TAACH) Tag Allocation and Application Hunt Committee for future discussions.
- **Commission Regulation 25-04, Amendment #2, 2026 Heritage Tag Seasons and Quota -Data and Technology Division Administrator Matt Maples**
- (*Matt Maples, NDOW, Data and Technology Division*): He presented Commission Regulation 25-04, Amendment #2, 2026 Heritage Tag Seasons and Quota. A copy of the proposed language can be found using the following link: Commission Regulation 25-04, Amendment #2–
- Commissioner Caviglia advised that this was previously discussed in the June Commission meeting along with trail cameras. He advised he has no concerns with amendment of August date and emphasized no impact on the hunt.
- Commission Kiel moved to approve Commission Regulation 25-04, Amendment 2, 2026 Heritage Tag Seasons and Quotas as presented. Commissioner Mesna seconded the motion. The motion carried 8-0. Commissioner Walther was absent.
- **Future Commission Meetings and Commission Committee Assignments-Secretary Jenne and Chairman Rodgers:**

- FYI- Next Commission Meeting is scheduled for September 26, 2025, and September 27, 2025, in Las Vegas.
- Secretary Jenne advised that September has topic of mostly fishing regulations. She advised there will be discussion on Wildlife Trust Fund Report and Application and Hunt Statistics Report, with another discussion on Commission General Regulation 524-Junior Bonus Points and Commission General regulation 525-Coyote Calling Contests License and Hunting Period. He stated also discussion on update on Elk Management Subplans.
- Commission Caviglia had request for presentation on requirement for modification of regulatory language for clarification for connection between sub guides and master guides. He advised presentation explored mechanisms for accountability of master guides sub guides with misconduct and noncompliance. He stated his displeasure with incident between KUIU and the Department with donations from Bighorn capture. He wanted to know what policy from the Department showing how Department accepts and manages these donations that come from Non-Government Organizations for avoidance of issues discussed going forward.
- Secretary Jenne noted that Department in process of drafting language on accepting future donations. She then announced committee assignments and staff.
- **Public Comment Period:**
- Public Comments: (*Paul Dixon, private citizen*): He advised he gave a donation of his antelope rifle tag this year to organization providing hunting opportunities for individuals with disabilities. He stated a regulation for this program was passed four or five years ago not recognized enough publicly and suggested the Department give recent presentation for information for hunters for awareness and opportunities of the program. He advised importance with involvement with these organizations by hunts, banquets, conversations, and requested more engagement with participation by increasing public knowledge giving intent behind the regulation.
- (*Chris Crookshanks, Fisheries Division Administrator*): He advised a visit to the White Pine County Fair and

stated it was the Departments' first time there. He stated there is children's fishing pond and Operation Game called Thief Trailer. He stated recognition Commissioner Boren for securing place at fair along with donations for pond. He stated Chairman Rogers works endlessly to make Department participation possible, and got staff involved (*Game Wardens, Aquatic, Invasive Species personnel, fisheries staff, conservation educators*). He stated encouragement in getting everyone to stop by and see for themselves.

- Commissioner Boren thanked Department and White Pine County for the event.
- (*Clint Bentley, Fraternity of the Desert Bighorn*): He asked for the Bighorn Sheep Plan Management to be discussed for future consideration.
- Public Comments: (*Fred Voltz, member of the public*): He stated the following: Let's recap the last two days. He stated \$50,000 in public funds spent bringing Commissioners and staff to remote location. He stated the rationale behind this was local hunters to meet, with a handful meeting both days and go on field trips. He felt this process could have simply been handled by staff of NDOW filming the area discussed and playing this film for all to see and stated that there were nine CAB members who were absent but were obligated to attend through statutory. He stated that by not attending, these CAB members failed to fulfil their obligations but were paid an advance payment. He stated it is the job of the Commissioners to make certain that CAB members perform their duties, but the CAB has no interests.
- Public Comments: (*Fred Voltz, member of the public*): He stated florid language which touted staff bench time and awards, that NDOW failed to recognize someone who has regularly put forward alternatives that were constructive and tangible with focus on protection and perpetuating wildlife. He spoke about Dr. Donald Molde, and this individual spoke out on issues at Commission meetings for 50 years before he passed. He advised that Dr. Donald Molde's had a knowledge that was deep regarding wildlife, species, habitats. He stated that the state of Nevada presented objective wisdom, unfortunately the Wildlife Commission and Department ignored this as well

as perspectives, wishes, and science offered by unrepresented non-licensees. He stated there were guesstimates on the erratic population as well as studies done regularly that were generated. He asked the question of how many wildlife families have been irreparably torn apart and total of millions of wildlife deaths that were inflicted by licenses of the Commission and Department iterations. He advised that it was doubtful that anyone in an oversight role would want public to know amount of destruction and was not conservation put on the state's wildlife by random recreational killing. He stated concern and compensation to the public treasury when the licensees permanently sever public property and that would be the wildlife and from the land which deserves as much focus as protecting private property or killer convenience, opportunity and success.

- *Public Comments: (Cal Bryant, member of the public):* He stated he reiterated the same views of Pete Mori on trespass violations. *(RECAP: Peter Mori, representative of the Nevada Cattlemen's Association)* He emphasized serious and growing problem of trespassing on private lands during hunting, fishing and trapping seasons. He discussed recent vandalized signs, cut fences, gunplay and current laws which are not deterrent with majority of violations disregarding landowners and going onto private property). He described several conflicts between hunters and livestock management that are ongoing. He stated that hunters clear their hunting areas have driven cattle onto highways and off mountains with campers coming to close when set up to stock tanks and springs. He also discussed that hunters are placing cameras on private lease lands but after removal of the cameras end of the season with a locked gate with head of cattle entering the property with gate and post pulled down the road. He stated that the result of this was having to spend significant time rounding up cattle and relocating for them. He advised that due to these situations there is need for required enforcement on public lands and private lands and there is harassment of livestock by hunters which there is need to address in multiple ways.
- *Public Comments: (Joe Crawford, member of the public):* He stated support for *(Cal Bryant's member of the public comments listed above discussion on trespassing)* and advised

that he was speaking as a remote property owner, stating that installation of posts and chains across driveways leading to meadow and spring on his property due to individuals have been using all-terrain vehicles (ATV) and side-by-sides on his land and did donuts. He stated he feels that hunters and public have no understanding of costs of property that owner is faced with when repairs must be done such as fences and barriers in an effort for protection of their property. He stated his concern due to growing problems that are because of ATV use and access, with these issues being troubling for the landowners and that these issues go align with concerns addressed previously by (*Peter Mori, representative of the Nevada Cattlemen's Association*).

- **Chair Paul Dixon addressed these issues and advised that this matter is hereby closed.**

General Business/Action Items: Discuss & make recommendations regarding the following Action Items from the Board of Wildlife Commissioners Friday, September 26, 2025 & Saturday, September 27, 2025, meeting Agenda, as well as additional items brought forth to the Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife from the public for discussion. The Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife's Agenda & support materials are available upon request, please call Darlene Kretunski at (702) 455-1402 or you may send email directly to Darlene Kretunski: Darlene.kretunski@ClarkCountyNV.gov.

The final Commission Agenda & support materials can be found at: http://www.ndow.org/Public_Meeting/Commission/Agenda/ Lands Informational – (*Biologist Joey Danielson*)- A summary of statewide bat conservation efforts under the Department's bat conservation program, focusing on habitat assessments, mine closures that protect roosting sites, and interagency partnerships to mitigate impacts while supporting bat populations.

- A. Petition- Mr. Gregory Dicker, Mrs. Kimberly Dicker, Ms. Traci Lee Pintar and Mr. James Thomas Pintar (*For possible action*).** The Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife will review, discuss and make recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners about changing a petition requesting an amendment to Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) 503.1465 regarding archery disability permits. Both petitions propose distinguishing permanent and temporary disabilities and recommend establishing expiration dates for the temporary permits.

- Chair Paul Dixon introduced this topic.
- Chair Paul Dixon Paul Dixon stated that he needs to know the time period that individuals need to qualify when they have a disability.
- Board Member John Hiatt asked the question of what exactly qualifies as a temporary disability.
- Board Member Jacob Thompson stated that there needs to be clarification to decipher the difference between temporary disability and permanent disability. He stated that permanent disability will never go away therefore these individuals who have permanent disability should not have to continue to get notes from physicians.
- Vice Chair Dan Gilbert asked the question of why individual with a disability would have usage of a gun.
- Board Member Jacob Thompson stated to Vice Chair Dan Gilbert that it is called Americans with Disabilities Act, that is what gives them usage.
- Chair Paul Dixon advised this applies for shooting a cross bow.
- Public Comments: (*Bob Bobbitt, member of the public*): He advised that he questioned, that if the individual suffers from (PTSD) Post-traumatic stress disorder, could they apply for the archery disability permit. He asked for clarification if the disability is allowed if it is for physical disability not mental.
- **FYI- (AWDA) Americans with Disabilities Act which prohibits discrimination against people with disabilities in employment, government services, public accommodations, commercial facilities, education and other areas of society.**
- Chair Paul Dixon advised the motion to support the petition of Mr. Gregory Dicker, Mrs. Kimberly Dicker and Ms. Traci Lee Pintar and Mr. James Thomas Pintar with clarification between temporary and permanent disabilities with evaluation done yearly by physician to keep updated status of their disability.
- Board member Jacob Thompson seconds the motion.
- **Motion passes 5-0.**

B. Commission Regulation 25-16, Fishing Seasons and Regulations for January 1, 2026, through December 31, 2027 (*For possible action*). The Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife will review, discuss, and make recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners about approving the Fishing Seasons and Regulations for 2026 and 2027.

- Chair Paul Dixon introduced this topic.
- Chair Paul Dixon read the following: (SUMMARY): The Commission will consider adopting Commission Regulation

25-16, Fishing Seasons and Regulations for the two-year Period January 1, 2026, through December 31, 2027. This memorandum presents a synopsis of Department recommendations for CR-25-16 including county specific recommendations for fishing seasons and regulations as well as descriptions and rationale for those changes. The Department is proposing the following changes: Remove the size and limit restrictions to allow for unlimited possession of Striped Bass on Lake Mead (Clark County). Remove the size and limit restrictions to allow for unlimited possession of Striped Bass on Lake Mohave (Clark County).

- Chair Paul Dixon advised changes are as follows: **Lake Mead: Open year-round; Daily: 5 trout, 6 black bass, 25 catfish, 15 crappies. No limit on striped bass and other game fish.** *(the following language has been omitted: less than 20 inches total length (unlimited possession). The daily limit on striped bass is 20 inches total length or longer is 20 fish. No limit on (stops and ends the omitted language).* **Possession: 10 trout, 12 black bass, 50 catfish, and 30 crappies.** *(the following language has been omitted: The possession limit on striped bass is 20 inches in total length or longer is 40 fish).*
- Chair Paul Dixon advised the changes as follows: **Lake Mohave: Open year-round; Daily: 5 trout, 6 black bass, 25 catfish, 15 crappies. No limit on striped bass and other game fish.** *(the following language has been omitted: less than 20 inches total length (unlimited possession). The daily limit on striped bass is 20 inches total length or longer, is 20 fish. No limit on (stops and ends the omitted language).* **Possession: 10 trout, 12 black bass, 50 catfish, and 30 crappies.** *(The following language has been omitted: The possession limit on striped bass 20 inches in total length or longer is 40 fish.)*
- Chair Paul Dixon stated **under (WATERS) Douglas County Community Fishing Ponds: It is not called Lampe Park Pond, there is removal of the word Pond, instead it is simply called Lampe Park.**
- Board Member John Hiatt advised motion to approve Commission Regulation 25-16, Fishing Seasons and Regulations for January 1, 2026, through December 31, 2027, as presented.

- Board Member Annoula Wylderich seconds the motion.
- **Motion passes 5-0.**

C. Commission General Regulation 528- Motor Restrictions and Revisions Related to Fisheries (*For possible action*). The Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife will review, discuss, and make recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners about amending Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) to set motor restrictions on Battle Born Pond (NAC 488.470), establish a “mandatory catch and kill” regulation for Northern Pike (NAC 503.110), revise bait restrictions statewide (NAC 503.500 -515), amend ice hole size restriction to allow for larger sized holes for spear/bow fishing at Comins Lake (NAC 503.583), and update scientific names in the classification of fish species (NAC 503.060-065).

- Chair Paul Dixon introduced this topic.
- Chair Paul Dixon read the following: NAC 503.060-503.065: The Department is proposing to amend 503.060-503.065 to update the scientific names of several fish species including three subspecies of Cutthroat Trout, Largemouth Bass and the Wall Canyon Sucker to reflect current, accepted nomenclature. He stated NAC 503.500: Adds a definition of “animal life” a term frequently used throughout NAC 503, which currently lacks a specific definition.
- Vice Chair Dan Gilber stated that (WHIN) Wildlife Habitat Improvement of Nevada has pike contest for anyone to participate.
- Board Member John Hiatt stated that Northern Pike are in Comins Lake and stated they are unsuccessful and should repopulate or re-introduce from another source.
- Chair Paul Dixon advised that there is written testimony that people dump pike back into Comins Lake.
- Public Comments: (*Brandon Senger, NDOW Supervisory Fish Biologist*): He stated that he agrees with Chair Paul Dixon regarding individuals dumping pike into Comins Lake.
- Board Member John Hiatt asked the question what the penalty in the code is for doing this, if any.
- Public Comments: (*Lt. Game Warden Christopher Walthers, NDOW Southern Region*): He advised that there is regulation for non-removal of fish into live water. He stated that he

worked with (*Brandon Senger, NDOW Supervisory Fish Biologist*) on this.

- **FYI Nevada Revised Statutes Chapter 503- Introduction or removal of aquatic life or wildlife: Approval required; investigation; regulations; penalties** 1. Except as otherwise provided in this section, it is unlawful, except by the written consent and approval of the Department, for any person at any time to receive, bring or have brought or shipped into this State, or remove from one stream or body of water in this State to any other, or from one portion of the State to any other, or to any other state, any aquatic life or wildlife, or any spawn, eggs, or young of any of them. 2. The Department shall require an applicant to investigate to confirm that such an introduction or removal will not be detrimental to the wildlife of the habitat of wildlife in this State. Written consent and approval of the Department may be given only if the results of the investigation prove that the introduction, removal or importation will not be detrimental to existing aquatic life or wildlife, or any spawn, eggs or young of any of them. 3. The Commission may, through appropriate regulations, provide for the inspection of such introduced or removed creatures and the inspection fees, therefore. 4. The Commission may adopt regulations to prohibit the importation, transportation or possession of any species of wildlife which the Commission deems to be detrimental to the wildlife or the habitat of the wildlife in this State. 5. A person who knowingly or intentionally introduces, causes to be introduced, or attempts to introduce an aquatic invasive species or injurious aquatic species into any waters of this State is guilty of: (a) For a first offense, a misdemeanor; and (b) For any subsequent offense, a category E felony and shall be punished as provided in NRS 193.130. 6. A court before whom a defendant is convicted of a violation of subsection 5 shall, for each violation, order the defendant to pay a civil penalty of at least \$25,000 but not more than \$250,000. The money must be deposited into the Wildlife Account in the State General Fund as used to: (a) Remove the aquatic invasive species or injurious aquatic species; (b) Reintroduce any game fish or other aquatic wildlife destroyed by the aquatic invasive species or injurious aquatic species; (c) Restore any habitat destroyed by the aquatic invasive species or injurious aquatic species; (d) Repair any other damage done to the waters of this State by the introduction of the aquatic invasive species or

injurious aquatic species, and (e) Defray any other costs incurred by the Department because of the introduction of the aquatic invasive species or injurious aquatic species. 7. The provisions of this section do not apply to: (a) Alternative livestock and products made therefrom; or (b) The introduction of any species by the Department for sport fishing or other wildlife management programs. 8. As used in this section: (a) “Aquatic invasive species” means an aquatic species which is exotic or not native to this State and which the Commission has determined to be detrimental to aquatic life, water resources or infrastructure for providing water in this State. (b) “Injurious aquatic species” means an aquatic species which the Commission has determined to be a threat to sensitive, threatened or endangered aquatic species, or game fish or to the habitat of sensitive, threatened or endangered aquatic species or game fish by any means, including, without limitation: (1) Predation; (2) Parasitism; (3) Interbreeding; or (4) The transmission of disease.

- Board Member Annoula Wylderich asked the question to (*Lt. Game Warden Christopher Walthers, NDOW Southern Region*) how he makes certain that tool used in making the hole in the ice for ice fishing is a certain size. She asked if this is something that law enforcement checks.
- Public Comments: (*Lt. Game Warden Chris Walthers, NDOW Southern Region*): He advised that he was aware of a Lt. Game Warden in Ely who wrote a citation for this.
- Chair Paul Dixon advised that law enforcement should prepare if there is a size restriction.
- Public Comments: (*Brian Patterson, member of the public*): He stated pike issued tags on pike to make sure that pike are not re-introduced from another source into Comins Lake. Next, he discussed ice fishing, whatever you catch you should release and that the hole that is made in ice fishing, that the ice fisherman should place a block of ice over it and before they leave put up a flag over it for prevention of someone stepping in the hole. He advised a young lady that landed in an ice hole because there was no flag to indicate proceed with caution.
- Chair Paul Dixon advised to place an enclosure over therefore individuals can see and stated ice fisherman put the chunk of ice as well over the hole to cover unfortunately this is an issue for snowmobile riders who do not see the block of ice and hit it and

are left injured due to the ice freezing.

- Public Comments: (*Brian Patterson, member of the public*): He stated that the amount of people who spear pike through the ice outweigh the dangers of a hole that is not covered, and people falling in.
- Chair Paul Dixon advised it is not that difficult with a chunk of ice that size, getting it out is hard but putting it back and it freezes, all you have to do is push the ice down and under the ice mark hole with flags and label.
- Board Member Jacob Thompson read the regulation of requirements for the size of the hole; he read the following:
NAC Section 503.583 Ice Fishing: Size of holes. For the purposes of ice fishing, a hole cut through the ice must not exceed 10 inches in diameter.
- Public Comments: (*Brian Patterson, member of the public*): He stated that you simply need to break the ice into big chunks so it can freeze.
- Public Comments: (*Mark Transue, member of the public*): He stated that they should get rid of the pike and wanted to know where it comes from to ice fish.
- Chair Paul Dixon stated to (*Mark Transue, member of the public*) that the pike was brought in from Utah and put into Comins Lake.
- Vice Chair Dan Gilbert advised a motion to approve Commission General Regulation 528-Motor Restrictions and Revisions Related to Fisheries as presented.
- Board Member Jacob Thompson seconds the motion.
- **Motion passes 5-0.**

D. Presentation on Hunting, Fishing and Trapping Trespass Regulation (*Informational*). The Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife will review, discuss, and make recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners about issues related to trespassing for the purpose of hunting, fishing and trapping, including possibly amending regulations to increase trespass violations to 12 demerit points. Additional considerations include changing “may” to “shall” in NAC 504.671 for guides found guilty of trespass and providing a clearer definition of “trespass” with regulatory language.

- Chair Paul Dixon introduced this topic.
- Board Member John Hiatt stated regardless of if its wilderness or not, it

is public land, and he wanted to know how people are obtaining access.

- Vice Chair Dan Gilbert stated these are two separate issues: trespassing, and if they are caught and have trespass violation the 12 demerits.
- Chair Paul Dixon stated that it started five years ago, to stop gaming the system with the bad apples by setting up trespass laws which are in place for dishonest individuals, not honest individuals.
- Board Member John Hiatt asked the question of what the definition of trespass is.
- Public Comments: (*Lt. Game Warden Christopher Walthers, NDOW Southern Region*): He stated it is defined in the NAC and deemed obvious agricultural use should be regarded not for public use, no trespass.
- Chair Paul Dixon advised it is a pivot with nothing around therefore added agriculture.
- Board Member John Hiatt advised that there is no decision about a pasture.
- Chair Paul Dixon stated that there are not enough Game Wardens to enforce cut fences, broken locks on gates etc.
- Public Comments: (*Lt. Game Warden Chris Walthers, NDOW Southern Region*): He stated that the regulations that were written and are in the books do not have enough teeth to be enforceable.
- Public Comments: (*Brian Patterson, member of the public*): He advised that property owners have property marking paint to use for their signage and the private property signage must be between 50-100 yards.
- **FYI-** *Nevada recognizes any of the following four methods as sufficient warning against trespassing: 1) Fencing- this can include a wall, hedge, or chain link or wire mesh fences, but not barbed wire. 2) No trespass signs- They must mark each corner of the land's boundary. Plus they must be close enough so that if you are at one sign, you can see another. They can never be more than 500 feet apart. 3) Farmland: Cultivated land (with crops) is the same as a "no trespass" sign. 4) Fluorescent orange paint- The paint must cover no less than 50 square inches of a structure or natural object or the top 12 inches of a post (whether made of wood, metal or other material). The paintings must be close enough together so if you are at one painting, you can see another. They can never be more than 1,000 feet apart. Paint must also mark each corner of the land's boundary. Finally, there must also*

be paint on each side of all gates, cattle guards and openings that are designed to allow human ingress to the area. Therefore, it may be possible to have trespass charges dismissed if the evidence shows that the fencing or signs were unfinished, in disrepair, or, if a reasonable person would not realize the fences or sign's purpose.

- **FYI- NRS 503.240 Unlawful to hunt, trap or fish upon certain private property without permission.** *1. It is unlawful for any person to hunt, fish in no navigable waters or trap upon land which is private property where the person has been warned by the owner or occupant of the property not to trespass in the manner prescribed in NRS 207.200, or where signs are displayed forbidding hunting, trapping or fishing without permission obtained from the owner or occupant of the private property.*
- **FYI- NAC 504.671 Grounds for denial, revocation or suspension of license.** *The Department may deny an application for or revoke or suspend a guide's license for any of the following: 1). Fraud or deception in procuring a license; 2). Fraudulent, untruthful or misleading advertising; 3). A conviction of any: (a) Felony, or (b) Crime involving physical violence, theft or fraud. 4) A conviction of having violated a regulation of a federal land management agency; 5). Immoral, unethical or dishonorable conduct in the licensee's relation to his or her guest, client, patron or a member of the public while providing guide services; 6) A conviction of having violated a provision of: (a) Chapter 488 or title 45 of NRS, or any regulation adopted pursuant thereto, or for a conviction of having violated an equivalent provision in the law or regulations of any other state or the Federal Government; or (b) NRS 444.630 or 445 A.465, or any regulation adopted pursuant thereto, relating to the conduct of the guide while providing guide services. For the purposes of this subsection, the term "conviction" means a final conviction. A forfeiture of bail or collateral deposited to secure a defendant's appearance or a plea of nolo contendere is equivalent to a conviction. 7) A guide's substantial breach of any contract with any person utilizing the guide's services, as determined by a court of competent jurisdiction. 8) Operation by a guide in an area other than that for which the guide is specifically authorized as determined by a court of competent jurisdiction. 9). The employment or use of an unlicensed sub guide by a master guide. 10) Two or more convictions of any provision of chapters 484A to 484E, inclusive, or chapter 488 of NRS or an equivalent provision of law of any other state or the Federal Government relating to driving a motor vehicle or operating a boat*

while under the influence of an intoxicating liquor or a controlled substance within the 7 years immediately preceding the application for a license to be a guide. 11) A conviction of any provision of Chapter 453 of NRS or an equivalent provision of law of any other state or the Federal Government relating to controlled substances within the 5 years immediately preceding the application for a license to be a guide. 12) Failing to report to the Division of Law Enforcement of the Department, without undue delay, any violation of the wildlife laws or regulations pertaining to the unlawful killing, possession or waste of any wildlife of which the guide has personal knowledge. 13) Shooting, killing or taking a client's wildlife. 14) Failing to comply with any provision of NAC 504.663, 504.685 or 504.688. 15) Providing guide services relating to hunting or fishing on public land or public waters without having the necessary special use permit issued by the federal land management agency having jurisdiction within the wildlife management area or unit. 16) Providing guide services relating to hunting or fishing on private land in violation of NRS 503.240. 17) Intimidating, threatening or intentionally interfering with a person who is lawfully hunting, fishing or trapping, or who is attempting to do so. 18) Revocation of a hunting, fishing or trapping license of the guide in any state for the current hunting, fishing or trapping season. 19) An outstanding warrant for the arrest of the applicant or guide issued in any state.

- **Chair Paul Dixon advised that this item would be tabled, the presentation will not be presented tonight, this item is informational not an action item and will be placed on upcoming agenda soon.**

E. Presentation on Regulatory Modifications to Link Master Guide and Sub guide Accountability (*For possible action*). The Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife will review, discuss, and make recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners about potential modifications to existing regulatory language aimed at establishing a clearer connection between the actions of sub guides and their master guides. The presentation will explore mechanisms to hold master guides accountable for misconduct or noncompliance by their sub guides.

- Chair Paul Dixon introduced this topic.
- **FYI-** Commission request NDOW has generated information and ideas concerning potential changes in law, that may hold master guides accountable for their sub guide's actions in the field while conducting activities under the authority of a client contract. In addition, the

Department included a suggestion which may also assist in accountability of all guides and create an “absolute process” vs. subjective process for determining license denials and suspensions.

- **Chair Paul Dixon advised that this item will be tabled, the presentation will not be presented tonight, this item is informational and is not an action item and will be placed on an upcoming agenda soon.**

F. Pronghorn Antelope Translocation (*For possible action*). The Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife Board will review, discuss, and make recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners about a request for up to 100 pronghorn antelope from the Colville Confederated Tribes in Washington. The Department will provide an update on the status of Nevada’s pronghorn population and potential source herds for translocations. A presentation will also be provided by the Colville Confederated Tribe on the status of antelope on tribal lands changing demerit points associated with trail cameras and thermal imaging devices.

- Chair Paul Dixon introduced this topic.
- **FYI- (2024 Aerial Pronghorn Survey- On Reservation Totals)** Location- **White Lakes-** Total 47 (2 Bucks) (40 Does) (5 Fawns) (5 Buck-Doe) (13 Fawn: Doe); Location- **Stubblefield Point-** Total 49 (7 Bucks) (38 Does) (4 Fawns) (19 Buck: Doe) (Fawn:Doe); Location: **Berg Ranch-** Total 8 (3 Bucks) (5 Does) (0 Fawns) (60 Buck: Doe) (0 Fawn:Doe) Total for all areas = 104.
- **FYI- (2024 Aerial Pronghorn Survey- Off Reservation Totals)** Location- **Sims Corner-** Total 47 (Bucks 8) (33 Does) (Fawns 6) (24 Buck: Doe) (18 Fawn: Doe); Location- **Del Rio-** Total 112 (21 Bucks) (74 Does) (17 Fawns) (287 Buck: Doe) (23 Fawn: Doe) Total for all areas= 159.
- **FYI- Pronghorn Survival and Mortality- 1ST Translocation** (52 total, 40 collared) (19 mortalities the 1st year) (9 mortalities the 2nd year) (2 mortalities the 3rd year to date)
- **FYI- Pronghorn Survival and Mortality- 2nd Translocation** (98 total, 49 collared) (8 mortalities the 1st year to date)
- **FYI-** *Pronghorn Management updates and coordination with (WDFW) Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife and (NDOW) Nevada Department of Wildlife are ongoing with aerial surveys as weather conditions and funding allow.*
- **FYI-** *(NDOW) Nevada Department of Wildlife & (CCTFW) Colville Tribes Fish & Wildlife Department Pronghorn Translocation #3: Request of up to 100 Pronghorn; Need identified to bolster population to bring us toward the goal of species recovery, improvement of Buck: Doe ratio, and*

subsistence opportunity to Tribal Membership; Proposed timeframe for the 2025 Translocations is November 6, 2025 thru November 10, 2025; Captures likely to occur in north-central Nevada (pending coordination with NDOW staff); Capture processing and transportation efforts will follow 2017 methods to best ability; 50 percent of animals will be fitted with Telonics Recon GPS/VHF Collars with cotton drop-off spacer; 100 percent of animals will be marked with Ear tags; Utilizing NDOW approved capture company (Helicopter Wildlife Services). Agencies and people involved in making the project a success: Nevada Department of Wildlife, Native Range Capture Service; Quicksilver Air Inc., Helicopter Wildlife Services, volunteers who participated.

- Board Member John Hiatt advised motion for approval for Pronghorn Antelope Translocation as presented.
- Board Member Annoula Wylderich seconds the motion.
- **Motion passes 5-0.**

G. State Fiscal Year 2026-2027 Biennial Big Game Release Plan (*For possible action*). The Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife will review, discuss, and make recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners about plans for various big game translocations in Nevada during the 2026 and 2027 fiscal years.

- Chair Paul Dixon introduced this topic.
- Chair Paul read the following: **(SUMMARY):** *The State Fiscal Year 2026-2027 Big Game Release Plan is proposing four big game releases over the next two years. It is important to note that this plan is an attempt to work within our means; however, to be adaptive and potentially react to environmental conditions, alterations to this plan could arise which would necessitate subsequent amendments to this plan. Currently, NDOW is proposing two bighorn sheep releases of 20-25 animals each: one desert bighorn release into the Stillwater Range (Hunt Unit 182); pending pre-sampling results and one release of California bighorn to augment the Montana Mountains sheep herd (Hunt Unit 031). In addition, we are also proposing two potential pronghorn antelope releases of 50-75 animals each: one into the Calico Mountains (Hunt Unit 012) and one into either the Black Rock Range (Hunt Unit 034) or into the Pine Forest Range (Hunt Unit 032) pending further survey investigation.*
- Board Member John Hiatt asked the question is the source of disease in the Black Mountains.

- Chair Paul Dixon stated to Board Member John Hiatt that there is no disease from the Black Mountains.
- Public Comments: (*Brian Patterson, member of the public*): He stated to make sure that (*Cody Schroeder, NDOW Wildlife Staff Specialist*) receives his credit on this.
- Chair Paul Dixon stated that he felt that the Deputy Directors did not know that they made mistakes in this.
- Vice Chair Dan Gilbert advised a motion to accept State Fiscal Year 2026-2027 Biennial Big Game Release Plan as presented.
- Chair Paul Dixon seconds the motion.
- **Motion passes 5-0**

H. Commission General Regulation 515- Demerit Points Associated with Trail Cameras and Thermal Imaging Devices (*For possible action*). The Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife will review, discuss, and make recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners about Commission General Regulation 515-Dermit Points Associated with Trail Cameras and Thermal Imaging Devices.

- Chair Paul Dixon introduced this topic.
- Chair Paul Dixon advised that there is allowance of usage of Thermal Image Devices for Non-Big Game Species.
- Chair Paul Dixon advised that even though it is 12 demerit points, individuals will take these demerit points to get what they want. He stated he just wants the bad apples of the bunch to be put on the radar. He gave examples of what he meant and stated there are videos of people killing deer and dragging them to vehicles that are on the road, therefore they should be penalized, and he wished for law with teeth.
- Public Comments: (*Lt. Game Warden Chris Walthers, NDOW Southern Region*): He stated that this was a discussion by Captain Game Warden on Saturday in Ely.
- Vice Chair Dan Gilbert asked Chair Paul Dixon for clarification on whether an individual will lose their bonus points if they receive the 12 demerits.
- Chair Paul Dixon stated to Vice Chair Dan Gilbert that it is correct all bonus points will be lost.
- Vice Chair Dan Gilbert stated to Chair Paul Dixon that the CAB talked about in August meeting, if you get 12 demerits not only will you lose the tag, and it could be the tag holder and another person.
- Chair Paul Dixon asked (*Lt. Game Warden Chris Walthers, NDOW Southern Region*) how does law enforcement define “possession”, is it everyone in the vehicle or if person admits guilt, is it just that person. He stated that Chairman

- Tommy Cavaliga stated it goes to the person with the tag.
- Vice Chair Dan Gilbert advised that there needs to be more teeth in the regulation for the Game Warden to act.
 - Board Member John Hiatt advised if the person is not the tagholder and they have the device in their backpack, how would the Game Warden know without search warrant.
 - Public Comments: (*Lt. Game Warden Chris Walthers, NDOW Southern Region*): He stated that law enforcement has devices to verify if the device is on or not and the Game Warden will question the individual prior to receiving answers.
 - Board Member John Hiatt stated that if the Thermal Imaging Device is in the vehicle and the individual is away from the vehicle now what.
 - Chair Paul Dixon stated that you cannot use Thermal Imaging Device during the day.
 - Public Comments: (*Lt. Game Warden Chris Walthers, NDOW Southern Region*): He stated that you can use the Thermal Imaging Device during the day.
 - Public Comments: (*Bob Bobbitt, member of the public*): He stated that it is not regulation yet.
 - Chair Paul Dixon advised to (*Bob Bobbitt, member of the public*) that it is regulation but just not in effect.
 - Public Comments: (*Bob Bobbitt, member of the public*): He asked when it goes into regulation, how are the individuals notified.
 - Public Comments: (*Lt. Game Warden Chris Walthers, NDOW Southern Region*): He stated should Game Wardens send out these notices, possibly.
 - Public Comments: (*Mark Transue, member of the public*): He asked the question if punishment was set on this yet.
 - Chair Paul Dixon stated to (*Mark Transue, member of the public*): Not yet because we cannot decipher what is “possession”.
 - Chair Paul Dixon stated this is like narcotics or substance which cannot be pinned on driver.
 - Vice Chair Dan Gilbert stated that there is inability to prove abuse unless the individual is caught in the act, in which case to prove utilization is a bold line in the sand.
 - Public Comments: (*Lt. Game Warden Chris Walthers, NDOW Southern Region*): He stated this puts Game Wardens to make a case and define action,
 - Public Comments: (*Lt. Game Warden Chris Walthers, NDOW Southern Region*): He stated that after demerits it will go to Wildlife Game Warden Capital for any removal but if the individual wants to do an appeal it will take up to two to five years.

- Vice Chair Dan Gilbert advised that the CABs previous motion from the August 12, 2025 meeting will stand: Chair Paul Dixon advised motion to accept Commission General Regulation 515-Demerit Points Associated with Trail Cameras and Thermal Imaging Devices as presented with the following expectations: 1) On page 3 under thermal imaging the CAB waive the usage of having the word “possessing” premature and should be removed from the 12-demerit regulation until the thermal imaging regulation is changed to say “possession”. 2) On Page eleven need an explanation to better understand why there are two different categories of thermal imaging from transmitting to non-transmitting in their seasonal use. The CAB is requesting the need for clarification of why they must be separated.
- Board member John Hiatt seconds the motion.
- **Motion passes 5-0.**

I. Commission General Regulation 524- Bonus Points Associated with Junior Hunt Applications (*For possible action*). The Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife will review, discuss, and make recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners about adopting a regulation amending Chapter 502 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) that would simplify the issuance, use and administrative management of bonus points associated with junior hunt applications, this regulation was adopted as a temporary regulation and will now be considered for permanent adoption.

- Chair Paul Dixon introduced this topic.
- Vice Chair Dan Gilbert advised a motion to accept Commission General Regulation 524-Bonus Points Associated with Junior Hunt Application as presented.
- Board Member Annoula Wylderich seconds the motion.
- **Motion passes 5-0.**

VIII. Comments by the general public- A period devoted to comments by the members of the public about relevant to the CCABMW’s jurisdiction will be held. No vote may be taken on this matter not listed on the posted agenda. Comments will be limited to three (3) minutes. If any member of the CCABMW wishes to extend the length of the presentation, this will be done by the Chair or the Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife by majority vote.

- Public Comments: (*Mark Transue, member of the public*): He advised that (NDOW) Nevada Department of Wildlife will host its 7th Annual Ducks & Donuts event at the youth waterfowl hunt on Saturday, October 18, 2025, at the Overton Wildlife Management Area.
- **Chair Paul Dixon advised that this item is hereby closed.**

- IX. Authorize the Chairman to prepare and submit any recommendations from today's meeting to the Wildlife Commission for its consideration at its Friday, September 26, 2025 & Saturday, September 27, 2025, meeting in Las Vegas, NV (*For possible action*).**
- Chair Paul Dixon introduced this topic.
 - Chair Paul Dixon advised a motion to authorize himself to prepare and submit recommendations from tonight's meeting to the Wildlife Commission for consideration on Friday, September 26, 2025 & Saturday September 27, 2025.
 - Board Member John Hiatt seconds the motion.
 - **Motion passes 5-0.**
- X. The next Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife board meeting will be scheduled for **November 4, 2025**, in the Clark County Government Center (*Pueblo Room*) 500 S. Grand Central Parkway, Las Vegas, NV. The meeting will be in support of the Commission meeting on Friday, November 7, 2025 & Saturday, November 8, 2025, meeting in Reno, NV.**

XI. Adjournment.

(POSTING)

The agenda for this meeting was legally noticed and posted at the following locations:

- Nevada Department of Wildlife: 3373 Pepper Lane, Las Vegas, NV 89120
- Clark County Government Center: 500 Grand Central Parkway, Las Vegas, NV89108
- City of Henderson: Henderson City Clerk: 240 S. Water Street, Henderson, NV89015
- Laughlin Regional Government Center: 101 Civic Way, Laughlin, NV89028
- Moapa Valley Community Center: 320 North Moapa Valley Road, Overton, NV89040
- Mesquite City Hall: 10 East Mesquite Boulevard, Mesquite, NV 89027
- Boulder City: Boulder City Hall, 401 California Avenue, Boulder City, NV89005
- ONLINE: [https://www.clarkcountynv.gov/government/departments/environment and sustainability/advisory board to manage wildlife.php](https://www.clarkcountynv.gov/government/departments/environment%20and%20sustainability/advisory%20board%20to%20manage%20wildlife.php)