

Population Status and Reproductive Ecology of the Western Burrowing Owl (Athene cunicularia hypugaea) in Clark County, Nevada

Dorothy Crowe and Kathleen Longshore



US Geological Survey US Department of Interior



Introduction to Burrowing Owls

- Small owl (150 g)
- Roost & nest in burrows excavated by other fossorial species
- Inhabits grasslands, shrub-steppe and deserts
- Flat to gently rolling terrain
- Have adapted to agricultural and urban environments





Western Burrowing Owl Status

- Population declines throughout range
- Endangered in Canada
- Species of special concern in many western and midwestern states of the U.S.
- National priority species by the USFWS



Previous Studies

Little knowledge about owl populations in the Mojave Desert

- 2002 Initiated roadside, call-broadcast surveys; 49% crepuscular & 51% nocturnal at Lake Mead NRA
- 2003 Tested factors affecting detection; expanded area surveyed; initiated nocturnal walking surveys & availability estimates at Lake Mead NRA
- 2004-2005 Added perception estimates, added additional field site (MCAGCC Twentynine Palms)



Desert Tortoise Burrow





Gopherus agassizii

Kit Fox Burrow Complex



What did we learn?

- Survey protocol (what works in Mojave Desert)
- Density (first estimates for Mojave Desert)

0.08-0.17 owl territories/km²

• Reproductive success

Apparent nest success: 55-69%



• Landscape variables associated with owl occurrence (MCAGCC)

Lower % slope & greater desert tortoise density

• Habitat variables associated with ¹nest site selection & ²apparent nest success (Lake Mead NRA)

¹ Larger size of mound at entrance to burrow

¹Type of burrow (desert tortoise)

^{1,2}Greater number of satellite burrows within 5 m



Status in Clark County?

Project

Goals and Objectives

 Determine distribution and relative abundance of Burrowing Owls in Clark County



- Model Burrowing Owl habitat for Clark County
- Determine the relationship between Burrowing Owl reproductive success and habitat/environmental variables



Survey Protocol for the Mojave Desert



- Random, nocturnal, walking, call-broadcast transect surveys
- 3.2-km transect with 5 broadcasting stations spaced 800 m apart
- Six-minute point count session per station including a 3-min passive listening interval and a 3-min broadcast interval
- Broadcast interval included 3 repeats of 30 sec of owl vocalizations followed by 30 sec of listening
- Seasonal timing from late February through mid-May



Detection Probability

- Detection probability: proportion of owls detected compared to true owl occurrence
- Two components: availability and perception
- Availability: detection trials or proportion of owl responses to callbroadcast protocol at known owl territories
- Perception: double-observer method





Distribution and Abundance

We conducted 94 unique surveys in low-elevation **Mojave Desert** scrub (32), midelevation Mojave Desert scrub (32), blackbrush (15), and pinyon-juniper (15) ecosystems throughout Clark County

















Survey Results



Year		2008	2009	2009
	Abundance	surveys	(repeated 2008	New
Habitat	Variables		surveys)	surveys
Low-elevation	Frequency of	27%	21%	18%
Mojave Scrub	occurrence	<i>N</i> =15	<i>N</i> =14	<i>N</i> =17
	Owl Count	11	6	4
Mid-elevation	Frequency of	20%	40%	29%
Mojave Scrub	occurrence	<i>N</i> =15	<i>N</i> =15	<i>N</i> =17
	Owl Count	8	8	6







Habitat characteristics associated with Burrowing Owls

Flat to gently rolling terrain Sparse vegetation Availability of burrows

•Landscape-level approach using GIS layers – elevation, slope, desert tortoise habitat

MCAGCC study: slope, desert tortoise density

•Other layers: soil characteristics, vegetation community



Evidence of Owl Occupation







Nest Success and Productivity



• Nest Success: nesting attempt = occupied burrow with an owl pair

successful nest = pair with one or more fledglings

successful nests/nesting attempts

•Productivity: Number of fledglings documented during a series of three 30min watches, each separated by at least 6 hours when young are 21-28 days post hatch



We are especially grateful to the Natural Resource Management staff at Lake Mead National Recreation Area and the Desert National Wildlife Refuge

Thanks also to the dedicated work of M Hayes, K Horton, S Schuster, M Simes, and C Street

Acknowledgements



